

Judge:

Instructions: *Presentations should be 10 minutes in length.* Circle one number for each criterion. Scoring sheet without judge's name will be returned to the presenter. Turn into check-in desk ASAP.

Of the talks you judged, where does this talk rank? 1 (best) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Oral Presentation Rubric

Presenter:

Criterion	Exceeds Expectations		At Expectations		Below Expectations
Background	Issue, scope and importance presented clearly and succinctly. Reflects well-developed understanding of field. 10 9	8 7	The content was adequately presented, but support for the research was general. Big-picture research was addressed but not clearly related to project 6 5	4 3	Connection not clear between the content and purpose of study. Problem is unclear. 2 1
Objective and Methodology	Very clearly and succinctly identifies objective(s), rationale, methods and approach. Explicitly links current theory to individual's own research. 10 9	8 7	Objective(s), rationale, methods and approach of research are identified but are not clearly linked to research purpose. 6 5	4 3	Objective(s), hypothesis, rational, methods and/or approach of research not consistent or clear. 2 1
Results and Conclusions	Conclusions are clear, concise, and relevant. Relates back to big-picture context and are supported by the results. 10 9	8 7	Addresses research question(s); conclusions are inferred from result, but may not be clear, concise, or relates back to big-picture context. 6 5	4 3	Conclusions do not follow results; unrelated to objectives; presented in a confusing manner; insufficiently detailed. 2 1
Presentation Appearance, Flow, and Balance	Visuals enhance the communication process by utilizing the appropriate balance between effective visuals and text. 10 9	8 7	Visuals convey pertinent data but could improve effectiveness through better use of font, colors, headings, or white space. 6 5	4 3	Visuals distract from presentation by being too wordy or too limited (e.g., too much text or white space) 2 1
Presentation (1-3 points may be deducted for time at judges discretion)	Presenter was professional and guides audience through data and results in a timely manner. Gave succinct, clear explanations. 10 9	8 7	Presentation and demonstration of understanding was acceptable. Some problems with communication and maintaining audience's attention. 6 5	4 3	Some understanding of project but did not communicate effectively (volume, use of jargon, lack of professionalism, eye contact, etc.) 2 1
Audience Questions	Demonstrates full knowledge of the material; can explain and elaborate on expected questions concisely and professionally. 10 9	8 7	Answers nearly all questions effectively but occasionally misinterprets the questions or gives off target answers. 6 5	4 3	Is unable to answer any questions, only able to answer rudimentary level questions, or is dismissive, incoherent, or evasive. 2 1

Please write comments on the bottom-half of the back of this sheet; the top will be removed when feedback is returned to the presenter.

Judges: Please total the score below:

Top Score Range: 48 – 60

Average Score Range: 25-47

Below Average Score: 0-24

Total Score: _____/60