### Scheduling Processes

Yannis Smaragdakis, U. Athens

# Many Objectives

- Throughput
  - finishing many jobs (i.e., processes)
- Latency
  - finishing a job quickly
- Responsiveness
  - minimizing pauses, or first reaction
- Fairness
  - ensuring all jobs get a chance to run

### Metrics

- Turnaround time
- Response time
- CPU Utilization

$$T_{turnaround} = T_{completion} - T_{arrival}$$

$$T_{response} = T_{firstrun} - T_{arrival}$$

#### **Difficulties**

- Jobs arrive at any time
- Their workload is unknown
- They don't just use the CPU, but may block
  - relinquishing the CPU! (Most common!)
- Their blocking duration is unpredictable
- Switching jobs has an overhead

#### Some Policies

- FIFO/First-Come, First-Served (FCFS)
- Shortest Job First (SJF)
  - do we know enough to do this well?
- Shortest Time-to-Completion First (STCF)
- Round Robin (RR) with time slices
  - tradeoff? I/O?
  - generally: fair policy => bad turnaround time

## **Need Adaptive Policy!**

- First try:
  - use priorities (many queues), RR if same
  - initially at high priority
  - a job that exhausts time slice gets reduced priority
    - otherwise, if it blocks, stays at same priority
- Aim: I/O jobs get preference
- Weaknesses: can be gamed, can starve

## Good Adaptive Policy: MLFQ

- Multi-Level Feedback Queue:
  - use priorities (many queues), RR if same
  - initially at high priority
  - a job that exhausts time slice (in however many schedulings) gets reduced priority
  - after some time S, move all jobs to top priority

### Other Approaches

- Lottery scheduling: distribute tickets (e.g., process A gets 30%, B gets 60%, C gets 10%), hold lottery on every time slice
- Randomized algorithm: good for avoiding worstcase behavior