

car parts

RN 232

auto constraint

to Nov 11/15/17

T2M.S, T3B.3

T2M.S

Does size matter?

QES use StatMech to generate tables for the following 5 different

Finnish SIC pairs:

	$V_A, V_B$ cut pair	$\frac{V_A}{N_A}$	$\frac{V_B}{N_B}$	
(1) $N_A = N_B = 50$	50E, 50E	1E/osc.	1E/osc.	
(2) $N_A = 60, N_B = 10$	60.3E, 39.7E	6.03E/osc.	0.9925E/osc.	
(3) $N_A = 70, N_B = 30$	70.6E, 29.4E	1.00867142E/osc.	0.98E/osc.	
(4) $N_A = 80, N_B = 20$	80.9E, 19.1E	1.01125E/osc.	0.955E/osc.	
(5) $N_A = 90, N_B = 10$	91.2E, 8.8E	1.013E/osc.	0.88E/osc.	

In each case, choose  $V=100E$ . Concrete usage comparison

[Concrete  $\frac{V_A}{N_A}$  &  $\frac{V_B}{N_B}$ ] for value of  $b$  corresponding to the peak probability  $a_c$ , referred by StatMech below each.

for oscillator

for oscillator

Measure energy of A seems to increase while the average energy of B seems to decrease

$V_A$

b) Sketch a simple approximation describing how  $V_A/N_A$  contributes to  $V_B/N_B$

in terms of probable measured partition. Is your answer correct for some?

This approximation for  $\frac{V_A}{N_A} \propto \frac{V_B}{N_B}$

This is only exactly true if  $N_A = N_B$

A/1+

c) How does your rule change when you increase all numbers ( $N_A, N_B, V$ ) simultaneously by factors of 100? Does it's accuracy improve for the more extreme cases? Are other numbers becoming much more accurate when  $N_A, N_B$  &  $V$  large?

d) How will your rule fail in to limit the  $N_A/N_B$  becomes exactly 0? If while  $N_A < N_B$  & big, the approximation will become for an practical application, etc. This is because for large  $N$ , most of the energy is stored in only a few microstates out of lots of microstates due to presence of many microstates in these few microstates.

T3B.3

Two randomly arranged solids in a certain macro position  
have multiplicities of  $4.2 \cdot 10^{30}$  and  $8.6 \cdot 10^{32}$ .

a) we are interested in each solid

$$S = k_b \ln(\Omega) =$$

Let solid A be the solid with multiplicity  $\Omega_1 = 4.2 \cdot 10^{30}$

Let solid B be the solid with multiplicity  $\Omega_2 = 8.6 \cdot 10^{32}$

$$\text{so } S_A = k_b \cdot \ln(4.2 \cdot 10^{30}) = k_b \cdot (\ln 4.2 + 30 \ln 10) = k_b \cdot (1.43 + 30 \cdot 2.3) = 738 k_b$$

$$S_B = k_b \cdot \ln(8.6 \cdot 10^{32}) = k_b \cdot [\ln 8.6 + 32 \ln 10] = k_b \cdot (2.15 + 32 \cdot 2.3) = 306 k_b$$

b)  $S_{\text{tot}} = S_A + S_B = \boxed{k_b R / k_b}$

All others:

T2MS: Reversible

T3B.3: Plasticity, rightwise