# Notes

# STA302H1 - Fall 2020

# Ziyue Yang

# November 25, 2020

# Contents

1	Mod	dule 10 - Diagnostics in MLR	2
	1.1	Inference for a Single Regression Coefficient	2
		1.1.1 Hypothesis Testing	2
		1.1.2 Confidence Interval	2
		1.1.3 Global F-test vs. Individual t-tests	2
	1.2	Multicollinearity	4
	1.3	Diagnostics and Remedies	5
		1.3.1 Leverage and Influential Points	5
		1.3.2 The Box-Cox Transformation	5
	1.4	Added Variable Plot (Need to Review Lecture Part 3)	6
	1.5	Data Analysis Flow	7

## 1 Module 10 - Diagnostics in MLR

### 1.1 Inference for a Single Regression Coefficient

### 1.1.1 Hypothesis Testing

As in SLR, we are interested in testing

$$H_0: \beta_j = 0 \text{ vs. } H_a: \beta_j \neq 0.$$
 (1.1)

Test statistic:

$$t_{obs} = \frac{b_j}{SE(b_j)} \tag{1.2}$$

Under  $H_0$ ,  $t_{obs}$  is an observation from the T distribution with df = n - p - 1. This test gives an indication of whether or not the jth predictor,  $X_j$ ,  $j = 1 \dots p$ , contributes to the prediction of the response variable **over and above** all the other predictors.

**Special case** of the Partial F-test with k=1

#### 1.1.2 Confidence Interval

Confidence interval for  $\beta_j$ ,  $j = 1 \dots p$ , assuming all the other predictors are in the model, is

$$\beta_i \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-n-1} SE(b_i), \tag{1.3}$$

(i.e. unbiased estimate  $\pm$  Margin of Error, where MOE is critical value times std error). where

- $b_i$ : unbiased estimator of  $\beta_i$
- $SE(b_i)$ : standard error of estimator
- $t_{\alpha/2,n-p-1}$ : critical value of  $100(1-\alpha/2)$ th quantile from the T distribution with df = n-p-1.

### 1.1.3 Global F-test vs. Individual t-tests

- In SLR, these tests are equivalent
- In MLR, the global F-test is designed to test the *overall model*, while the t-tests are designed to test *individual coefficients*.

Case A. If the Global F-test is significant and:

• A.1: All or some or the t-tests are significant,  $\implies$  there are some useful explanatory variables for predicting Y.

A.2: All the t-tests are not significant, ⇒ this is an indication of "multicollinearity"
i.e., strongly correlated X's. This implies that individual X's do not contribute to the prediction of Y over and above other X's.

### Case B. If the Global F-test is NOT significant and:

- B.1: All the t-tests are not significant,  $\implies$  none of the X's contributes to the prediction of Y.
- B.2: Some of the t-tests are significant,  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  - The model has no predictive ability. Likely, if there are many predictors, there are type I errors in the t-tests.
  - The predictors are poorly chosen. The contribution of one useful predictor among many poor ones may not be enough for the model (Global F-test) to be significant.

#### 1.2Multicollinearity

**Definition 1.1.** Multicollinearity occurs when explanatory variables are highly correlated.

In this case, it is difficult to measure the individual influence of one of the predictors on the response.

- The fitted equation is unstable
- The estimated regression coefficients vary widely from data set to data set (even if the data sets are similar) and depending on which predictor is included in the model.
- The estimated regression coefficients may even have opposite sign than what is expected (e.g. Simpson's Paradox).

**Remark 1.1.** When some X's are perfectly correlated, we cannot estimate  $\beta$  because X'Xis sigular. Even if X'X is close to singular, its determinant will be close to zero and the standard errors of estimated coefficients will be large.

**Remark 1.2.** For the general multiple regression model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_p x_p + e \tag{1.4}$$

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_p x_p + e$$

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_j) = \frac{1}{1 - R_j^2} \times \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)S_{x_j}^2}, j = 1 \dots p$$
(1.4)

where  $R_j^2$  is the value of  $R^2$  from the regression of  $x_j$  on the other x's.

The *j*th Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) =  $\frac{1}{1-R_j^2}$ 

A commonly used cut-off is 5.

#### 1.3 Diagnostics and Remedies

#### Leverage and Influential Points 1.3.1

**Definition 1.2** (Identifying Leverage Points). Classify the *i*th point as a point of high leverage (i.e. a lvg point) in MLR model with p predictors if

$$h_{ii} > 2 \times \text{average}(h_{ii}) = 2 \times \frac{p+1}{n}$$
 (1.6)

In SLR,  $p = 1, h_{ii} > 2\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) = \frac{4}{n}$ .

Remark 1.3. When a valid model has been fit, a plot of standardized residuals against any predictor or any linear combination of the predictors (e.g. the fitted values) will have the following features:

- 1. A random scatter of points around the horizontal axis, since the mean function of  $e_i$  is zero when a correct model has been fit (linearity)
- 2. Constant variability as we look along the horizontal axis, i.e.

$$Var(e) = \sigma^2 I = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(1.7)

Hence, any pattern in plot of standardized residuals is indicative that an invalid model has been fit to the data. Any nonrandom pattern itself does not provide direct information on how the model is misspecified.

#### 1.3.2 The Box-Cox Transformation

**Definition 1.3.** The Box-Cox transformation is a general method for transforming a strictly positive (response or predictor) variable.

It aims to find transformation that makes the transformed variable close to normally dis-

It considers a family of power transformations. Suppose the power to be  $\lambda$ :

•  $\lambda = 0$ : Natural log

•  $\lambda = 1$ : No transformation

•  $\lambda = 0.5$ : Square root transformation

•  $\lambda = -1$ : Inverse transformation

It is based on maximizing a likelihood function.

### 1.4 Added Variable Plot (Need to Review Lecture Part 3)

**Definition 1.4.** Suppose our current model is

$$Y = X\beta + e \pmod{YX} \tag{1.8}$$

and we are considering the introduction of an additional predictor variable Z, that is, our new model is

$$Y = X\beta + Z\alpha + e \pmod{YXZ}$$
 (1.9)

The added-variable plot is obtained by plotting the residuals from model YX against the residuals from the model

$$Z = X\delta + e \qquad \text{(model } ZX\text{)} \tag{1.10}$$

Remark 1.4 (Why Added Variable Plot). • To visually assess the effect of each predictor, having adjusted for the effects of the other predictors

- To visually estimate  $\alpha$
- Can be used to identify points which have undue influence on the least squares estimate of  $\alpha$

## 1.5 Data Analysis Flow

