

# Solution Review: Problem Challenge 2

## We'll cover the following

- Search in Rotated Array (medium)
- Solution
- Code
  - Time complexity
  - Space complexity
- Similar Problems
  - Problem 1
  - Solution
  - Code
  - Time complexity
  - Space complexity

## Search in Rotated Array (medium) #

Given an array of numbers which is sorted in ascending order and also rotated by some arbitrary number, find if a given 'key' is present in it.

Write a function to return the index of the 'key' in the rotated array. If the 'key' is not present, return -1. You can assume that the given array does not have any duplicates.

## Example 1:

Input: [10, 15, 1, 3, 8], key = 15

Output: 1

Explanation: '15' is present in the array at index '1'.



## Example 2:



Input: [4, 5, 7, 9, 10, -1, 2], key = 10

Output: 4

Explanation: '10' is present in the array at index '4'.

 Original array:
 -1
 2
 4
 5
 7
 9
 10

 Array after 5 rotations:
 4
 5
 7
 9
 10
 -1
 2

## Solution #

The problem follows the **Binary Search** pattern. We can use a similar approach as discussed in Order-agnostic Binary Search

(https://www.educative.io/collection/page/5668639101419520/5671464854355968/6304110 192099328/) and modify it similar to Search Bitonic Array

(https://www.educative.io/collection/page/5668639101419520/5671464854355968/5114837 707259904/) to search for the 'key' in the rotated array.

After calculating the middle, we can compare the numbers at indices start and middle. This will give us two options:


- 1. If arr[start] <= arr[middle], the numbers from start to middle are sorted in ascending order.
- 2. Else, the numbers from middle+1 to end are sorted in ascending order.

Once we know which part of the array is sorted, it is easy to adjust our ranges. For example, if option-1 is true, we have two choices:

- 1. By comparing the 'key' with the numbers at index start and middle we can easily find out if the 'key' lies between indices start and middle; if it does, we can skip the second part  $\Rightarrow$  end  $\Rightarrow$  middle  $\Rightarrow$ 1.
- 2. Else, we can skip the first part => start = middle + 1.

Let's visually see this with the above-mentioned Example-2:





Since there are no duplicates in the given array, it is always easy to skip one part of the array in each iteration. However, if there are duplicates, it is not always possible to know which part is sorted. We will look into this case in the 'Similar Problems' section.

#### Code #

Here is what our algorithm will look like:

```
Python3
🍨 Java
                          G C++
                                       Js JS
    def search_rotated_array(arr, key):
       start, end = 0, len(arr) - 1
 2
 3
      while start <= end:</pre>
 4
         mid = start + (end - start) // 2
 5
         if arr[mid] == key:
 6
           return mid
 7
         if arr[start] <= arr[mid]: # left side is sorted in ascending order</pre>
 8
 9
           if key >= arr[start] and key < arr[mid]:</pre>
10
             end = mid - 1
           else: # key > arr[mid]
11
12
             start = mid + 1
13
         else: # right side is sorted in ascending order
           if key > arr[mid] and key <= arr[end]:</pre>
14
15
             start = mid + 1
           else:
16
             end = mid - 1
17
10
```

```
we are not able to find the element in the given array
то
21
22
23 def main():
      print(search_rotated_array([10, 15, 1, 3, 8], 15))
24
25
      print(search_rotated_array([4, 5, 7, 9, 10, -1, 2], 10))
26
27
   main()
28
\triangleright
                                                                                 \leftarrow
                                                                                              []
```

### Time complexity #

Since we are reducing the search range by half at every step, this means that the time complexity of our algorithm will be  $O(\log N)$  where 'N' is the total elements in the given array.

#### Space complexity #

The algorithm runs in constant space O(1).

### Similar Problems #


#### Problem 1#

How do we search in a sorted and rotated array that also has duplicates?

The code above will fail in the following example!

#### Example 1:

```
Input: [3, 7, 3, 3], key = 7
Output: 1
Explanation: '7' is present in the array at index '1'.
```



#### Solution #

The only problematic scenario is when the numbers at indices start, middle, and end are the same, as in this case, we can't decide which part of the array is sorted. In such a case, the best we can do is to skip one number from both ends: start = start + 1 & start

#### Code #



```
Python3
                          G C++
                                      Js JS
👙 Java
 6
           return mid
 7
         # the only difference from the previous solution,
 8
         # if numbers at indexes start, mid, and end are same, we can't choose a side
 9
10
         # the best we can do, is to skip one number from both ends as key != arr[mid]
         if arr[start] == arr[mid] and arr[end] == arr[mid]:
11
12
           start += 1
13
           end -= 1
         elif arr[start] <= arr[mid]: # left side is sorted in ascending order</pre>
14
15
           if key >= arr[start] and key < arr[mid]:</pre>
16
             end = mid - 1
17
           else: # key > arr[mid]
18
             start = mid + 1
19
         else: # right side is sorted in ascending order
20
           if key > arr[mid] and key <= arr[end]:</pre>
21
22
             start = mid + 1
23
           else:
24
             end = mid - 1
25
      # we are not able to find the element in the given array
26
27
       return -1
28
29
30
    def main():
      print(search_rotated_with_duplicates([3, 7, 3, 3, 3], 7))
31
32
33
\triangleright
                                                                              []
```

#### Time complexity #

This algorithm will run most of the times in O(log N). However, since we only skip two numbers in case of duplicates instead of half of the numbers, the worst case time complexity will become O(N).

#### Space complexity #

The algorithm runs in constant space O(1).







