

Kaitlin Sun

Prof. Yao

Peer Review

July 21<sup>st</sup>, 2019

1. Brad's report

- a. Strength: the report is clear about all the steps he did and the reasons behind, which makes it easy to follow.
- b. Weakness: for standardization, it would be clearer if he could explain the step better as he did in other parts
- c. Overall: 3

2. Jeffrey's report

- a. Strength: the report includes detailed description on steps and the results from these steps. It is clear and well organized.
- b. Weakness: for analysis of importance of variables, graphs can make statements stronger.
- c. Overall: 3

3. Jonathan's report

- a. Strength: the report is really detailed on all parts. It has clear descriptions on all variables and dataset. It also gives tables and graphs to support statements.
- b. Weakness: graphs to show the relationship between "Survived" and variables could be helpful
- c. Overall: 3

4. Jim's report

- a. Strength: the report is direct in language and easy to follow. It includes lots of data (percentage, ratio, graphs, etc.) that are powerful.
- b. Weakness: better format would make the report more formal. More description on the actual model would make the step clearer.
- c. Overall: 3