**Group 2:**

• Summary of the report.

They did quite a strong work in this task, a rich EDA, detailed comparing three different models. LightGBM is the best among all models, which can quickly fit the data and learn the trend very well.

• Describe the strengths of the report.

1. They did EDA to explain the changing of the data through the statistics.
2. Compared three models in detail, including ARIMA, LSTM and LGBM, and reached a quite high public score finally.

• Describe the weaknesses of the report.

1. The private score is quite high, I’m not sure if it is due to the model itself or the Kaggle rules.

• Evaluation on Clarity and quality of writing (1-5): Is the report clearly written? Is there a

good use of examples and figures? Is it well organized? Are there problems with style and

grammar? Are there issues with typos, formatting, references, etc.? Please make suggestions

to improve the clarity of the paper, and provide details of typos.

5

• Evaluation on Technical Quality (1-5): Are the results technically sound? Are there obvious

flaws in the reasoning? Are claims well-supported by theoretical analysis or experimental

results? Are the experiments well thought out and convincing? Will it be possible for other

researchers to replicate these results? Is the evaluation appropriate? Did the authors clearly

assess both the strengths and weaknesses of their approach? Are relevant papers cited,

discussed, and compared to the presented work?

5

• Overall rating: (5- My vote as the best-report. 4- A good report. 3- An average one. 2-

below average. 1- a poorly written one).

5

• Confidence on your assessment (1-3) (3- I have carefully read the paper and checked the

results, 2- I just browse the paper without checking the details, 1- My assessment can be

wrong)

3