

Advanced Compiler Techniques

Homework #2

Due: Oct 20, 2009 (before class)

! Exercise 9.2.4: Suppose V is the set of complex numbers. Which of the following operations can serve as the meet operation for a semilattice on V ?

- a) Addition: $(a + ib) \wedge (c + id) = (a + b) + i(c + d)$.
- b) Multiplication: $(a + ib) \wedge (c + id) = (ac - bd) + i(ad + bc)$.
- c) Componentwise minimum: $(a + ib) \wedge (c + id) = \min(a, c) + i \min(b, d)$.
- d) Componentwise maximum: $(a + ib) \wedge (c + id) = \max(a, c) + i \max(b, d)$.

! Exercise 9.2.5: We claimed that if a block B consists of n statements, and the i th statement has gen and kill sets gen_i and $kill_i$, then the transfer function for block B has gen and kill sets gen_B and $kill_B$ given by

$$kill_B = kill_1 \cup kill_2 \cup \dots \cup kill_n$$

$$gen_B = gen_n \cup (gen_{n-1} - kill_n) \cup (gen_{n-2} - kill_{n-1} - kill_n) \cup \dots \cup (gen_1 - kill_2 - kill_3 - \dots - kill_n).$$

Prove this claim by induction on n .

! Exercise 9.2.10: The astute reader will notice that in Algorithm 9.11 we could have saved some time by initializing $OUT[B]$ to gen_B for all blocks B . Likewise, in Algorithm 9.14 we could have initialized $IN[B]$ to gen_B . We did not do so for uniformity in the treatment of the subject, as we shall see in Algorithm 9.25. However, is it possible to initialize $OUT[B]$ to e_gen_B in Algorithm 9.17? Why or why not?

! Exercise 9.3.3: We argued that Algorithm 9.25 converges if the framework is monotone and of finite height. Here is an example of a framework that shows monotonicity is essential; finite height is not enough. The domain V is $\{1, 2\}$, the meet operator is \min , and the set of functions F is only the identity (f_I) and the “switch” function ($f_S(x) = 3 - x$) that swaps 1 and 2.

- a) Show that this framework is of finite height but not monotone.
- b) Give an example of a flow graph and assignment of transfer functions so that Algorithm 9.25 does not converge.

[S1] (DFA on Value Range) In many cases knowing the range of variables is beneficial. For instance, knowing that variables a and b are between 0 and 127 may allow us to represent both variables within one byte instead of two words, thereby providing a more compact representation for certain data structures.

Suppose you are analyzing a program consisting of the following types of statements:

- $a = \text{<const>}$
- $a = b$
- $a = b + \text{<const>}$
- $a = b + c$

where all variables and constants are integers.

Your task is to formulate a dataflow problem called **VarRange** that would allow one to approximate the range of any given variable at any point in the program.

The range is to be represented by an interval $[x, y]$ where both x and y are constants. Assume that **MAX** is the biggest representable integer and we are dealing with **positive** numbers (including zero) only.

- a) What are the top and bottom elements of the lattice for the dataflow framework formulation of VarRange?
- b) What is the JOIN (\vee) operator for VarRange?
- c) What is the partial order (\leq) relation induced by the \vee operator?
- d) Assume for simplicity that each basic block consists of at most one statement. Define the transfer function for VarRange.
- e) Is the transfer function you defined above monotonic? Please Explain.

- f) Is the transfer function you defined above distributive? Please Explain.
- g) What is the range for variable a [on EXIT] as computed by your algorithm for the CFG below?

