# Sample solutions

### Question 1.

- a) S1. It is not view serializable, and not recoverable.
- b) S4. It is not view serializable, not avoid cascading abort (cascadeless), and recoverable.
- c) S6. Not cascadeless, Recoverable, Conflict Serializable, View Serializable.
- d) S10. Strict. Not View Serializable. Cascadeless. Recoverable.

#### Question 2.

w3(A), w1(A) c1, w2(B), c2, w3(B), c3

T1 commits before T2 starts, yet the only serialization order is T2,T3,T1.

## Question 3.

- (a) There are two possible serial executions. T0 T1 and T1 T0. For the first case, A = 0 and B = 1, which is consistent. For the second case, A = 1, and B = 0 eventually. Again, consistency is met.
- (b) Any interleaving of T0 and T1 results in a non-serializable schedule. Pick any one.
- (c) if you try all cases in (b), that can be your answer. There is no parallel execution resulting in a serializable schedule. From part(a), we know that a serializable schedule results in A=0 OR B=0. Suppose we start with T0 read(A). Then when the schedule ends, no matter when we run the steps of T1, B=1. Now suppose we start executing T1 prior to completion of T0. Then T1 read(B) will give B a value of 0. So, when T1 completes, A = 1. Thus, B=1 AND A = 1. We get similar logic for starting with T1 read(B).

# Question 4.

Figure shows the resultant polygraph. Since it is acyclic, S is view serializable. The serial order is T2, T1, T3.

