

## Formal Methods and Functional Programming – Assignment 2

**Author:** Yannis Huber (17-570-110)

Teaching assistant: Tobias Oberdörfer

## Typing proofs and inference

a)

 $\lambda x.(x \text{ 1 True, } x \text{ 0}) :: (Int -> Bool -> a) -> (a, Bool -> a):$ 

$$\frac{\bigcap \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{True} \ :: \ \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \ \mathsf{1} \ \mathsf{True} \ :: \ \mathsf{a}} \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: \ \tau_0 \ \to \ \mathsf{Bool} \ \to \ \mathsf{a}}{\Gamma \vdash x \ \mathsf{0} \ :: \ \mathsf{Bool} \ \to \ \mathsf{a}}}_{\Gamma \vdash x \ \mathsf{0} \ :: \ \mathsf{Bool} \ \to \ \mathsf{a}} \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: \ \tau_0 \ \to \ \mathsf{Bool} \ \to \ \mathsf{a}}{\Gamma \vdash x \ \mathsf{0} \ :: \ \mathsf{Bool} \ \to \ \mathsf{a}}}_{\Gamma \mathsf{uple}}_{\mathsf{Abs}} \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: \ \tau_0 \ \to \ \mathsf{Bool} \ \to \ \mathsf{a}}{\Gamma \vdash x \ \mathsf{0} \ :: \ \mathsf{0} \ \mathsf{0} \ :: \ \mathsf{0}}}_{\mathsf{Abs}}_{\mathsf{Abs}}_{\mathsf{Abs}}$$

Where  $\triangle$  is:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow a}{\Gamma \vdash x :: \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow a} Var \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash 1 :: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash x :: \tau_1 \rightarrow a} App$$

Constraints:  $\tau_0 = \text{Int}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \text{Bool}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \text{Int}$ .

b)

 $(\lambda x.\lambda y.(y \text{ (iszero } (y x))))$  True ::  $\tau_0$ :

Constraints:  $\tau_2 = \tau_4 \rightarrow \tau_3$ ,  $\tau_4 = \mathsf{Bool}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \tau_5$ ,  $\tau_2 = \tau_5 \rightarrow \mathsf{Int}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \mathsf{Bool}$ ,  $\tau_0 = \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3$ . This gives us  $\tau_0 = (\mathsf{Bool} \rightarrow \mathsf{Int}) \rightarrow \mathsf{Int}$ .

c)

 $\lambda x.\lambda y.$  if y x then (fst x) else (snd (snd x)) ::  $\tau_0$ :

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash y :: \tau_5 \rightarrow \mathsf{Bool}}{\Gamma \vdash y :: \tau_5 \rightarrow \mathsf{Bool}} \text{ Var } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: \tau_5}{\Gamma \vdash x :: \tau_5} \text{ Var } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_4, \tau_6)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{stt} \ x :: \tau_4} \text{ fix } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, (\tau_7, \tau_4))}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: (\tau_7, \tau_4)} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_8, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_8, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_8)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_9)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_9)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_9)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_9)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_7, \tau_9)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: (\tau_8, \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9} \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma \vdash x :: \tau_9, \tau_9, \tau_9}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{snd} \ x :: \tau_9,$$

Constraints:  $\tau_0 = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, \tau_2 = \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4, \tau_3 = \tau_5 \rightarrow \text{Bool}, \tau_1 = \tau_5, \tau_1 = (\tau_4, \tau_6), \tau_1 = (\tau_8, (\tau_7, \tau_4)).$  This gives us  $\tau_0 = (a, (b, c)) \rightarrow ((a, (b, c)) \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow c.$ 



d)

This is an example for an invalid expression. This type cannot be constructed because the deduction leads to a unification error between Int and (Int,  $\tau_1$ ).

$$\frac{\frac{}{\vdash \text{ 3+5} :: (\text{Int, } \tau_1)} \cancel{t}}{\vdash \text{ fst } (\text{3+5}) :: \text{Int}} \xrightarrow{\text{fst}} \frac{}{\vdash \text{ iszero (fst } (\text{3+5})) :: \tau_0} \text{iszero}$$

e)

Here, the derivation also leads to an unification error between Bool and  $\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1$ . Therefore, the expression is invalid.

$$\frac{ \frac{ }{x \ : \ \tau_1 \vdash 0 \ :: \ \tau_0} \ \text{Int} }{ \vdash \lambda x.0 \ :: \ \tau_1 \ \rightarrow \ \tau_0} \ \text{Abs} \quad \frac{ \vdash \ \mathsf{True} \ :: \ \tau_2 \ \rightarrow \ \tau_1}{ \vdash \ \mathsf{True} \ 5 \ :: \ \tau_2} \ \frac{ \mathsf{Int} }{ \vdash \ \mathsf{App}} }{ \vdash \ (\lambda x.0) \ (\mathsf{True} \ 5) \ :: \ \tau_0}$$