The goal is to understand the Satake isomorphism, following the article by Cartier in the Corvallis volumes. We have the usual setup:

- · F is a (nonarchimedean) local field.
- . G is a connected reductive group over F.
- · A is a maximal split in G.
- . M is the centralizer of A in G.
- · N(A) is the normalizer of A in G.
- · W= N(A)/M is the Weyl group.
- · P is a Borel subgroup, so P=MN with N the unipotent radical.
- · K is a "special" maximal compact of G, so it satisfies things like the Irasana decomposition G-PK (= MNK).
- · X*(H) = Homk-alg (H, (Im) is the character group of HCG.
- . X (H)= Home (X*(H), Z) is the cocharacter group of HCG.

We write ${}^{\circ}M = Mn K$. Here is another characterization. Define ord_m: $M \to X_*(M)$ by ${}^{\circ}M_*(m)$ (f) = ${}^{\circ}M_*(m)$. Then ${}^{\circ}M_*$ is the ternel of ord_m, i.e.

1 -> OM -> M Ordm A =: Ordm (M) -> 1.

[What we want] To understand the Hecke algebra A(G,K).

Less Elements of $\mathcal{H}(G,k)$ are compactly supported function $f:G\to C$ that are K-biinvariant, with pointwise addition and convolution as multiplication: $f*g(m) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) g(x^m) dm$.

Define HCM, on) similarly. Notice that a C-basis for HCM, om) is the set 1 Scha: REAJ, where cha is the characteristic function of ordin(A) in M.

Easy to check: chax char = chatar if we choose dm so that Indm=1. Lo The idea is the usual Sm. = Som Somm =..

Corollan: H(M, om) = [[A], where of [A] is the algebra generated by fe? 201]. Let now M = Lie (N), and define, for me M, SCm) := | det Adm (m) | Note that

 $\int_{N} f(mnm') dn = \delta(m)^{-1} \int_{N} f(n) dn. \qquad (*)$

Def: The Satake map S: H(G,K) -> H(M, M) = C(A) is defined by $Sf(m) = SCm)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M} f(mn) dn \stackrel{Q}{=} S(m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M} f(nm) dn$

Clearly Sf is oM-binvariant and compactly supported.

Thm (Satake): S is an algebra isomorphism onto F[A] w, so A(G, K) is abelian.

What is the action of W on 1? Notice that we have the diagram

$$| \rightarrow {}^{\circ}A \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\text{ord}_{A}} X_{*}(A) \rightarrow |$$

$$| \rightarrow {}^{\circ}M \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\text{ord}_{M}} X_{*}(M) \rightarrow |$$

with Xx(A) c 1 c Xx(M). Thus N(A) acts on M, oM, A, A via Conjugation, and Wacts on X*(m) with M as an invariant subspace. Over there A is already L K-splt so M=A.

Remark. In Buzzard-Gee the required isomorphism is $\mathcal{H}(G,K) = f[X_*(T_e)]^W$

Remark. The Satake isomorphism is the p-adic version of the Harish-Chandra isomorphism $Z(oj) \rightarrow (Str)^W$ for G a complex semisimple Lie group. We now sketch the proof of the above theorem.

Step 1. Check that S is a homomorphism by observing that it is actually the composition of three algebra maps

 $\mathcal{A}(G,k) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{A}(P) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{A}(M) \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{A}(M)$

where a is just restriction

 β is given by $\beta u(m) = \int_{N} u(mn) dn$

 γ is given by $\gamma f(m) = f(m) S(m)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Step 2. Check that S(A(G,K)) is contained in C(1)W.

Lo Use Fact: Sf(m) = D(m) S(m) - 1 SG/A f(gmgi) dm.

Another property of K is that $W = (N(A)nK)/_{\circ}M$. Hence we need to show that $Sf(xmx^{-1}) = Sf(m)$ for meM and $x \in N(A)nK$. In fact it suffices to show it for elements meM such that $m \mapsto det(Ad_{n}(m)-1)$ is (polynomial) nonzero.

Invariance for D(m) In fact D(xmx")=D(m) for x ∈ N(A). This follows because 0 = 9 + 9 + 9 + 50

D(m)2 = | det (Adn(m)-1)|= | det Adn(m)|=

= $\left| \det (Ad_{\mathcal{H}}(m) +)_{\mathcal{F}} \right| \left| \det (Ad_{\mathcal{H}}(m') - 1)_{\mathcal{F}} \right| = \left| \det (Ad_{\mathcal{H}}(m) - 1) \right|_{\mathcal{F}} \left| \det (Ad_{\mathcal{H}}(m) - 1)_{\mathcal{F}} \right|$

Thus $D(x) = |\det(Ad_{0/m}(m)-1)|_{F}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, so $D(xmx^{-1}) = D(m)$.

Invariance for integral Note that $N(A) \cap K$ acts by inner automorphisms on G and A; so leaves invariant the measure on G/A. Let $m \in M$ be regular, $x \in N(A) \cap K$, and $f \in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$. Thus $f(xgx^i) = f(g)$ for any $g \in G$, and

 $\int_{G/A} f(g(xmx^{i})g^{-i}) d\bar{g} = \int_{G/A} f((x^{i}gx)m(x^{i}gx)^{-i}) d\bar{g} = \int_{G/A} f(gmg^{-i}) d\bar{g}$

Step 3. Check that $S(\mathcal{H}(G,K)) \xrightarrow{\widehat{}} C[\Lambda]^{W}$.

Ly the idea is to find another basis of $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$ using the Gartan decomposition and show that the image of the basis is "upper triangular" with respect to the original basis cha of C[A].

Here is an application. Let us try to determine all unitary algebra homomorphisms $\mathcal{H}(G,K) \to \emptyset$. We do this by looking at the ones for $\mathcal{H}(M,^{\circ}M)$ and then passing over to $\mathcal{H}(G,K)$ via the Satake isomorphism. Since $\mathcal{L}(M,^{\circ}M)$ and $\mathcal{L}(M,^{\circ}M)$ and then the map $f \mapsto \mathcal{L}(M,^{\circ}M) \times \mathcal{L}(M) \times \mathcal{L}(M)$

Corollary: Any unitary $\mathcal{H}(G,k) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is of the form $\omega_{\mathcal{R}}(f) = \int_{M} Sf(m) \, \chi(m) \, dm$ for an unramified χ . Moreover, $\omega_{\mathcal{R}} = \omega_{\mathcal{R}}$ iff $\chi' = \omega \cdot \chi$ for some $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$.

Definition: A spherical function of G with reject to K is a function $\Gamma\colon G\to C \quad \text{that is } K\text{-invariant , with } \Gamma(1)=1, \text{ and such that}$ for any $f\in \mathcal{H}(G,K)$ there is a constant $\chi(f)$ with $f*\Gamma=\Gamma*f=\chi(f)\Gamma$.

Our goal now is to translate our results in terms of this language. Let x be an unramified character of M, and define

 $\overline{\Phi}_{k,\chi}(mnk) = \chi(m) S^{\frac{1}{2}}(m) \text{ for meM, neN, keK.}$ $\Gamma_{\chi}(g) = \int_{k} \overline{\Phi}_{k,\chi}(kg) dk, \text{ for } g \in G.$

Thm: (a) The spherical functions are the functions 1/2.

(b) $\Gamma_{\chi} = \Gamma_{\chi'} \iff \chi = W \chi'$ (some weW).

Lo Computation for (1) in the notes.

Spherical functions comes up in the Hecke theory for irreducible admissible representations and automorphic forms for Gh, say.

(Godernent's notes as a reference).

The last condition for spherical function is that it should be like an eigenfunction for the Heike operator on $L^2(G_k, \omega)$.