UTD

CS/SE 3340 Computer Architecture





Introduction to Computer Performance

Adapted from "Computer Organization and Design, 4th Ed." by D. Patterson and J. Hennessy

Questions

- How to define performance?
- What is response time and what is throughput?
- What are performance metrics for computer systems?
- How to measure CPU time?
- How to reduce power consumption of a processor?
- What is Amdahl's law?


Cinebench R11.5 Benchmark
● Core i7-920 2.66GHz ● Core i7-980X 3.33GHz ● Core i7-980X 4.0GHz
Cinebench Test Score
CINEBENCH RELEASE 11.5
0.92
1.14
1.37
4.29
7.95
9.44
28.7
31.4
36.4
CPU Single-Thread
CPU Multi-Thread
OpenGL
MAXON
Microsoft Windows-7 64-Bit / ATI Radeon-HD 5970



3

Defining Performance









- *Which airplane has the best performance?*

4

Response Time and Throughput

- *Response time*
  - How long it takes to do a task
- *Throughput*
  - Total work done per unit time
    - e.g., tasks/transactions/… per hour
- How are *response time* and *throughput* affected by
  - Replacing the processor with a faster version?
  - Adding more processors?
- We'll focus on *response time* for now…

Relative Performance

- Define *Performance = 1/Execution Time*
- "X is n time faster than Y"

$$\text{Performance}_X / \text{Performance}_Y = \text{Execution time}_Y / \text{Execution time}_X = n$$

- Example: time taken to run a program
  - 10s on A, 15s on B
  - Execution Time_B / Execution Time_A = 15s / 10s = 1.5
  - So A is 1.5 times faster than B

*How to measure '**Execution Time**'?*

Measuring Execution Time

- Elapsed time
  - Total response time, including all aspects
    - Processing, I/O, OS overhead, idle time
  - Determines system performance
- CPU time
  - Time spent processing a given job by the CPU
    - Discounts I/O time, other jobs' shares
  - Comprises user CPU time and system CPU time
  - Different programs are affected differently by CPU and system performance

7

CPU Clocking

- Operation of digital hardware governed by a constant-rate clock



- Clock period: duration of a clock cycle
  - e.g., 250ps = 0.25ns = 250×10^{-12} sec
- Clock frequency (rate): cycles per second
  - e.g., 4.0GHz = 4000MHz = 4.0×10^{9}Hz

8

CPU Time

$$\text{CPU Time} = \text{CPU Clock Cycles} \times \text{Clock Cycle Time}$$
$$= \frac{\text{CPU Clock Cycles}}{\text{Clock Rate}}$$

- CPU performance improved by
  - Reducing number of clock cycles
  - Increasing clock rate
  - Hardware designer must often trade off clock rate against cycle count

CPU Time Example

- Computer A: 2GHz clock, 10s CPU time
- Designing Computer B
  - Aim for 6s CPU time
  - Can do faster clock, but causes 1.2 × clock cycles
- How fast must Computer B clock be?

$$\text{Clock Rate}_B = \frac{\text{Clock Cycles}_B}{\text{CPU Time}_B} = \frac{1.2 \times \text{Clock Cycles}_A}{6s}$$
$$\text{Clock Cycles}_A = \text{CPU Time}_A \times \text{Clock Rate}_A$$
$$= 10s \times 2GHz = 20 \times 10^9$$
$$\text{Clock Rate}_B = \frac{1.2 \times 20 \times 10^9}{6s} = \frac{24 \times 10^9}{6s} = 4GHz$$

Instruction Count and CPI

$$\text{Clock Cycles} = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{Cycles per Instruction}$$

$$\text{CPU Time} = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI} \times \text{Clock Cycle Time}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI}}{\text{Clock Rate}}$$

- Instruction Count for a program
  - Determined by program, ISA and compiler
- Average cycles per instruction
  - Determined by CPU hardware
  - If different instructions have different CPI
    - Average CPI affected by instruction mix

11

CPI Example

- Computer A: Cycle Time = 250ps, CPI = 2.0
- Computer B: Cycle Time = 500ps, CPI = 1.2
- Same ISA
- Which is faster, and by how much?

$$\text{CPU Time}_A = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI}_A \times \text{Cycle Time}_A$$

$$= I \times 2.0 \times 250\text{ps} = I \times 500\text{ps} \leftarrow \boxed{\text{A is faster...}}$$

$$\text{CPU Time}_B = \text{Instruction Count} \times \text{CPI}_B \times \text{Cycle Time}_B$$

$$= I \times 1.2 \times 500\text{ps} = I \times 600\text{ps}$$

$$\frac{\text{CPU Time}_B}{\text{CPU Time}_A} = \frac{I \times 600\text{ps}}{I \times 500\text{ps}} = 1.2 \leftarrow \boxed{\text{...by this much}}$$

12

CPI in More Detail

- If different instruction classes take different numbers of cycles

$$\text{Clock Cycles} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{CPI}_i \times \text{Instruction Count}_i)$$

- Weighted average CPI

$$\text{CPI} = \frac{\text{Clock Cycles}}{\text{Instruction Count}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\text{CPI}_i \times \underbrace{\frac{\text{Instruction Count}_i}{\text{Instruction Count}}}_{\text{Relative frequency}} \right)$$

13

CPI Example

- Alternative compiled code sequences using instructions in classes A, B, C

Class	A	B	C
CPI for class	1	2	3
IC in sequence 1	2	1	2
IC in sequence 2	4	1	1

- Sequence 1: IC = 5
  - Clock Cycles
    = 2×1 + 1×2 + 2×3
    = 10
  - Avg. CPI = 10/5 = 2.0
- Sequence 2: IC = 6
  - Clock Cycles
    = 4×1 + 1×2 + 1×3
    = 9
  - Avg. CPI = 9/6 = 1.5

14

Performance Summary

The BIG Picture

$$\text{CPU Time} = \frac{\text{Instructions}}{\text{Program}} \times \frac{\text{Clock cycles}}{\text{Instruction}} \times \frac{\text{Seconds}}{\text{Clock cycle}}$$

- Performance depends on
  - *Algorithm*: affects IC, possibly average CPI
  - *Programming language*: affects IC, average CPI
  - *Compiler*: affects IC, average CPI
  - *Instruction set architecture*: affects IC, average CPI, T_c

15

Power Trends



- In CMOS IC technology

$$\text{Power} = \text{Capacitive load} \times \text{Voltage}^2 \times \text{Frequency}$$

×30

5V → 1V

×1000

16

Reducing Power

- Suppose a new CPU has
  - 85% of capacitive load of old CPU
  - 15% voltage and 15% frequency reduction

$$\frac{P_{new}}{P_{old}} = \frac{C_{old} \times 0.85 \times (V_{old} \times 0.85)^2 \times F_{old} \times 0.85}{C_{old} \times V_{old}{}^2 \times F_{old}} = 0.85^4 = 0.52$$

- The power wall
  - We can't reduce voltage further
  - We can't remove more heat
- How else can we improve performance?

17

Uniprocessor Performance



Constrained by power, instruction-level parallelism, memory latency

18

Multiprocessors

- Multicore microprocessors
  - More than one processor per chip
- Requires explicitly parallel programming
  - Compare with instruction level parallelism
    - Hardware executes multiple instructions at once
    - Hidden from the programmer
  - Hard to do
    - Programming for performance
    - Load balancing
    - Optimizing communication and synchronization

19

Pitfall: MIPS as a Performance Metric

- MIPS: Millions of Instructions Per Second
  - Doesn't account for
    - Differences in ISAs between computers
    - Differences in complexity between instructions

$$\text{MIPS} = \frac{\text{Instruction count}}{\text{Execution time} \times 10^6} = \frac{\text{Instruction count}}{\frac{\text{Instruction count} \times \text{CPI}}{\text{Clock rate}} \times 10^6} = \frac{\text{Clock rate}}{\text{CPI} \times 10^6}$$

- *CPI varies between programs on a given CPU*

20

Pitfall: Amdahl's Law

- Improving an aspect of a computer and expecting a proportional improvement in overall performance

$$T_{\text{improved}} = \frac{T_{\text{affected}}}{\text{improvement factor}} + T_{\text{unaffected}}$$

- Example: multiply accounts for 80s/100s
  - How much improvement in multiply performance to get 5× overall?

$$20 = \frac{80}{n} + 20$$

  - Can't be done!
- Corollary: make the common case fast

21

Summary Remarks

- Cost/performance is improving
  – Due to underlying technology development
- Hierarchical layers of abstraction
  – In both hardware and software
- CPU execution time: the best performance measure
- Power is a limiting factor
  – Use parallelism to improve performance
- Instruction set architecture (ISA)
  – The hardware/software interface

22