

$$1. (a) f(\lambda | x^n) \propto f(x^n | \lambda) f(\lambda)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \lambda^{-a-1} e^{-b/\lambda}$$

$$\propto \lambda^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \lambda^{-a-1} e^{-b/\lambda}$$

$$= \lambda^{-(n+a)-1} e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda} (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + b)}$$

$\therefore f(\lambda | x^n)$ also satisfies Inverse Gamma distribution (a', b') where $a' = n+a$ $b' = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + b$

(b) Now the prior mean is $\frac{b}{a-1}$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log(\lambda^{-n} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\lambda}}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left[(-n) \log \lambda - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\lambda} \right] = -n \times \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\lambda^2} = 0$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x}_n$$

$$\text{Check the } \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log(\lambda^{-n} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\lambda}}) = \frac{n}{\lambda^2} - \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\lambda^3}$$

$$\text{When } \lambda = \bar{x}_n \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log(\lambda^{-n} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\lambda}}) = \frac{n^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2} - \frac{2n^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2} = -\frac{n^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2} < 0$$

$\therefore \hat{\lambda} = \bar{x}_n$ is the MLE of λ

The posterior mean is $\frac{b'}{a'-1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + b}{n+a-1} = \left(\frac{a-1}{n+a-1}\right) \frac{b}{a-1} +$

$$\therefore \text{When } n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{a-1}{n+a-1} \rightarrow 0, \frac{n}{n+a-1} \rightarrow 1$$

$$\therefore \frac{b'}{a'-1} \rightarrow \bar{x}_n$$

\therefore When $n \rightarrow \infty$ the posterior mean will be close to \bar{x}_n .

$$2. (a) m = \frac{b}{a-1} \quad V = \frac{b^2}{(a-1)^2 (a-2)}$$

$$(m(a-1))^2 = V(a-1)^2(a-2)$$

$$m^2(a-1)^2 = V(a-1)^2(a-2)$$

$$\therefore a > 2 \quad \therefore m^2 = V(a-2) \quad a-2 = \frac{m^2}{V} \quad a = \frac{m^2+2V}{V}$$

$$\therefore b = (a-1)m = \left(\frac{m^2+V}{V}\right)m = \frac{m^3+mV}{V} \quad \therefore \begin{cases} a = \frac{m^2+2V}{V} \\ b = \frac{m^3+mV}{V} \end{cases}$$

(b) Based on my current knowledge, I have lived in Berkeley for 15 months now and I have felt two earthquakes. The time difference between these two is about 1 year. So I would guess m to be 365. But I completely have no knowledge about

the prior variance V , So I guess this variance to be $V=1000$
 (c) The R code and the plot of the prior and posterior PAF are on the last page.

From the plot I can see that the mean λ value is a little smaller than my knowledge which is about 310 days. the variance is smaller than my guess too.

3. According to the definition of rejection sampling, the probability of the acceptance of θ^{cand} is:

$$P(u \leq \frac{f(x^n | \theta^{cand})}{f(x^n | \hat{\theta}_n)}) = \frac{f(x^n | \theta^{cand})}{f(x^n | \hat{\theta}_n)} \quad (\text{Because } \hat{\theta}_n \Rightarrow M \text{ MLE of } \theta)$$

$$u \sim \text{Unif}(0,1)$$

$$\therefore M = f(x^n | \hat{\theta}_n) = \sup_{\theta} f(x^n | \theta^{cand})$$

$$\text{If } M < f(x^n | \hat{\theta}_n)$$

$$\text{then } \frac{f(x^n | \theta^{cand})}{M} \text{ may } > 1$$

the probability of the acceptance of θ^{cand} will be $\min \{1, \frac{f(x^n | \theta^{cand})}{M}\}$

Then when $M < f(x^n | \hat{\theta}_n)$
 This probability may not be $\frac{f(x^n | \theta^{cand})}{M}$

$$\therefore f(\theta^{accp}) \propto f(\theta^{cand}) P(\text{acceptance of } \theta^{cand})$$

$$= f(\theta^{cand}) \min \left\{ 1, \frac{f(x^n | \theta^{cand})}{M} \right\}$$

$$\text{Then } f(\theta^{accp}) \text{ may not } \propto f(\theta^{cand}) \times \frac{f(x^n | \theta^{cand})}{M}$$

$$\text{may not } \propto f(\theta^{cand}) f(x^n | \theta^{cand})$$

$$\text{may not } \propto f(\theta^{cand} | x^n)$$

When $M < f(x^n | \theta^{cand})$, θ^{accp} may not satisfy the posterior distribution

4. (a) Obviously $f(x|\lambda)$ belongs to exponential family.

$$f(\lambda) \propto I(\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\frac{I_0(\lambda)}{n} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[- \frac{E_x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log \left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\frac{x_2}{\lambda}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\frac{x_n}{\lambda}} \right) \right] \right)}{n} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \left[\frac{-E_{\lambda} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log \lambda^{-n} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\lambda}} \right)}{n} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\frac{-E_{\lambda} \left(\frac{n}{\lambda^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\lambda^3} \right)}{n} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \left[-E_{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - \frac{2\bar{X}_n}{\lambda^3} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$\because X_1, \dots, X_n$ are i.i.d. $\therefore E_{\lambda} \bar{X}_n = E_{\lambda} X_1 = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\frac{x_1}{\lambda}} dx_1$

$$= -x_1 e^{-\frac{x_1}{\lambda}} \Big|_0^{+\infty} - \int_0^{+\infty} (-e^{-\frac{x_1}{\lambda}}) dx_1 = 0 - (-\lambda) = \lambda$$

$$\therefore f(\lambda) \propto \left[-\frac{1}{\lambda^2} + \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^3} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\therefore f(X|\lambda) \geq 0 \quad \lambda > 0$$

$$\therefore f(\lambda) \propto \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

(b) This Jeffereys Prior is not proper.

$$\therefore \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} d\lambda = \log \lambda \Big|_0^{+\infty} = +\infty$$

\therefore This Jeffereys Prior is not proper

$$5. (a) f(x, y | H_1) = \int f(x, y, p_1, p_2 | H_1) dp_1 dp_2$$

$$= \int f(x, y | p_1, p_2, H_1) f(p_1, p_2 | H_1) dp_1 dp_2$$

$\because p_1$ and p_2 are independent, x and y are independent

$$\therefore = \int_0^1 f(x | p_1) f(y | p_2) \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot dp_1 dp_2$$

$$= \int_0^1 \binom{n}{x} p_1^x (1-p_1)^{n-x} \binom{m}{y} p_2^y (1-p_2)^{m-y} dp_1 dp_2$$

$$= \binom{n}{x} \left(\int_0^1 p_1^x (1-p_1)^{n-x} dp_1 \right) \binom{m}{y} \left(\int_0^1 p_2^y (1-p_2)^{m-y} dp_2 \right)$$

$$= \binom{n}{x} B(x+1, n-x+1) \binom{m}{y} B(y+1, m-y+1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n+1)(m+1)}$$

(b) $f(x, y | H_0)$ \because Under H_0 , $p_1 = p_2 \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$, X and Y are independent

$$\therefore = \int_0^1 \binom{n}{x} p_1^x (1-p_1)^{n-x} \binom{m}{y} p_1^y (1-p_1)^{m-y} dp_1$$

$$= \int_0^1 \binom{n}{x} \binom{m}{y} p_1^{x+y} (1-p_1)^{m+n-x-y} dp_1 = \binom{n}{x} \binom{m}{y} B(x+y+1, m+n-x-y+1)$$

$$= \binom{n}{x} \binom{m}{y} \frac{\Gamma(x+y+1) \Gamma(m+n-x-y+1)}{\Gamma(m+n+2)}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{x! (n-x)!} \frac{m!}{y! (m-y)!} \frac{(x+y)! (m+n-x-y)!}{(m+n+1)!}$$

$$= \frac{(x+y)!}{x! y!} \frac{(m+n-x-y)!}{(n-x)! (m-y)!} \frac{m! n!}{(m+n+1)!}$$

$$= \binom{x+y}{x} \binom{m+n-x-y}{n-x} \frac{1}{(m+n+1) \binom{m+n}{n}}$$

$$(c) BF_{10} = \frac{f(x, y | H_1)}{f(x, y | H_0)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \frac{\binom{x+y}{x} \binom{m+n-x-y}{n-x}}{(m+n+1) \binom{m+n}{n}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \times \frac{(m+n+1) \binom{m+n}{n}}{\binom{x+y}{x} \binom{m+n-x-y}{n-x}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{m+n+2} \frac{(m+n+2)(m+n+1)(m+n)!}{\binom{x+y}{x} \binom{m+n-x-y}{n-x} (m+1)m!(n+1)n!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{m+n+2} \frac{\binom{m+n+2}{m+1}}{\binom{x+y}{x} \binom{m+n-x-y}{n-x}}$$

$$(d) \text{ Pujols : } \overset{n}{5146} \text{ at bats, } \overset{x}{1717} \text{ hits}$$

$$\text{Suzuki : } \overset{m}{6099} \text{ at bats, } \overset{y}{2030} \text{ hits}$$

$$\therefore BF_{10} = \frac{1}{\binom{5146+6099+2}{5146-1717} \binom{6099+1}{2030-1717}}$$

$$\approx 0.022 < 1$$

\therefore The evidence against H_0 and favor H_1 is weak
So it favors H_0

Q2 R code & Plot

```
install.packages("MCMCpack")
```

```
library(MCMCpack)
```

```
## Specify the prior distribution
```

```
m <- 365 # Prior mean
```

```
v <- 1000 # Prior variance
```

```
a <- (m*m + 2*v)/v
```

```
b <- (m*m*m + m*v)/v
```

```
## Plot the prior density
```

```
x <- seq(200, 499, length = 300)
```

```
prior <- dinvgamma(x, a, b) # the prior pdf
```

```
plot(x, prior, type = "l", xlab = expression(lambda),  
      ylab = expression(f(lambda)), main = "Prior density")
```

```
## The data
```

```
load("~/Documents/STAT_201_B/Homework/HW4/BerkeleyEarthquakes.RData") # Load the data  
of EQs in Berkeley.
```

```
head(earthquakes)
```

```
y <- earthquakes$Lag[-1] # Extract the waiting time between each EQs.
```

```
n <- length(y)
```

```
## Update the parameters to get the posterior distribution
```

```
a.star <- n + a
```

```
b.star <- n*mean(y) + b
```

```
posterior <- dinvgamma(x, a.star, b.star)
```

```
## Plot the prior and posterior densities
```

```
plot(x, posterior, type = "l", xlab = expression(lambda), ylab = expression(f(lambda)))
```

```
lines(x, prior, col = 2)
```

```
legend("topleft", lty = rep(1, 2), col = 1:2,  
      legend = c("Posterior", "Prior"), bty = "n")
```

```
## Exercise: calculate the equal tail and HPD credible intervals
```

(They will be close, since the posterior is nearly symmetric)

