

# Mathematical Derivation of the Closed-Form Image Matting

Kai Yao, Alberto Ortiz, and Francisco Bonnin-Pascual,

For clarity of exposition, a grey-scale example is used to illustrate the methodology of the closed-form matting algorithm [1]. In this section, the derivation of optimized target is shown in Section I, and the formulation of the entry of the Matting Laplacian matrix is shown in Section II.

## I. THE OPTIMIZED TARGET

For a gray-scale image, the value ( $I_i$ ) of each pixel can be represented as

$$I_i = \alpha_i F_i + (1 - \alpha_i) B_i \quad (1)$$

where  $F$  represents the foreground,  $B$  represents the background, and  $\alpha$  denotes the alpha channel. Redoing (1), we can get

$$\alpha_i = \frac{1}{F_i - B_i} I_i + \left( -\frac{B_i}{F_i - B_i} \right) \quad (2)$$

Some assumptions on the nature of  $F$ ,  $B$  and  $a$  are needed. Assume that both  $F$  and  $B$  are approximately constant over a small window around each pixel. This assumption allows us to rewrite (2), expressing  $\alpha$  as a linear function of image  $I$

$$\alpha_i \approx a I_i + b, \forall i \in w \quad (3)$$

where  $a = \frac{1}{F-B}$ ,  $b = -\frac{B}{F-B}$ , and  $w$  is a small image window, whose size is  $3 \times 3$  as usual. So the relation suggests finding  $\alpha$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  that minimizes the cost function

$$J(\alpha, a, b) = \sum_{j \in k} \left( \sum_{i \in w_k} (\alpha_i - a_j I_i - b_j)^2 + \epsilon a_j^2 \right) \quad (4)$$

where  $w_k$  is a small window around pixel  $j$ . The cost function includes a regularization term on  $a$ . One reason for this term is numerical stability [1].

The cost function can be written in matrix form as follows:

$$J(\alpha, a, b) = \sum_k \left\| \begin{bmatrix} I_1^j & 1 \\ I_2^j & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_w^j & 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_j \\ b_j \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^j \\ \alpha_2^j \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_w^j \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 \quad j \in k \quad (5)$$

Let us define

$$G_k = \begin{bmatrix} I_1^j & 1 \\ I_2^j & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_w^j & 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_k = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^j \\ \alpha_2^j \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_w^j \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad j \in k \quad (6)$$

Then, the cost function changes to

$$J(\alpha, a, b) = \sum_k \left\| G_k \begin{bmatrix} a_k \\ b_k \end{bmatrix} - \bar{\alpha}_k \right\|^2 \quad (7)$$

For a given matte  $\alpha$ , the optimal pair is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_k^* \\ b_k^* \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{argmin} \left\| G_k \begin{bmatrix} a_k \\ b_k \end{bmatrix} - \bar{\alpha}_k \right\|^2 \quad (8)$$

Let  $A = G_k$ ,  $B = \bar{\alpha}_k$ ,  $X = \begin{bmatrix} a_k \\ b_k \end{bmatrix}$ , Hence, (8) changes to

$$X^* = \operatorname{argmin} \|AX - B\|^2 \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|AX - B\|^2 &= (AX - B)^T (AX - B) \\ &= (X^T A^T - B^T)(AX - B) \\ &= X^T A^T AX - B^T AX - X^T A^T B + B^T B \\ &= X^T A^T AX - 2X^T A^T B + B^T B \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We compute the gradient of (10), and set it equal to 0 to obtain the optimal solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \|AX - B\|^2}{\partial X} &= 2A^T AX - 2A^T B = 0 \\ \rightarrow A^T AX &= A^T B \\ \rightarrow X &= (A^T A)^{-1} A^T B \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

So, the optimal solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_k^* \\ b_k^* \end{bmatrix} = (G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T \bar{\alpha}_k \quad (12)$$

Use the optimal solution  $\begin{bmatrix} a_k^* \\ b_k^* \end{bmatrix}$  to replace  $(a, b)$  in the cost function  $J(\alpha, a, b)$  in (7) as below:

$$\begin{aligned} J(\alpha) &= \sum_k \|G_k (G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T \bar{\alpha}_k - \bar{\alpha}_k\|^2 \\ &= \sum_k \|(I - G_k (G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T) \bar{\alpha}_k\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here,  $I$  is a identity matrix. Let  $\bar{G}_k = I - G_k (G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T$ , so  $J(\alpha)$  can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} J(\alpha) &= \sum_k \|\bar{G}_k \bar{\alpha}_k\|^2 \\ &= \sum_k (\bar{G}_k \bar{\alpha}_k)^T \bar{G}_k \bar{\alpha}_k \\ &= \sum_k (\bar{\alpha}_k^T \bar{G}_k^T \bar{G}_k \bar{\alpha}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Let  $L$  represents the  $\bar{G}_k^T \bar{G}_k$  and  $\alpha$  refers to  $\bar{\alpha}$ , so  $J(\alpha)$  is

$$J(\alpha) = \alpha^T L \alpha \quad (15)$$

The derivation of  $L_{i,j}$  can be found in the next section.

So, the target is

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\alpha} J(\alpha) &= \alpha^T L \alpha \\ \text{s.t. } (\alpha - S)^T D_c (\alpha - S) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here  $S$  represents the scribbles image containing the specified alpha values for the constrained pixels and zero for all other pixels, and the dimension of  $S$  is  $N \times 1$ .  $D_c$  is a diagonal matrix, which at the position of the scribble takes value 1 and for others taken value 0. The dimension of  $D_c$  is  $N \times N$ .

The Lagrange function  $L(\alpha, \lambda)$  for this problem is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha, \lambda) &= \alpha^T L \alpha + \lambda (\alpha^T - S^T) D_c (\alpha - S) \\ &= \alpha^T L \alpha + \lambda (\alpha^T D_c \alpha - S^T D_c \alpha \\ &\quad - \alpha^T D_c S + S^T D_c S) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

therefore  $\frac{\partial L(\alpha, \lambda)}{\partial \alpha} = 2L\alpha + \lambda(2D_c \alpha - 2D_c S)$

$$= (L + \lambda D_c) 2\alpha - 2\lambda D_c S$$

Let the gradient be 0 to obtain the optimal solution,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L(\alpha, \lambda)}{\partial \alpha} &= 0 \\
\rightarrow (L + \lambda D_c)2\alpha - 2\lambda D_c S &= 0 \\
\rightarrow (L + \lambda D_c)\alpha - \lambda D_c S &= 0 \\
\rightarrow (L + \lambda D_c)\alpha &= \lambda D_c S
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Finally, the optimal solution  $\alpha^*$  can be obtained by solving the following sparse linear system.

$$(L + \lambda D_c)\alpha - \lambda D_c S = 0 \tag{19}$$

where  $\lambda$  is some large number.

## II. THE MATTING LAPLACIAN MATRIX

As known in previous section,  $L$  represents  $\overline{G}_k^T \overline{G}_k$ , where  $\overline{G}_k = I - G_k(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T$ , here  $k$  represents the  $k$ th window, and  $I, \alpha$  refers to the  $k$ th window.

$$\begin{aligned}
G_k &= \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & 1 \\ I_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_w & 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} & 0 \end{bmatrix} & G_k^T &= \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & I_2 & \cdots & I_w & \sqrt{\epsilon} \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
G_k^T G_k &= \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & I_2 & \cdots & I_w & \sqrt{\epsilon} \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & 1 \\ I_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_w & 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
G_k^T G_k &= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^w I_i^2 + \epsilon & \sum_{i=0}^w I_i \\ \sum_{i=0}^w I_i & w \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

As it is well known,

$$\begin{cases} \mu = \frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=0}^w I_i \\ \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=0}^w (I_i - \mu)^2 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^w I_i = \mu w \\ \sum_{i=0}^w I_i^2 = w\sigma^2 + w\mu^2 \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

So, (20) can be written as

$$G_k^T G_k = \begin{bmatrix} w\sigma^2 + w\mu^2 + \epsilon & w\mu \\ w\mu & w \end{bmatrix} \tag{22}$$

The inverse matrix  $(G_k^T G_k)^{-1}$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
&(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{w(w\sigma^2 + w\mu^2 + \epsilon) - \mu^2 w^2} \begin{bmatrix} w & -\mu w \\ -\mu w & w\sigma^2 + w\mu^2 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{w^2\sigma^2 + w\epsilon} \begin{bmatrix} w & -\mu w \\ -\mu w & w\sigma^2 + w\mu^2 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{w\sigma^2 + \epsilon} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mu \\ -\mu & \sigma^2 + \mu^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{w} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Let  $\frac{1}{w\sigma^2 + \epsilon} = k_1$ ,  $\sigma^2 + \mu^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{w} = k_2$ , so  $(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} = k_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mu \\ -\mu & k_2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_k(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} &= k_1 \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & 1 \\ I_2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_w & 1 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mu \\ -\mu & k_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= k_1 \begin{bmatrix} I_1 - \mu & -I_1 \mu + k_2 \\ I_2 - \mu & -I_2 \mu + k_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_w - \mu & -I_w \mu + k_2 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} & -\mu \sqrt{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_k(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T \\
&= k_1 \begin{bmatrix} I_1 - \mu & -I_1 \mu + k_2 \\ I_2 - \mu & -I_2 \mu + k_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_w - \mu & -I_w \mu + k_2 \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} & -\mu \sqrt{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_1 & I_2 & \cdots & I_w & \sqrt{\epsilon} \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= k_1 \begin{bmatrix} I_1 I_1 - \mu I_1 - \mu I_1 + k_2 & I_1 I_2 - \mu I_2 - \mu I_1 + k_2 & \cdots & I_1 I_w - \mu I_w - \mu I_1 + k_2 & \sqrt{\epsilon} I_1 - \mu \sqrt{\epsilon} \\ I_2 I_1 - \mu I_1 - \mu I_2 + k_2 & I_2 I_2 - \mu I_2 - \mu I_2 + k_2 & \cdots & I_2 I_w - \mu I_w - \mu I_2 + k_2 & \sqrt{\epsilon} I_2 - \mu \sqrt{\epsilon} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sqrt{\epsilon} I_1 - \mu \sqrt{\epsilon} & \sqrt{\epsilon} I_2 - \mu \sqrt{\epsilon} & \cdots & \sqrt{\epsilon} I_w - \mu \sqrt{\epsilon} & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Since  $\bar{G}_k = I - G_k(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T$ . Hence, the entry  $(i, j)$  of  $\bar{G}_k(i, j)$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{G}_k(i, j) &= \delta_{ij} - k_1(I_i I_j - \mu I_i - \mu I_j + k_2) \\
&= \delta_{ij} - (I_i I_j - \mu I_i - \mu I_j + \sigma^2 + \mu^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{w}) \frac{1}{w\sigma^2 + \epsilon} \\
&= \delta_{ij} - ((I_i - \mu)(I_j - \mu) + \sigma^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{w}) \frac{1}{w\sigma^2 + \epsilon} \\
&= \delta_{ij} - ((I_i - \mu)(I_j - \mu) + \frac{w\sigma^2 + \epsilon}{w}) \frac{1}{w\sigma^2 + \epsilon} \\
&= \delta_{ij} - (\frac{1}{w\sigma^2 + \epsilon}(I_i - \mu)(I_j - \mu) + \frac{1}{w}) \\
&= \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{w}(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{w}}(I_i - \mu)(I_j - \mu))
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta,

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1, & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

because  $\bar{G}_k = I - G_k(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{therefore } \bar{G}_k^T \bar{G}_k &= (I - G_k(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T)^T (I - G_k(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T) \\
&= (I - G_k((G_k^T G_k)^{-1})^T G_k^T)(I - G_k(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T) \\
&= I + G_k((G_k^T G_k)^{-1})^T G_k^T G_k (G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T - G_k((G_k^T G_k)^{-1})^T G_k^T - G_k(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T \\
&= I + G_k((G_k^T G_k)^{-1})^T G_k^T - G_k((G_k^T G_k)^{-1})^T G_k^T - G_k(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T \\
&= I - G_k(G_k^T G_k)^{-1} G_k^T \\
&= \bar{G}_k
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

In the end, the  $(i, j)$ th element in  $L$  matrix may be expressed as

$$\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{|w_k|} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{|w_k|}} (I_i - \mu)(I_j - \mu) \right) \tag{29}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Levin, D. Lischinski, and Y. Weiss, "A closed-form solution to natural image matting," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 228–242, 2007.