**Group 1:**

In this exercise of open peer review, please write down your comments of the reports rather than of your own team in the following format.

* Summary of the report.
  + They have a detailed introduction of the problem and their methods (GBDT and LightGBM). Then, they apply data analysis on the dataset to find the factors that will affect sales. After building the model with suitable parameters, they finally achieve 4.07945 score in the Kaggle private leaderboard.
* Describe the strengths of the report.
  + Sufficient visualizations to represent their observations and results.
  + Well-organized report structure.
  + Reasonable discussion of their results and future improvements.
* Describe the weaknesses of the report.
  + Can have more thinking of the different performances on the private and public score.
* Evaluation on Clarity and quality of writing (1-5): Is the report clearly written? Is there a good use of examples and figures? Is it well organized? Are there problems with style and grammar? Are there issues with typos, formatting, references, etc.? Please make suggestions to improve the clarity of the paper, and provide details of typos.
  + 5
* Evaluation on Technical Quality (1-5): Are the results technically sound? Are there obvious flaws in the reasoning? Are claims well-supported by theoretical analysis or experimental results? Are the experiments well thought out and convincing? Will it be possible for other researchers to replicate these results? Is the evaluation appropriate? Did the authors clearly assess both the strengths and weaknesses of their approach? Are relevant papers cited, discussed, and compared to the presented work?
  + 4
* Overall rating: (5- My vote as the best-report. 4- A good report. 3- An average one. 2- below average. 1- a poorly written one).
  + 5
* Confidence on your assessment (1-3) (3- I have carefully read the paper and checked the results, 2- I just browse the paper without checking the details, 1- My assessment can be wrong)
  + 3