#### **NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE**

# CS2103/T - SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

(Semester 1: AY2019/20)

Part 2

Time Allowed: 1 Hour

# **INSTRUCTIONS TO STUDENTS**

- 1. Please write your Student Number only. Do not write your name.
- 2. This assessment paper contains **FOUR** questions and comprises **EIGHT** printed pages.
- 3. You are required to answer **ALL** questions.
- 4. This is an **OPEN BOOK** assessment.
- 5. You may **use pencils** to write answers.

STUDENT NO: A
---------------

This portion is for examiner's use only

Question	Marks	Remarks
Q1	/12	
Q2	/10	
Q3	/10	
Q4	/8	
Total	/40	

## Q1 [8+2+2=12 marks]

- (a) [8 marks] Illustrate the class structure of the following code using a UML diagram. Also incorporate the following information into the diagram:
  - An Activity object can consist of other Activity objects i.e., sub-activities.
  - A Watcher object may not be associated with more than 5 Activity objects.
  - UiWidget class inherits the ProgressWatcher.

Show all known information. Show associations as lines, not as attributes. Use the given layout.

```
class Activity{
    private Watcher[] watchers = new Watcher[ProgressWatcher.MAX];
    //...
    void watch(Watcher w){
        //add w to watchers
    }
    Activity getInstance(){
        //...
    }
}
interface Watcher{
    void update(int value);
}

abstract class ProgressWatcher implements Watcher{
    static int MAX = 10;
}
```

Activity Watcher

ProgressWatcher

UiWidget

For examiner's		
us	se on	ly
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	

<b>(b) [2 marks]</b> Which <i>design</i> above design?	pattern (out of the ones covered in th	te module) is being used in the
a1 and a2, both being watc	iagram to illustrate an object structur thed by a UiWidget object u. Furthern n. Show associations as lines, not as at	nore, a2 is a sub-activity of a1.
	a1	
		u
	a2	

Q2 [6+4=10 marks] Consider the code below:

(a) [6 marks] Use a UML sequence diagram to illustrate the interactions caused by the statement Client c = new Client(s, 100). Note that the two statements in the constructor execute in parallel to each other (the extra code required for parallelizing them is not shown for simplicity).

	For examiner's		
us	se on	ly	
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		

**(b)** [4 marks] Draw the execution flow caused by calling the launch() method as an activity diagram. Show each method call as an *action* in the activity diagram. Use the layout given.

Client()
init() add() set()
run()

For examiner's				
us	use only			
	1			
	2			
	3			
	4			
	5			

## Q3 [2+2+3+3 = 10 marks]

(a) The Java method isSameLength(String[] values) returns true only if the String in values[0] is the same length as the String in values[1]. e.g., values=["aa", "bb"] returns true but values=["a", "bbb"] returns false.

(a1) [2 marks] Given on the right are two test cases that
expect <b>true</b> . Justify why the 2 <sup>nd</sup> test case is redundant,
citing the relevant test case design heuristic.

	values	
1	["aa", "bb"]	
2	["aaa", "bbb"]	

(a2) [2 marks] Give a good (i.e., efficient and effective) test case to replace the redundant test
case in part (a1). The expected output for the new test case should be <b>true</b> .

(a3) [3 marks] Assuming you can have no more than four additional test cases, give four good test cases for which the expected output is **NOT true** (i.e., false or error condition)

**(b)** [3 marks] Consider the method proceed(Status entryStatus, Status exitStatus) where Status is an enumeration with values A, B, X, Y.

A and B are considered valid statuses while X and Y are considered invalid statuses.

The method succeeds if both parameters are valid statuses. Else, it aborts the execution.

It has been decided that only the four values A, B, X, Y will be included in test cases. One test case for testing this method is given below. Give <u>up to five more test cases</u> (divided into the two categories) that you will use to test this method in an efficient and effective manner.

Test cases that **succeed**:

	entryStatus	exitStatus
1	А	В
2		
3		
4		
5		

Test cases that **abort** the method:

	entryStatus	exitStatus
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

2 3 4	For examiner's			
2	us	se on	ПУ	
3		1		
		2		
4		3		
		4		

Q4 [2+6=8 marks] Consider the method below, taken from a Parser class of a CLI application:

```
1 // Returns the first word of the given input.
   // @param input the string to be parsed.
   // @return the first word of the input.
4 // @throws InvalidInputException if the string is invalid.
   public String getCommandWord(String input)
       throws InvalidInputException {
 7
       assert input != null : "null not expected at this point";
8
       log(WARNING, "Getting command word from input " + input);
9
       if (input.isEmpty()) {
           throw new InvalidInputException();
10
11
12
       String[] word = input.split(" ");
       String CommandWord = word[0];
13
14
       History.add(CommandWord);
       log(WARNING, "Command word is " + CommandWord);
15
16
       return CommandWord;
17 }
```

(a) [2 marks] Suggest how to fix two coding standard violations (w.r.t. the coding standard used in the module). Cite the relevant line number(s). One example is given.

Suggestion	
Lines 1-6:	Should be formatted as a Javadoc comment.

Fore	xami se on	
	1	. ,
	2	
	3	

**(b)** [6 marks] Select three areas (from the ones given in the table below) in which the getCommandWord method can be improved the most. For each of them, give one way to improve the code in that area. Cite the relevant line numbers in your suggestion.

Area	Suggestion				
Assertions					
Cohesion					
Comments					
Comments		_			
		F	or exa	amir onl	
				1	,
				2	
			_	-	
Exceptions				3	
'				4	
				5	
				-	
				6	
				7	
Logging					
		L			
Other					

If you have **doubts/queries or any other information** that you communicate with the examiner (e.g., potential errors in questions), please write them in this box, together with the question number.