# MTH 4140 Homework 2

#### Yaohui Wu

### March 19, 2024

### Problem 1

### Section 1.3 Problem 5

Solution. We can choose a vertex v in  $2^k$  ways then we choose two of its neighbors in  $\binom{k}{2}$  ways to form a  $P_3$ . There is four  $P_3$  for every  $C_4$  since we can choose an edge in a  $C_4$  to remove then we get a  $P_3$ . Therefore, it is proved that the number of copies of  $P_3$  is  $\binom{k}{2}2^k$  and the number of copies of  $C_4$  is  $\frac{\binom{k}{2}2^k}{4} = \binom{k}{2}2^{k-2}$  in  $Q_k$ .

# Problem 2

### Section 1.3 Problem 8

Solution. We apply the Havel-Hakimi algorithm then we have

- (a)  $(5,5,4,3,2,2,2,1) \implies (4,3,2,1,1,2,1) \implies (4,3,2,2,1,1,1) \implies (2,1,1,0,1,1) \implies (2,1,1,1,1,0) \implies (0,0,1,1,0) \implies (1,1,0,0,0) \implies (0,0,0,0)$
- (b)  $(5,5,4,4,2,2,1,1) \implies (4,3,3,1,1,1,1) \implies (2,2,0,0,1,1) \implies (2,2,1,1,0,0) \implies (1,0,1,0,0) \implies (1,1,0,0,0) \implies (0,0,0,0)$
- (c)  $(5,5,5,3,2,2,1,1) \implies (4,4,2,1,1,1,1) \implies (3,1,0,0,1,1) \implies (3,1,1,1,0,0) \implies (0,0,0,0,0)$
- (d)  $(5,5,5,4,2,1,1,1) \implies (4,4,3,1,0,1,1) \implies (4,4,3,1,1,1,0)$  $implies(3,2,0,0,1,0) \implies (3,2,1,0,0,0) \implies (1,0,-1,0,0)$

Therefore, it is proved that (5, 5, 4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1), (5, 5, 4, 4, 2, 2, 1, 1), (5, 5, 5, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1) are graphic sequences but (5, 5, 5, 4, 2, 1, 1, 1) is not a graphic sequence.

# Problem 3

#### Section 1.3 Problem 9

Solution. Let G be a graph of 26 vertices from two sets of 13 vertices s.t. the vertices represent the teams, the sets represent the divisions, and there is an edge between two

vertices if two teams play against each other. Let H be a subgraph of G with 13 vertices in the same set s.t. it represent 13 teams in the same division. Suppose the proposition is true, each of the 13 vertices in H will have degree 9. Then the sum of the degrees in H must be  $13 \cdot 9$  which must be odd. However, the handshaking lemma states that for a graph G = (V, E) we have  $\sum_{deg(v) \in V} = 2|E|$ , i.e. the sum of the degrees is twice the number of edges which must be even. There is a contradiction and the proposition is false, that which was to be demonstrated. Therefore, it is proved that for a league with two divisions of 13 teams each, it is impossible to schedule a season with each team playing nine games against teams within its division and four games against teams in the other division.

# Problem 4

### Section 1.3 Problem 18

Solution. Suppose the proposition is true for the sake of contradiction. Let G be a k-regular bipartite graph that has a cut edge e s.t. G-e is not connected. Let A and B be the two independent sets of vertices in G. We remove e from G then G-e becomes a disjoint union of 2 bipartite graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . The endpoints of e are the vertex  $u \in A$  in  $G_1$  and the vertex  $v \in B$  in  $G_2$ .  $G_1$  has e vertices with e 1 vertices having degree e and the vertex e having degree e 1 in e 1. Then we have

$$e(G_1) = (a-1)k + (k-1) = b \cdot k$$
$$a \cdot k - k + k - 1 = b \cdot k$$
$$a \cdot k - 1 = b \cdot k$$
$$(a-b)k = 1$$

Since we are given that  $k \geq 2$  so we have  $(a - b)k \neq 1$  hence there is a contradiction and the proposition is false. Therefore, it is proved that for  $k \geq 2$ , a k-regular bipartite graph has no cut-edge.

# Problem 5

### Section 1.3 Problem 45

Solution. The Kőnig's theorem states that a graph is bipartite if and only if it has no odd cycles. Let G be the Petersen graph, we proved that G has  $12 C_5$  and every edge of G belongs to  $4 C_5$ . Thus in order to remove  $12 C_5$  from G, we have to remove at least  $\frac{12}{4} = 3$  edges. Since G has 15 edges hence we will have at most 15 - 3 = 12 edges in the bipartite subgraph of G after we removed all of the odd cycles. Therefore, it is proved that the maximum number of edges in a bipartite subgraph of the Petersen graph is 12.

# Problem 6

### Section 1.3 Problem 61

Solution. Let  $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{n(G)})$  be the degree sequence of the graph G with n(G) vertices. Then the degree sequence of  $\overline{G}$  is  $(n-1-d_{n(G)},\ldots,n(G)-1-d_2,n(G)-1-d_1)$ . Since  $G \cong \overline{G}$  then the two degree sequences of G and  $\overline{G}$  are exactly the same. Thus we have

$$(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n(G)}) = (n(G) - 1 - d_{n(G)}, \dots, n(G) - 1 - d_2, n(G) - 1 - d_1)$$

Given that  $n(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  hence we have that n(G) is an odd number. Consider the middle elements in both degree sequences, they are equal thus we have

$$d_{\frac{n(G)+1}{2}} = n(G) - 1 - d_{\frac{n(G)+1}{2}}$$
$$2 \cdot d_{\frac{n(G)+1}{2}} = n(G) - 1$$
$$d_{\frac{n(G)+1}{2}} = \frac{n(G)-1}{2}$$

Therefore, it is proved that if  $G \cong \overline{G}$  and that  $n(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  then G has at least one vertex with degree  $\frac{n(G)-1}{2}$ .

# Problem 7

#### Section 2.1 Problem 12

Solution. Let u, v be any two vertices in  $K_{m,n}$ . If u, v are in different independent sets then they are adjacent so d(u, v) = 1. If they are in the same set then they must have a common neighbor w in the other set so d(u, v) = 2. The diameter is the maximum of the distances so we have  $diam(K_{m,n}) = 2$ . The eccentricity  $\epsilon(v)$  is 2 for any vertex v in  $K_{m,n}$  so the radius is 2, hence we get  $diam(K_{m,n}) = rad(K_{m,n}) = 2$ . Therefore, it is proved that the diameter and radius of the bicilique  $K_{m,n}$  is 2.

# Problem 8

#### Section 1.3 Problem 29

Solution. Suppose that every tree does not have a leaf in its larger partite set or both if they are equal size for the sake of contradiction. Let T be a tree with n vertices s.t. we have two independent sets R and B from the vertices of T with  $|R| \leq |B|$ . Since |R| + |B| = n and  $|R| \leq |B|$ , then we have  $|B| \geq \frac{n}{2}$ . Since B has no leaves, hence every vertex in B has degree at least 2 so we have

$$e(T) = \sum_{v \in B} d(v) \ge 2 \cdot \frac{n}{2} = n$$

However, T is a tree with n vertices so it has n-1 edges then we have

$$e(T) \ge n$$

but

$$e(T) = n - 1 \not\geq n$$

so there is a contradiction. Therefore, it is proved that every tree has a leaf in its larger partite set or in both if they have equal size.  $\blacksquare$