

Université De Montpellier
Faculté Des Sciences



Niveau : Master 2

Module : IA pour le Génie Logiciel

HAI916I

TP : Code2Vec

Supervisé par :
M. Marianne Huchard
M. Chouki Tibermacine

Réalisé par :
ALLOUCH Yanis
KACI Ahmed

2021/2022

Table des matières

1	Réalisation de la <i>Step 4</i> du dépôt git	2
2	Récupération des <i>Attention vector</i>	8
3	Question ouverte	10
	Références bibliographiques	11

1 Réalisation de la *Step 4* du dépôt git

Après avoir cloné le projet Git [énoncé](#) dans le sujet de TP et téléchargé le modèle (java14m_data.tar.gz) servant à l'utilisation de code2vec. Nous avons créé trois fichiers Input.java (voir [Listing 1](#), [Listing 3](#) et [Listing 5](#)) basé sur des projets personnels antérieurs.

Ensuite, nous avons suivis le workflow avec le modèle entraîné sans paramètre ([Step 4](#)) et nous avons obtenu les résultats suivant que nous allons présenter, dans le [Listing 1](#), [Listing 3](#) et [Listing 5](#). (ces derniers ont été tronqués de leurs en-têtes pour améliorer leur lisibilité).

```
1 private boolean isJavaFile(File file) {
2
3     final String extentionWanted = ".java";
4     int extentionIndex = file.getName().length() - 5;
5     int endFileIndex = file.getName().length();
6     final String fileExtention = file.getName().substring(
7         extentionIndex, endFileIndex);
8
9     return fileExtention.equals(extentionWanted);
10 }
```

Listing 1 – Première Input.java

```
1 Starting interactive prediction...
2 Modify the file: "Input.java" and press any key when ready, or "q"
  / "quit" / "exit" to exit
3 Original name: is|java|file
4 (0.335654) predicted: ['save', 'to', 'file']
5 (0.144616) predicted: ['compare']
6 (0.123919) predicted: ['request', 'overwrite', 'file']
7 (0.095342) predicted: ['on', 'file', 'deletion']
8 (0.064893) predicted: ['is', 'selected']
9 (0.064431) predicted: ['check', 'file']
10 (0.052602) predicted: ['get', 'local', 'path']
11 (0.052427) predicted: ['get', 'project', 'path']
12 (0.033171) predicted: ['is', 'mine']
13 (0.032946) predicted: ['verify']
14 Attention:
15 0.075346 context: file,(VariableDeclaratorId0)^(Parameter)^(
  MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(
  NameExpr0),fileextention
16 0.065303 context: file,(ClassOrInterfaceType1)^(Parameter)^(
  MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(
  NameExpr3),equals
17 0.057388 context: file,(ClassOrInterfaceType1)^(Parameter)^(
  MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(
  NameExpr0),fileextention
18 0.050228 context: file,(VariableDeclaratorId0)^(Parameter)^(
  MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(
  NameExpr3),equals
```

```

19 0.046385 context: file,(VariableDeclaratorId0)^(Parameter)^(
    MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ExpressionStmt)_(
    VariableDeclarationExpr)_(VariableDeclarator)_(
    StringLiteralExpr1),java
20 0.044308 context: file,(ClassOrInterfaceType1)^(Parameter)^(
    MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ExpressionStmt)_(
    VariableDeclarationExpr)_(VariableDeclarator)_(
    StringLiteralExpr1),java
21 0.042243 context: METHOD_NAME,(NameExpr1)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr3),equals
22 0.040910 context: boolean,(PrimitiveType0)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    Parameter)_(ClassOrInterfaceType1),file
23 0.035646 context: substring,(NameExpr4)^(MethodCallExpr)^(
    VariableDeclarator)^(VariableDeclarationExpr)^(ExpressionStmt)^(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr0),
    fileextention
24 0.030011 context: METHOD_NAME,(NameExpr1)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr0),
    fileextention
25 Modify the file: "Input.java" and press any key when ready, or "q"
    / "quit" / "exit" to exit

```

Listing 2 – Résultat de l'input n°1

```

1 public List<String> getJavaFiles() {
2     File directory = new File(path);
3     return parser.GetFilesPaths(directory);
4 }

```

Listing 3 – Second Input.java

```

1 Starting interactive prediction...
2 Modify the file: "Input.java" and press any key when ready, or "q"
    / "quit" / "exit" to exit
3 Original name: get|java|files
4 (0.516548) predicted: ['get', 'directory']
5 (0.167017) predicted: ['get', 'index', 'path']
6 (0.128806) predicted: ['get', 'path']
7 (0.034596) predicted: ['read', 'all']
8 (0.031786) predicted: ['get', 'source', 'path']
9 (0.029946) predicted: ['get', 'sub', 'directory']
10 (0.025149) predicted: ['is', 'directory']
11 (0.023115) predicted: ['list', 'content']
12 (0.021662) predicted: ['get', 'affected', 'paths']
13 (0.021377) predicted: ['create', 'path']
14 Attention:
15 0.147383 context: string,(ClassOrInterfaceType0)^(
    ClassOrInterfaceType)^(MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(
    ExpressionStmt)_(VariableDeclarationExpr)_(VariableDeclarator)_(
    ObjectCreationExpr)_(NameExpr1),path
16 0.124728 context: path,(NameExpr1)^(ObjectCreationExpr)^(
    VariableDeclarator)^(VariableDeclarationExpr)^(ExpressionStmt)^(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr0),parser

```

```

17 0.092909 context: path,(NameExpr1)^(ObjectCreationExpr)^(
    VariableDeclarator)^(VariableDeclarationExpr)^(ExpressionStmt)^(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr2),directory
18 0.090906 context: METHOD_NAME,(NameExpr1)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr0),parser
19 0.071261 context: METHOD_NAME,(NameExpr1)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr2),directory
20 0.067422 context: string,(ClassOrInterfaceType0)^(
    ClassOrInterfaceType)^(MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(
    ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr3),getfilespaths
21 0.062629 context: string,(ClassOrInterfaceType0)^(
    ClassOrInterfaceType)^(MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(
    ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr0),parser
22 0.055047 context: string,(ClassOrInterfaceType0)^(
    ClassOrInterfaceType)^(MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(
    ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr2),directory
23 0.043602 context: string,(ClassOrInterfaceType0)^(
    ClassOrInterfaceType)^(MethodDeclaration)_(NameExpr1),
    METHOD_NAME
24 0.032642 context: string,(ClassOrInterfaceType0)^(
    ClassOrInterfaceType)^(MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(
    ExpressionStmt)_(VariableDeclarationExpr)_(VariableDeclarator)_(
    VariableDeclaratorId0),directory
25 Modify the file: "Input.java" and press any key when ready, or "q"
    / "quit" / "exit" to exit

```

Listing 4 – Résultat du second input

```

1 public class MyApplicationForAddition {
2
3     public static void main(String[] args) {
4         int a = getA();
5         int b = getB();
6
7         int result = getResultAddition(a,b);
8
9         System.out.println("Le resultat est " + result);
10    }
11
12    int getA(){
13        return 40;
14    }
15
16    int getB(){
17        return 2;
18    }
19
20    int getResultAddition(int n, int m){
21        return n + m;
22    }
23 }

```

Listing 5 – Troisième Input.java

```

1 Starting interactive prediction...
2 Modify the file: "Input.java" and press any key when ready, or "q"
  / "quit" / "exit" to exit
3 Original name: main
4 (0.996219) predicted: ['main']
5 (0.002518) predicted: ['foo']
6 (0.000635) predicted: ['method']
7 (0.000240) predicted: ['extracted']
8 (0.000185) predicted: ['bar']
9 (0.000113) predicted: ['f']
10 (0.000038) predicted: ['function']
11 (0.000023) predicted: ['r']
12 (0.000015) predicted: ['m']
13 (0.000015) predicted: ['a']
14 Attention:
15 0.132082 context: args,(VariableDeclaratorId0)^(Parameter)^(
    MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ExpressionStmt)_(
    VariableDeclarationExpr)_(VariableDeclarator)_(MethodCallExpr1)_(
    NameExpr1),getb
16 0.081608 context: args,(VariableDeclaratorId0)^(Parameter)^(
    MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ExpressionStmt)_(
    VariableDeclarationExpr)_(VariableDeclarator)_(MethodCallExpr1)_(
    NameExpr1),geta
17 0.066656 context: args,(VariableDeclaratorId0)^(Parameter)_(
    ArrayBracketPair2),[]
18 0.061893 context: [],(ArrayBracketPair2)^(Parameter)^(
    MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ExpressionStmt)_(
    VariableDeclarationExpr)_(VariableDeclarator)_(MethodCallExpr1)_(
    NameExpr1),getb
19 0.044478 context: args,(VariableDeclaratorId0)^(Parameter)^(
    MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ExpressionStmt)_(
    VariableDeclarationExpr)_(VariableDeclarator)_(MethodCallExpr1)_(
    NameExpr3),getResultaddition
20 0.036897 context: [],(ArrayBracketPair2)^(Parameter)^(
    MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ExpressionStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0
    )_(FieldAccessExpr0)_(NameExpr0),system
21 0.032848 context: [],(ArrayBracketPair2)^(Parameter)^(
    MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ExpressionStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0
    )_(BinaryExpr:plus)_(StringLiteralExpr0),lersultatest
22 0.031300 context: METHOD_NAME,(NameExpr1)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    BlockStmt)_(ExpressionStmt)_(VariableDeclarationExpr)_(
    VariableDeclarator)_(MethodCallExpr1)_(NameExpr1),getb
23 0.031273 context: [],(ArrayBracketPair2)^(Parameter)^(
    MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ExpressionStmt)_(
    VariableDeclarationExpr)_(VariableDeclarator)_(MethodCallExpr1)_(
    NameExpr1),geta
24 0.026797 context: [],(ArrayBracketPair2)^(Parameter)^(
    MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ExpressionStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0
    )_(FieldAccessExpr0)_(NameExpr2),out
25 Original name: get|a
26 (0.791144) predicted: ['get', 'pulse']
27 (0.108399) predicted: ['get', 'height']
28 (0.030621) predicted: ['get', 'icon', 'height']

```

```

29 (0.018270) predicted: ['get', 'digest', 'size']
30 (0.013907) predicted: ['get', 'row', 'height']
31 (0.011284) predicted: ['get', 'icon', 'width']
32 (0.008696) predicted: ['get', 'priority']
33 (0.007444) predicted: ['get', 'default', 'height']
34 (0.005342) predicted: ['get', 'depth']
35 (0.004893) predicted: ['get', 'initial', 'animation', 'time']
36 Attention:
37 0.359031 context: int,(PrimitiveType0)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(IntegerLiteralExpr0),40
38 0.321949 context: int,(PrimitiveType0)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    NameExpr1),METHOD_NAME
39 0.319020 context: METHOD_NAME,(NameExpr1)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(IntegerLiteralExpr0),40
40 Original name: get|b
41 (0.245504) predicted: ['get', 'number', 'of', 'operands']
42 (0.209002) predicted: ['get', 'column', 'count']
43 (0.180355) predicted: ['get', 'dimension']
44 (0.122531) predicted: ['arity']
45 (0.087040) predicted: ['get', 'target', 'dimensions']
46 (0.086154) predicted: ['get', 'source', 'dimensions']
47 (0.021641) predicted: ['get', 'data', 'size']
48 (0.019133) predicted: ['get', 'view', 'type', 'count']
49 (0.016192) predicted: ['get', 'default', 'index']
50 (0.012449) predicted: ['get', 'container', 'columns']
51 Attention:
52 0.406867 context: METHOD_NAME,(NameExpr1)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(IntegerLiteralExpr0),2
53 0.360656 context: int,(PrimitiveType0)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    NameExpr1),METHOD_NAME
54 0.232476 context: int,(PrimitiveType0)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(IntegerLiteralExpr0),2
55 Original name: get|result|addition
56 (0.660863) predicted: ['m']
57 (0.296608) predicted: ['add']
58 (0.009221) predicted: ['fred']
59 (0.008630) predicted: ['sum']
60 (0.007434) predicted: ['method']
61 (0.004702) predicted: ['inc']
62 (0.004635) predicted: ['n']
63 (0.003026) predicted: ['mod']
64 (0.003025) predicted: ['step']
65 (0.001857) predicted: ['duplicate']
66 Attention:
67 0.130732 context: int,(PrimitiveType0)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    NameExpr1),METHOD_NAME
68 0.123291 context: m,(VariableDeclaratorId0)^(Parameter)^(
    MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(BinaryExpr:plus)_(
    NameExpr0),n
69 0.084760 context: int,(PrimitiveType1)^(Parameter)^(
    MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(BinaryExpr:plus)_(
    NameExpr1),m
70 0.084211 context: int,(PrimitiveType1)^(Parameter)^(

```

```

MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(BinaryExpr:plus)_(
  NameExpr0),n
71 0.069463 context: n,(VariableDeclaratorId0)^(Parameter)^(
  MethodDeclaration)_(Parameter)_(VariableDeclaratorId0),m
72 0.063667 context: m,(VariableDeclaratorId0)^(Parameter)^(
  MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(BinaryExpr:plus)_(
  NameExpr1),m
73 0.054777 context: int,(PrimitiveType0)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
  Parameter)_(VariableDeclaratorId0),n
74 0.047494 context: n,(VariableDeclaratorId0)^(Parameter)^(
  MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(BinaryExpr:plus)_(
  NameExpr0),n
75 0.045244 context: n,(VariableDeclaratorId0)^(Parameter)^(
  MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(BinaryExpr:plus)_(
  NameExpr1),m
76 0.042350 context: int,(PrimitiveType0)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
  Parameter)_(PrimitiveType1),int
77 Modify the file: "Input.java" and press any key when ready, or "q"
  / "quit" / "exit" to exit

```

Listing 6 – Résultat du troisième input

2 Récupération des *Attention vector*

Pour récupérer de façon programmatique les AST paths et leurs poids nous avons réalisé le [script bash](#) suivant (voir [Listing 7](#)) :

```
1 export CODE2VEC_PATH=code2vec.py
2 export MODELS_PATH=models/java14_model/saved_model_iter8.release
3 export RAW_OUTPUT_PATH=output.out
4 export ERR_LOG_PATH=err.log
5 export OUTPUT_PATH=ast_path.out
6 export TIME2SLEEP_IN_SECONDS=60
7
8 echo "Working"
9 echo -ne '\n' | python3 $CODE2VEC_PATH --load $MODELS_PATH --
    predict > $RAW_OUTPUT_PATH 2> $ERR_LOG_PATH &
10 echo "Waiting for code2vec..." && sleep $TIME2SLEEP_IN_SECONDS
11 echo "Code2Vec has Finished!"
12 echo "Processing " $RAW_OUTPUT_PATH
13 grep -E "context:|Attention:|Original name:" $RAW_OUTPUT_PATH >
    $OUTPUT_PATH
14 echo "Saved result in " $OUTPUT_PATH
15 echo "Done."
```

Listing 7 – Script de récupération des AST-Paths

Pour utiliser ce script, il suffit de paramétrer les variables de la ligne 1 à 6. Puis, de l'exécuter depuis un terminal Bash. Nous avons essayé notre script dans la version suivante de Bash (voir [Listing 8](#)) :

```
1 GNU bash, version 5.0.17(1)-release (x86_64-pc-linux-gnu)
```

Listing 8 – Version de bash

Résultats

Le listing suivant ([Listing 9](#)) illustre le contenu du fichier résultant de la récupération des attentions vector, émanant de l'exécution de l'input.java présenté dans le [Listing 3](#) :

```
1 Original name: get|java|files
2 Attention:
3 0.147383 context: string,(ClassOrInterfaceType)^(
    ClassOrInterfaceType)^(MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(
    ExpressionStmt)_(VariableDeclarationExpr)_(VariableDeclarator)_(
    ObjectCreationExpr)_(NameExpr1),path
4 0.124728 context: path,(NameExpr1)^(ObjectCreationExpr)^(
    VariableDeclarator)^(VariableDeclarationExpr)^(ExpressionStmt)^(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr0),parser
5 0.092909 context: path,(NameExpr1)^(ObjectCreationExpr)^(
    VariableDeclarator)^(VariableDeclarationExpr)^(ExpressionStmt)^(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr2),directory
```

```

6 0.090906 context: METHOD_NAME,(NameExpr1)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr0),parser
7 0.071261 context: METHOD_NAME,(NameExpr1)^(MethodDeclaration)_(
    BlockStmt)_(ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr2),directory
8 0.067422 context: string,(ClassOrInterfaceType0)^(
    ClassOrInterfaceType)^(MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(
    ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr3),getfilespaths
9 0.062629 context: string,(ClassOrInterfaceType0)^(
    ClassOrInterfaceType)^(MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(
    ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr0),parser
10 0.055047 context: string,(ClassOrInterfaceType0)^(
    ClassOrInterfaceType)^(MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(
    ReturnStmt)_(MethodCallExpr0)_(NameExpr2),directory
11 0.043602 context: string,(ClassOrInterfaceType0)^(
    ClassOrInterfaceType)^(MethodDeclaration)_(NameExpr1),
    METHOD_NAME
12 0.032642 context: string,(ClassOrInterfaceType0)^(
    ClassOrInterfaceType)^(MethodDeclaration)_(BlockStmt)_(
    ExpressionStmt)_(VariableDeclarationExpr)_(VariableDeclarator)_(
    VariableDeclaratorId0),directory

```

Listing 9 – Résultat de récupération des AST-Paths et leur poids

3 Question ouverte

Question :

- Est-ce pertinent d'utiliser les AST paths pour identifier des bad-smells si par exemple il n'y a pas de poids dominant (méthode avec plusieurs fonctionnalités) ?

Après avoir testées sur plusieurs exemples, nous avons constamment obtenu un poids dominant. Étant dans l'incertitude sur le fait d'avoir essayé tous les cas possibles, nous nous sommes documenté grâce aux articles présentés dans la bibliographie de ce rapport et nous avons abouti à la conclusion suivante.

En se basant sur les résultats des articles «Recommendation of Move Method Refactoring Using Path-Based Representation of Code» [4] et «Automatic Detection of Architectural Bad Smells through Semantic Representation of Code» [5], nous pouvons avancer que l'utilisation des AST-paths est pertinentes dans l'identification des bads smells [3]. En effet, l'utilisation du deep learning dans ce domaine offre de meilleurs résultats que les méthodes, traditionnelles, basées sur l'utilisation de métrique. Cependant, l'article «Evaluating the Effectiveness of Code2Vec for Bug Prediction When Considering That Not All Bugs Are the Same» [2] indique que leur utilisation ne fournit pas tout le temps des résultats parfait et qu'il existe des domaines d'amélioration qui pourrait être appliqué aux modèles d'apprentissage sémantique à l'avenir.

Références bibliographiques

- [1] Uri ALON et al. « Code2Vec : Learning Distributed Representations of Code ». In : *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 3.POPL (jan. 2019), 40 :1-40 :29. ISSN : 2475-1421. DOI : [10.1145/3290353](https://doi.org/10.1145/3290353). URL : <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/3290353>.
- [2] Kilby BARON. « Evaluating the Effectiveness of Code2Vec for Bug Prediction When Considering That Not All Bugs Are the Same ». Mém. de mast. University of Waterloo, 2020.
- [3] Martin FOWLER. *Refactoring : improving the design of existing code*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2018.
- [4] Zarina KURBATOVA et al. « Recommendation of Move Method Refactoring Using Path-Based Representation of Code ». In : *Proceedings of the IEEE/ACM 42nd International Conference on Software Engineering Workshops*. 2020, p. 315-322.
- [5] Ilaria PIGAZZINI. « Automatic detection of architectural bad smells through semantic representation of code ». In : *Proceedings of the 13th European Conference on Software Architecture-Volume 2*. 2019, p. 59-62.