

Comprehensive Exam

Yao Gahounzo

September 13, 2021

1 Introduction and Background

The Antarctic ice shelves either melt or dissolve depending on the sea water temperature. The melting of the ice shelves occur due to turbulent transport of salt and heat to the ice face [1]. It also occur when the sea water is significantly warm. While the dissolution occur when the seawater temperature is closed to $0^{\circ}C$. Under the Antarctic Oceanic condition, the sea surface temperature are almost $0^{\circ}C$ (Rignot and Jacobs 2002, Payne et al. 2004, [1]). At such low temperature, the dissolution (phase change from solid to liquid) is controlled essentially by transfer of solute to ice-ocean interface. When the dissolution occurs, the interface salinity is non-zero [2]. The dissolution and the melting of ice shelves take place within the boundary layer so its knowledge is important in the prediction of the melting rate and the future rises of sea water.

To understand the processes of the dissolution and the melting at the ice shelves cavities, [3] conducted measurements with an autonomous underwater vehicle near the Pine Island Glacier. They provided detail and spatially complete set of observations from the water column near the ice cavity. However, these measurements are very challenging for the flow field near the ice-ocean interface, and only the observation from laboratory experiments on the melting of ice under oceanic conditions are available [1].

In the recent work done by [4], analysed the thermal and compositional boundary layer structure during the melting and dissolution. They determined the condition for the transition between the melting and dissolving regimes. However, another study, pointed out that their analysis can not be used in the prediction of dissolution or melting of large bodies of ice especially in the polar ocean because of turbulence that occur from the convective flow after a vertical distance of 10-20 cm. [1] in their work they also analysed the structure of the boundary layer and concluded that it influences the dissolution velocity. Their results showed that due to a thicker thermal boundary layer in laminar regime the dissolution velocity is smaller while the dissolution velocity and temperature at the interface increase rapidly with height from the bottom of in the transition region.

In what follows we reproduce the simulation done by [1] using DNS in three dimensions with spectral method or continuous Galerkin (CG) method in one dimension with backward differentiation formula (BDF3) method for time integration. The same ice-ocean properties and parameters have been used in our simulation to investigate the temperature and salinity profiles at the interface, also convection and dissolution rate generated when a wall of ice dissolve into seawater under Antarctic ocean conditions.

2 Governing Equations

Most of the 3-D ocean circulation simulation use the Navier-stokes equations under the Boussinesq approximation. These equations are written as follows

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{Dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}\nabla p + \nu\nabla^2\mathbf{u} - \frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho_0}g\mathbf{k}, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{DT}{Dt} = \kappa_T\nabla^2T, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{DS}{Dt} = \kappa_S\nabla^2S, \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta\rho = \rho_0 [\beta(S - S_w) - \alpha(T - T_w)]. \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u, v, w)$ is the flow velocity, p pressure, T is the temperature with T_w the far-field temperature and S is the salinity with S_w the far-field salinity. The density is ρ with ρ_0 the reference density and $\Delta\rho = \rho - \rho_0$. ν, κ_T and κ_S are the kinematic viscosity, thermal diffusivity and salinity diffusivity of the saline water respectively, α the coefficient of thermal expansion, and β the coefficient of haline contraction.

In this we restrained our models in to one dimension and the focus is on the temperature and salinity profile at the ice-ocean interface, so the simulation take into account only the equations (4) and (5) that described the temperature and salinity at the interface. In the simulation, a constant velocity u for the flow has been used. The equations (4) and (5) in one dimension becomes

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \kappa_T\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2}, \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} = \kappa_S\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2}. \quad (7)$$

To solve the above equations and determine the characteristics the salinity and temperature at the interface, three physical constraints have to be taken into consideration. The interface must be at the freezing point, heat and salt must be conserved at the interface during any phase change, these leads to the so-called the diffusive three equations formulation. For more detail we refer the reader to [5]. The equations solved at the boundary are

$$T_b = \lambda_1 S_b + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 p_b, \quad (8)$$

$$\left.\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\right|_b = \frac{\rho_i L_i}{\rho_w c_w \kappa_T} V, \quad (9)$$

$$\left.\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right|_b = \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_w \kappa_S} S_b V, \quad (10)$$

where V is the melt rate, S_b, T_b are salinity and temperature at the ice-ocean interface respectively. ρ_i, L_i are the density and latent heat of the ice, ρ_w is the density of the seawater. $\rho_i, c_i, \kappa_T, \kappa_S, \rho_w, c_w$ are assumed to be constants and the estimation of the temperature and salinity gradients at the ice-ocean interface are needed. Assuming that the boundary layer were laminar, the temperature (or salinity) would vary linearly between the interface and the mixed temperature (or salinities) and the melt rate, salinity and temperature at the boundary are computed as follows

$$V = \gamma_S \left(\frac{S_w - S_b}{S_b} \right), \quad (11)$$

$$T_W - T_b = \frac{\gamma_S}{\gamma_T} \frac{L_i}{c_w} \left(\frac{S_w - S_b}{S_b} \right), \quad (12)$$

$$KS_b^2 + FS_b + MS_w = 0, \quad (13)$$

where

$$K = \lambda_1 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_S}{\gamma_T} \right), \quad F = -T_w - \frac{\gamma_S}{c_w \gamma_T} L_i + (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 p_b) \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_S}{\gamma_T} \right) + \lambda_1 \frac{\gamma_S}{\gamma_T} S_w,$$

and

$$M = \frac{\gamma_S}{c_w \gamma_T} L_i + \lambda_1 \frac{\gamma_S}{\gamma_T} (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 p_b).$$

γ_T is the temperature exchange velocity and γ_S is the salinity exchange velocity that we assumed constants.

3 Numerical method: Spectral method

Unlike DNS method used by [1], we used CG method with the implicit time integration BDF3 described as follows using (6)

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \kappa_T \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2}.$$

$$11T^{n+3} - 18T^{n+2} + 9T^{n+1} - 2T^n + 6dtu \frac{\partial T^{n+3}}{\partial x} = 6\kappa_T \frac{\partial^2 T^{n+3}}{\partial x^2}$$

The space discretization of above equation using CG gives in matrix form

$$11MT^{n+3} - 18MT^{n+2} + 9MT^{n+1} - 2MT^n + 6udtDT^{n+3} = 6\kappa_T dtB - 6\kappa_T dtLT^{n+3}$$

$$[11M + 6dt(uD + \kappa_T L)]T^{n+1} = M(18T^{n+2} - 9T^{n+1} + 2T^n) + 6\kappa_T dtB$$

where M is the mass matrix, D the differentiation matrix for the advection term, L laplacian matrix for the diffusion term and B the boundary vector that contains the values of the solution at the boundary.

4 Results

5 Conclusion

References

- [1] Bishakhdatta Gayen, Ross W Griffiths, and Ross C Kerr. Simulation of convection at a vertical ice face dissolving into saline water. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 798:284–298, 2016.
- [2] Ross C Kerr and Craig D McConnochie. Dissolution of a vertical solid surface by turbulent compositional convection. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 765:211–228, 2015.
- [3] Adrian Jenkins, Pierre Dutrieux, Stanley S Jacobs, Stephen D McPhail, James R Perrett, Andrew T Webb, and David White. Observations beneath pine island glacier in west antarctica and implications for its retreat. *Nature Geoscience*, 3(7):468–472, 2010.
- [4] Andrew J Wells and M Grae Worster. Melting and dissolving of a vertical solid surface with laminar compositional convection. *Journal of fluid mechanics*, 687:118–140, 2011.
- [5] David M Holland and Adrian Jenkins. Modeling thermodynamic ice–ocean interactions at the base of an ice shelf. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 29(8):1787–1800, 1999.