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Summary:

The report mainly do a comprehensive work in data preparation, model design, performance evaluation, etc. The content is very detailed and satisfactory but lacks some description and comparison with the original paper.

Strengths:

Show every step in reproducing the paper.

Models and declarations are complete.

Weaknesses:

There are several format problems, for example in images location and titles.

Did not mention the difference between the original paper’s result and the reproduce result.

Clarity and writing:

Represent ideas in clear writing.

Technical quality:

Basically, the report grasps many technics in the original paper. Can add more extensions in future.