Lec 2: KL Divergence

Yavjn Han

Defn (KL Divergence)

For two probability distributions P, Q over the same space, the Kullback-Leibler

divergence (or the relative entropy) of P w.r.t. Q is
$$D_{KL}(P||Q) = \begin{cases} E_{X\sim P} \left[\log \frac{dP}{dQ}(X)\right] & \text{if } P \ll Q \\ +\infty & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

Remark: 1. The above define covers both discrete and continuous cases, i.e.

$$D_{KL}(P|Q) = \sum_{x \in X} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \quad \text{if} \quad p, q \text{ are parts}$$
and
$$D_{KL}(P|Q) = \int p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{1/x} d\mu(x) \quad \text{if} \quad p, q \text{ are parts} \quad w.r.t. \mu.$$

2. This is a divergence rather than a distance, i.e. $D_{KL}(P|Q) \neq D_{KL}(Q|P)$.

For this veason, we write $D_{KL}(P|Q)$ instead of $D_{KL}(P,Q)$.

3. IT origin: $D_{KL}(P|Q)$ is the "redundancy" of using Q for source coding

while the true distribution is P:

$$D_{KL}(P||Q) = \sum_{x} p(x) \log \frac{1}{q(x)} - H(P)$$
expected co-delength co-delength for source P

Basic properties

Property I: DKL(PIIQ) >0, with equality iff P=Q.

Note: this gives the usual proof of

$$\frac{Pf}{D_{KL}(P|I|Q)} = \mathbb{E}_{P}\left[\log \frac{dP}{dQ}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{P}\left[\log \frac{dQ}{dP}\right] \ge -\log \mathbb{E}_{P}\left[\frac{dQ}{dP}\right] = 0.$$

 $I(X;Y) = \mathbb{E}_{P_{XY}} \left[\log \frac{P_{XY}(X;Y)}{P_{X}(X)P_{Y}(Y)} \right] = D_{KL}(P_{XY} || P_{X}P_{Y}) \ge 0.$

Also, equality holds iff Pxr = PxPr, i.e. X and Y are independent.

Property
$$I$$
: $(P, Q) \mapsto D_{KL}(P||Q)$ is joint convex.

Pt. Follow from the joint convexity of $(x,y) \mapsto x \log \frac{P}{y}$ over R_{+}^{*} ,

whose Hessian is $\begin{bmatrix} 1/x & -1/y \\ -1/y & x/y^{*} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$.

Property I (Chain rule): $D_{KL}(P_{X'}||Q_{X'}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{P_{X'}^{*}}[D_{KL}(P_{X_{i}|X^{i-1}}||Q_{X_{i}|X^{i-1}})]$.

Pf. $D_{KL}(P_{X'}||Q_{X'}) = \mathbb{E}_{P_{X'}}[\log \frac{P_{X'}}{Q_{X'}}]$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{P_{X'}}[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \frac{P_{X_{i}|X^{i-1}}}{Q_{X_{i}|X^{i-1}}}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{P_{X_{i}^{*}}} \mathbb{E}_{P_{X_{i}^{*}}|X^{i-1}}[\log \frac{P_{X_{i}|X^{i-1}}}{Q_{X_{i}|X^{i-1}}}]$$

$$= D_{KL}(P_{X_{i}|X^{i-1}}||Q_{X_{i}|X^{i-1}})$$

a processing inequality (PPI): an important property of KL divergence

Peta processing inequality (DPI): an important property of KL divergence

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \underset{\longrightarrow}{\text{Pf.}} \text{ (Method I: convexity) For conditional kernel } K(x|y) = \frac{P_{Y|X}(y|x)}{Z_{y}}, \\ & \underset{\longrightarrow}{\text{Py}}(y) = \sum_{x} P_{X}(x) P_{Y|X}(y|x) = 2y \cdot \sum_{x} P_{X}(x) K(x|y) = 2y \cdot \mathbb{E}_{X \sim K(\cdot|y)}[P_{X}(x)] \\ & \underset{\longrightarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} \text{ (Qx)} & \underset{\longrightarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} \\ & \underset{\longrightarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} \text{ (Qx)} & \underset{\longrightarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} \\ & \underset{\longrightarrow}{\text{Py}}(y) \log \frac{P_{Y}(y)}{Q_{Y}(y)} \\ & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{Ex}} P_{X}(x) \log \frac{P_{X}(x)}{P_{X}(x)} \log \frac{P_{X}(x)}{P_{X}(x)} \\ & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{Convexity if}} & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{Ex}} P_{X}(x) \log \frac{P_{X}(x)}{P_{X}(x)} \\ & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} \\ & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} \\ & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{(Qx)}} & \underset{\longleftarrow}{\text{($$

 $= \sum_{y} \sum_{x} p_{Y|X}(y|x) p_{X}(x) \log \frac{p_{X}(x)}{q_{X}(x)} = p_{kL}(p_{X} \parallel Q_{X}).$

$$= D_{KL}(P_{Y} || Q_{Y}) + \mathbb{E}_{P_{Y}}[D_{KL}(P_{X}|Y || Q_{X}|Y)]$$

$$\geq D_{KL}(P_{Y} || Q_{Y}) \qquad \geq 0$$

$$Applications of DPI$$

$$DPI of mutual information: if X-Y-2, then
$$I(X; Y) \geq I(X; 2)$$

$$PL \qquad P_{XY} \qquad II \otimes P_{Z|Y} \qquad P_{XZ} (by Morksu)$$

$$P_{X} P_{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow I(X; Y) = D_{KL}(P_{XY} || P_{X} P_{Y}) \geq D_{KL}(P_{XZ} || P_{X} P_{Z}) = I(X; 2)$$

$$P(X \neq Y) \geq I - \frac{I(X; Y) + log 2}{log M}.$$

$$PL \qquad P_{X} P_{Y} \qquad P_{X} P_{Y}$$

$$P_{X} P_{Y} \qquad P_{X} P_{X} \qquad P_{X} P_{$$$$

(Method 2: chain rule) Let Pxy = Px PxIX, Qxx = Qx PxIX.

= DKL (PXT | QXT)

 $D_{\text{KL}}(P_{X} \parallel Q_{X}) = D_{\text{KL}}(P_{X} \parallel Q_{X}) + \mathbb{E}_{P_{X}} \left[\underbrace{D_{\text{KL}}(P_{Y} \mid X \mid Q_{Y} \mid X)}_{P_{X}} \right]$

Durl representation of KL: move from distributions to functions

$$\frac{D_{ons} \text{kar-Varadhar}}{\text{where the sup is taken over all functions } f \text{ with } \text{Ea[ef]} < \infty.}$$

Pf (
$$\leq$$
) Take $f = \log \frac{dP}{dQ}$.
(\geqslant) By replacing f by $f - c$, WLOG can assume $\mathbb{E}_{a}[e^{f}] = 1$.
In this case,
 $\widetilde{Q}(dx) = e^{f(x)} Q(dx)$ is also a distribution.

$$D_{kL}(P||Q) \sim \mathbb{E}_{P}f = \mathbb{E}_{P}[\log \frac{dP}{e^{\frac{1}{2}}dQ}] = \mathbb{E}_{P}[\log \frac{dP}{dQ}]$$

$$= D_{kL}(P||Q) \geq 0$$

包

Gibbs variational principle.

log Ea[ef] = sup Epf - Pkr(PIIQ)

Pf.
$$(\leq)$$
 Take $P(dx) = \frac{e^{f(x)}Q(dx)}{E_0[e^{f}]}$.
 (\geq) By Donster-Varadhan.

Both results have numerous applications in practica.

Application 1: transportation inequalities Example 1.1 Restricting Donsker-Variation to f= 29 with 1911= 51:

DKL (PIIQ) > sup \ \text{\$\text{\$\text{Fp[g]} - log \$\text{\$\exiting{\$\text{\$\}}}\$}}\$}\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$ $\leq \lambda E_{\alpha}(g) + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{8}$ by Hoeffding's ineq.

= 2. Tv(P.Q)2

which is Pirsker's inequality (see next lecture, also for an alternative proof)

Example 1-2 (Bobkov & Götze): The following are equivalent: $\mathbb{E}_{a}[e^{\lambda(f-E_{a}f)}] \leq \exp(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}C) \text{ for all } 1-\text{Lip function: } f \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{R};$

② W.(P.Q) ≤ √2C·De(PIQ) holds for all P. Lipschitz: $|f(x)-f(y)| \leq d(x,y)$ Wasserstein- distance:

for a given metric d. inf $\mathbb{E}_{(X,Y)\sim\pi}[d(X,Y)]$

Pf. (O => @) KL(PIIQ) > Sign like A.E. f - log Fa[elf]

$$\geqslant \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda \left(\mathbb{E}_{p} f - \mathbb{E}_{a} f \right) - \frac{\lambda^{2}C}{2}$$

$$f: I-Li_{p}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2C} \left(\sup_{f:I-Li_{p}} \mathbb{E}_{p} f - \mathbb{E}_{a} f \right)^{2} = \frac{W_{1}(P, a)^{2}}{2C}.$$

(D => D) log Eq [e \(\famile \text{Tf-Faf}\)] = sup Ep[\(\lambda \text{Tf-Faff}\)] - Dkc (P|| Q) < sup \(\mathbb{E}_pf-\mathbb{E}_af\) - \(\frac{\mathbb{E}_pf-\mathbb{E}_{af}\)\) $\leq \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda}$ C 12

Application 2: variational inference

Setting: a family of distributions $p_{\theta}(x^{n}, y^{n})$ where both

 $p_{\theta}(x^{\hat{}})$ and $p_{\theta}(y^{\hat{}}|x^{\hat{}})$ are tractable

Problem: estimate θ given only y^n (x^n not observable: missing data/latent variable)

Difficulty: $p_{\theta}(y^n) = \int p_{\theta}(x^n)p_{\theta}(y^n|x^n) dx^n$ often not log-concave on tractable

Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)
$$\log p_{\theta}(y^{n}) = \sup_{\varrho} \mathbb{E}_{x^{n} \sim \varrho} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{n}, y^{n})}{\varrho(x^{n})} \right]$$

Pf. Gibbs variational principle

$$\Rightarrow \log p_{\theta}(\hat{y}) \Rightarrow \log \mathbb{E}_{p_{\theta}(\hat{x})} e^{\log p_{\theta}(\hat{y})|\hat{x}|}$$

Example 2.1 (Ising model). $p(y^n) = \frac{1}{2} \exp(\sum_{i \in j} A_{ij} y_i y_j + \sum_i b_i y_i)$, $y^n \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ Variational inference of log 2: $|A_{ij} y_i y_j + \sum_i b_i y_i|$ $|A_{ij} y_i y_j + \sum_i b_i y_i|$ $|A_{ij} y_i y_j + \sum_i b_i y_i|$

$$l_{oj} \geq = l_{oj} \left(2^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{y}^{2} - U_{n}f(\{\pm i\}^{n})} \exp \left(\sum_{i \in j} A_{ij} y_{i} y_{j} + \sum_{i} b_{i} y_{i} \right) \right)$$

$$= n l_{oj} 2 + \sup_{p} \left(\mathbb{E}_{p} \left[\sum_{i \in j} A_{ij} y_{i} y_{i} + \sum_{i} b_{i} y_{i} \right] - D_{EL} \left(p \parallel U_{n}f(\{\pm i\}^{n}) \right) \right)$$

Relaxing to
$$p = \prod Bern(p_i)$$
 and optimizing over $(p_i, -, p_n)$ yield a tractable lower bound.

Example 2.2 (EM algorithm): ain to find the MLE

$$\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log p_{\theta}(y^{n}) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{sup}} \mathbb{E}_{x^{n} \sim q} \left[\log \frac{p_{\theta}(x^{n}, y^{n})}{1(x^{n})} \right].$$

Successive maximization:

• E step: fix $\theta = \theta^{(4)}$, the maximizen is $q^{(4)}(x^2) = p_{\theta^{(4)}}(x^2)y^2$

• M step: fix $q = q^{(4)}$, the maximizer is factorizable in the missing data case $\Theta^{(4+1)} = \operatorname{arg\,max} \mathbb{E}_{X^n \sim q^n} \Big[\log P_0(x^n, y^n) \Big]$ no integral; tractable

(For example, in exponential families $P_{\Theta}(x,y) \propto exp(\langle \Theta.T(xy) \rangle - A(\theta))$,

E-step corresponds to the computation of $M: \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \mathbb{E}_{X_i \sim P_{\theta}(x_i)}(T(x_i, y_i))$, and M-step corresponds to the usual MLE computation $\nabla A(\theta^{(tr)}) = \frac{1}{n} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \mu_i$.

Example 2.3 (VAE): given images y_1, \dots, y_n , ain to find a generative model: $x_i \sim N(o, I)$, $y_i \sim N(y_0(x_i), \sigma_0^2(x_i)I)$

Using ELBO: Po(x². y²) 7

Idea of VAE: ① Replace $\mathbb{E}_{x^* \cap y}$ by empirical mean of simulated samples $X_{ij} \sim N(p_{\beta}(y_i), \Gamma_{\beta}^2(y_i)I)$, j=1,2,...,M; ② Counta ∇_{x} by the explicit expression of log $P_{\alpha}(x^*, y^*)$

② Compute ∇_{θ} by the explicit expression of log $p_{\theta}(x^2, y^2)$; ③ Compute ∇_{θ} by the reparametrization trick:

 $\nabla_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim N(p_{\phi}, \sigma_{\theta}^{2}, z)} [f(x)] = \nabla_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{z \sim N(\phi, z)} [f(p_{\phi} + \sigma_{\phi} z)]$

$$\approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \nabla_{i} f(\gamma_{i} + \sigma_{i} \xi_{i})$$

Application 3: adaptive data analysis

Problem: data $X^n \stackrel{i.id.}{\sim} P$, a class of functions $\{\phi_t : X \to R\}$

For each given of, we have

$$P_{\bullet} \phi_{\bullet} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{\bullet}(X_{i}) \approx \mathbb{E}_{P} [\phi_{\bullet}(X_{i})] = P \phi_{\bullet}$$

What happens to PAPT if the index T depends on the data X"?

Example 3.1 (Russo & Zon'16) If each \$4 is o2-sub-Gaussian under P.

 $\left| \mathbb{E} \left[P_n \phi_T \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[P \phi_T \right] \right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2}{n}} \mathbb{I}(X^{\hat{\cdot}}; T)$

Remark: ① If $I(T; X^n) = 0$, i.e. T is independent of X^n ,

then Pago is unbiased for PdT.

D If T ∈ {1,..., m}, then I(X', T) ≤ H(T) ≤ log m,

and the upper bound $\sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2 \log n}{n}}$ can be shown via union bound

Pf Define two distributions: Px, T: the joint distribution in the problem

Qx. T = Px PT: an auxiliary distribution where X and T are independent

Then $\mathbb{E}[P_n \not p_T] = \mathbb{E}_{P_{X^*,T}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\tau} \phi_{\tau}(X_{\tau})\right]$

$$\mathbb{E}[P\phi_{\tau}] = \mathbb{E}_{Q_{x}, \tau} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \phi_{\tau}(X_{i}) \right]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[P\phi_{\tau}] = \mathbb{E}[Q_{x}, \tau] = \mathbb{E}[Q_{$$

$$D_{\text{ork}} \ker - Variation \Rightarrow I(X^{\hat{\cdot}}; T) = D_{\text{KL}}(P_{X^{\hat{\cdot}}, T} || Q_{X^{\hat{\cdot}}, T})$$

$$\geqslant \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}_{P_{X^{n},T}} \left[\frac{\lambda}{n} \sum_{i} \phi_{r}(X_{i}) \right]$$

$$-\log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{X',T}} \left[e^{\frac{\lambda}{n} \sum_{i} \phi_{\tau}(X_{i})} \right]$$

$$\leq \lambda \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[P \phi_{\tau} \right] + \frac{\lambda^{2} c^{2}}{2n}$$

$$\geq \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda(\mathbb{E}[P_n \phi_T] - \mathbb{E}[P\phi_T]) - \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2 \sigma}$$

$$= (\mathbb{E}[P_{n}\phi_{T}] - \mathbb{E}[P\phi_{T}])^{2} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2\sigma^{2}}$$

Application 4: PAC-Bayes

<u>PAC-Bayes inequality</u> Let $X \sim P$, and consider a class of functions $\{f\theta: X \to R\}$. Fix any prior distribution π of θ . Then w.p. $\geq 1-\delta$ (over the randomness in X), for all distributions ρ over θ .

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \rho} \left[f_{\theta}(\mathsf{X}) - \psi(\theta) \right] \leq \mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(\rho \| \pi) + \log \frac{1}{\delta},$$

where $\psi(\theta) := \log \mathbb{E}_{X \sim P} e^{\int \theta(X)}$.

Remark: 1) The exception set depends on π , but not on ρ .

- 2) This inequality holds for all p, which generalizes the union bound where p is usually taken to be a point mass $p = \delta \theta$.
- 3 By taking $p = P_{\theta|X}$ to be a data-dependent distribution, we'll have

$$\mathbb{E}_{(\theta,X) \sim P_{\theta X}} [f_{\theta}(X) - \psi(\theta)] \leq \inf_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{P_{X}} [D_{KL}(P_{\theta | X} | | \pi)]$$

$$= \overline{I}(\theta; X) \quad (exercise!)$$

Pf. By Markov's inequality, suffices to prove

$$\mathbb{E}_{X\sim p}\left[\sup_{\rho}\exp\left(\mathbb{E}_{\theta\sim \rho}\left[f_{\theta}(X)-\psi(\theta)\right]-D_{\text{RL}}(\rho\|\pi)\right)\right]\leq 1.$$

By Gibbs variational principle, the LHS is

$$\mathbb{E}_{X\sim P} \left[\exp\left(\log \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \pi} e^{\int_{\theta}(X) - \psi(e)} \right) \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{X \sim P} \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \pi} \left[e^{\int_{\theta} (X) - \psi(\theta)} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \pi} \left[\mathbb{E}_{X \sim P} e^{\int_{\theta} (X) - \psi(\theta)} \right] = 1$$

Why call it PAC-Bayes? Come from the following application in statistical learning theory:

Example 4.1 Let
$$f: X \to Co, IJ$$
, $X_1, \dots, X_n \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} P$
 $P_n f := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(X_i)$, $P_i f := \mathbb{E}_{X \sim P}[f(X)]$.

For fixed f, sub-Gaussian concentration (Hoeffding's inequality) gives $(P_n f - P_n f)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2n} \log \frac{2}{\delta} \quad \text{w.p.} \geq 1 - \delta.$

By PAC-Bayes, fix a prior π , then w.p. $\geq 1-\delta$, for any ρ :

$$\mathbb{E}_{f \sim p} \left[\lambda (P_{-}f - P_{f})^{2} - \log \mathbb{E}_{X} e^{\frac{\lambda (P_{-}f - P_{f})^{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - subGranssian}} \le D_{EL}(P||\pi) + \log \frac{1}{\delta} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}} \quad \text{if } \lambda < 4\alpha.$$

Choosing
$$\lambda = 2n$$
 gives $\mathbb{E}_{f \sim p} [(P_n f - P_f)^2] \leq \frac{D_{kL}(p||\pi) + \log^2 \delta}{2n} \quad \forall p.$

PAC-Bayes also has surprising applications to concentration inequalities, by choosing p and To appropriately.

Example 4.2 If
$$X \sim N(o, \Sigma)$$
, then w.p. $\geq 1-\delta$.
 $\|X\|_2 \leq \sqrt{Tr \Sigma} + \sqrt{2\|\Sigma\|_o p \log \frac{1}{\delta}}$.

It's very difficult to make covering/chaining arguments give such sharp bound, because of a general shaped Σ . One need to invoke Talagrand's generic chaining to this example, but it's very difficult to carry out.

$$\frac{\underline{Pf}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}} = \sup_{\|\mathbf{y}\|_{2}=1} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{X} \rangle.$$

To apply PAC-Bayes, we construct a prior
$$\rho_v$$
 such that $\mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \rho_v}[(\theta, X)] = \langle v, X \rangle$
A natural choice is $\rho_v = N(v, \sigma^2 I)$. Then for $\pi = N(o, \sigma^2 I)$: w.p. $\geqslant 1-\delta$.

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{L}} \leq 1} \lambda \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{X} \rangle - \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \left(\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma \mathbf{v} + \sigma^{2} \mathsf{Tr}(\Sigma) \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \leq \log \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{X} \rangle \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\underbrace{\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma \mathbf{v} + \sigma^{2} \mathsf{Tr}(\Sigma)}_{\leq \parallel \Sigma \parallel \cdot \mathbf{p}} \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} + \log \frac{1}{\delta} \right), \quad \forall \mathbf{v}.$$

Example 4.3 Let
$$X_1, \dots, X_n$$
 be i.i.d with $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = 0$. $\mathbb{E}[X_1X_1^T] = \Sigma$, and that V^TX_1 is $V^T\Sigma V$ -sub-Gaussian for any $V \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^T$ be the sample

covariance. Then w.p.
$$\geq 1-\delta$$
.
$$\| \hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma \|_{op} \leq C \| \Sigma \|_{op} \left(\sqrt{\frac{r(\Sigma) + \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{n}} + \frac{r(\Sigma) + \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{n} \right)$$

where $r(\Sigma) = \frac{Tr(\Sigma)}{\|\Sigma\|_{p}}$ is called the effective rank.

Remark: This is the result of [Koltchinskii & Lounici'17], where the key challenge is to arrive at the tight factor
$$r(\Sigma)$$
. Our proof is taken from [2hivotouskiy'21] via PAC-Bayes.

Pf. (Throughout the proof, C denotes a large universal constant which may change
$$\| \widehat{Z} - \Sigma \|_{op} = \sup_{\| \mathbf{u} \|_{2}, \| \mathbf{v} \|_{2} = 1} \mathbf{u}^{\mathsf{T}} (\widehat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) \, \mathbf{v}. \qquad \text{from line to line}).$$
 Consider $(0,0') \sim p_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} := f_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes f_{\mathbf{v}}$, where $f_{\mathbf{u}}$ is the density of
$$N(\mathbf{u}, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}) \quad \text{conditioned on} \quad (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}) \leq r^{2}.$$
 Clearly $\mathbb{E}_{(0,0')} \sim p_{\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}} [0^{\mathsf{T}} (\widehat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) 0'] = \mathbf{u}^{\mathsf{T}} (\widehat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) \, \mathbf{v}, \text{ and}$
$$p := \mathbb{P}(2^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{z} \leq r^{2}) \geqslant 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}[2^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma \mathbf{z}]}{r^{2}} = 1 - \frac{\sigma^{2} \mathsf{Tr}(\Sigma)}{r^{2}} \quad \text{for } 2 \sim N(0, r^{2}\mathbf{I}).$$
 Let $\pi = N(0, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}) \otimes N(0, \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I})$. One can compute

 $D_{KL}(\int_{u} \| N(o, \sigma^{2} D) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} + \log(\frac{1}{P}).$

so that
$$D_{KL}(\rho_{MV} \parallel \pi) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + 2\log(\frac{1}{P})$$
.

Now by PAC-Bayes, w.p.
$$31-3$$
,

Sup $\mathbb{E}_{(\theta,\theta')\sim \rho_{u,v}} \left[\lambda \theta^{\mathsf{T}} (\hat{\Sigma}-\Sigma) \theta' - \log \mathbb{E}_{e}^{\lambda \theta^{\mathsf{T}} (\hat{\Sigma}-\Sigma) \theta'} \right] - D_{\mathsf{KL}} \left(\rho_{u,v} \| \pi \right) \leq \log \frac{1}{\delta}$
 $\|\mathbf{w}\|_{2}, \|\mathbf{w}\|_{2} = 1$

 $\leq \frac{C\lambda^{2}}{n} \left(\theta^{T} \Sigma \theta + {\theta'}^{T} \Sigma \theta' \right)^{2} \\
\leq \frac{C}{(\theta^{T} \Sigma \theta + {\theta'}^{T} \Sigma \theta')}.$

$$C(\theta_{\perp}\Sigma\theta + \theta_{\perp}\Sigma\theta_{\perp}).$$

Since $\theta^T \Sigma \theta \leq (\sqrt{u^T \Sigma u} + \sqrt{(\theta - w)^T \Sigma (\theta - w)})^2 \leq (\sqrt{\|\Sigma\|_p} + r)^2$. We get

Since
$$0.20 \le (\sqrt{u^2} \le 4 + \sqrt{(0-w)^2} \le (\sqrt{||\Sigma||}, p + r)$$
. We get

$$\|\widehat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{op} \leq \frac{C\lambda}{n} \left(\int \|\Sigma\|_{op} + r \right)^4 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + 2\log\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) + \log\frac{1}{\delta} \right)$$

$$if \quad \lambda \leq \frac{1}{C(\int \|\Sigma\|_{op} + r)}$$

 $\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{p} \leq \frac{C\lambda}{n} \left(\int \|\Sigma\|_{p} + r \right)^{4} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} + 2\log\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) + \log\frac{1}{\delta} \right)$ $if \quad \lambda \leq \frac{1}{C\left(\int \|\Sigma\|_{p} + r \right)^{2}}.$ Choose $r^2 = 2\|\Sigma\|_{op}$, $\sigma^2 = \frac{\|\Sigma\|_{op}}{T_r(\Sigma)} = \frac{1}{r(\Sigma)}$, then $p \ge \frac{1}{2}$, and

ose
$$r^2 = 2||\Sigma||_{op}$$
, $\sigma^2 = \frac{||\Sigma||_{op}}{T_r(\Sigma)} = \frac{1}{r(\Sigma)}$, then $p \ge \frac{1}{2}$, and $||\widehat{\Sigma} - \Sigma||_{op} \le C(\frac{\lambda}{n}||\Sigma||_{op}^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda}(r(\Sigma) + \log \frac{1}{\delta}))$, if $\lambda \le \frac{n}{C||\Sigma||_{op}}$.