## Assignment: Writing Assignment 3

Name: Oleksandr Yardas

Due Date: 02/23/2018

List You	r Collaborators:
• Probler	m 1: None
• Probler	m 2: None
• Probler	m 3: None
• Probler	m 4: Not Applicable
• Probler	m 5: Not Applicable
• Probler	m 6: Not Applicable

**Problem 1:** Consider the linear transformation  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  given by

$$T\left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} x - y \\ x + y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Is T injective? Justify your answer carefully.

Solution: Let  $\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{w} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be arbitrary vectors. If T is injective, then whenever  $T(\vec{v}) = T(\vec{w})$ , we have that  $\vec{v} = \vec{w}$ . That is, T is injective if whenever  $T(\vec{v}) = T(\vec{w})$  implied that  $\vec{v} = \vec{w}$ . We assume that T is injective. So we have:

$$T(\vec{v}) = T(\vec{w})$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 - v_2 \\ v_1 + v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 - w_2 \\ w_1 + w_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (by the definition of  $T$ )

We solve for  $v_1, v_2$ . Taking the first component, we manipulate to express  $v_1$  in terms of  $v_2, w_1, w_2$ :

$$v_1 - v_2 = w_1 - w_2$$
$$v_1 = w_1 - w_2 + v_2,$$

and substitute for  $v_1$  in the bottom component:

$$v_1 + v_2 = w_1 + w_2$$

$$(w_1 - w_2 + v_2) + v_2 = w_1 + w_2$$

$$w_1 - w_2 + 2v_2 = w_1 + w_2$$

$$2v_2 = w_1 + w_2 - (w_1 - w_2)$$

$$2v_2 = 2w_2$$

$$v_2 = w_2$$

So  $v_2 = w_2$ . Substituting back in for  $v_2$  in the top component, we have:

$$v_1 - (w_2) = w_1 - w_2$$
$$v_1 = w_1$$

Our assumption has lead us to the conclusion that  $v_1 = w_1, v_2 = w_2$ . So  $\vec{v} = \vec{w}$ , therefore T is injective by definition. Because  $\vec{v}, \vec{w}$  were arbitrary, the result follows.

**Problem 2:** Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be a linear transformation. Recall that

range
$$(T) = {\vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \text{There exists } \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ with } \vec{w} = T(\vec{v})}.$$

Notice that  $\vec{0} \in \text{range}(T)$  because we know that  $T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$  by Proposition 2.4.2.

a. Show that if  $\vec{w_1}, \vec{w_2} \in \text{range}(T)$ , then  $\vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2} \in \text{range}(T)$ .

Solution: Let  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be arbitrary. We fix vectors  $\vec{w_1}, \vec{w_2}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  by letting  $T(\vec{v_1}) = \vec{w_1}, T(\vec{v_2}) = \vec{w_2}, \vec{v_1} + \vec{v_2} = \vec{v}$ . So  $\vec{w_1}, \vec{w_2} \in \text{range}(T)$  by definition. Notice that:

$$T(\vec{v}) = T(\vec{v_1} + \vec{v_2})$$
  
=  $T(\vec{v_1}) + T(\vec{v_2})$  (by definition of linear transformation)  
=  $\vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2}$ 

So  $T(\vec{v}) = \vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2}$ . Because,  $\vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2} \in \text{range}(T)$  by definition. Because  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}$  were arbitrary, the result follows.

b. Show that if  $\vec{w} \in \text{range}(T)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $c\vec{w} \in \text{range}(T)$ .

Solution: Let  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  be arbitrary. We fix a vector  $\vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  by letting  $T(\vec{v}) = \vec{w}$ . So  $\vec{w} \in \text{range}(T)$  by definition. Notice that:

$$T(c\vec{v}) = c \cdot T(\vec{v})$$
 (by definition of linear transformation)  
=  $c \cdot \vec{w}$   
=  $c\vec{w}$ 

So  $T(c\vec{v}) = c\vec{w}$ . Because,  $c\vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $c\vec{w} \in \text{range}(T)$  by definition. Because  $\vec{w}, c$  were arbitrary, the result follows.

**Problem 3:** We defined linear transformations from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , but we can also define them from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  as follows. A linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  with both of the following properties:

- f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $f(c \cdot x) = c \cdot f(x)$  for all  $c, x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

a. Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that the function  $g_r : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $g_r(x) = rx$  is a linear transformation.

Solution: We check to see if  $g_r : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $g_r(x) = rx$  satisfies the above conditions. Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  be arbitrary. Note that:

$$g_r(x+y) = r(x+y)$$
 (by definition of  $g_r$ )  
 $= rx + ry$   
 $= g_r(x) + g_r(y)$  (by definition of  $g_r$ )

So for arbitrary  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $g_r(x+y) = g_r(x) + g_r(y)$ . So  $g_r$  satisfies the first condition. Now we test the second condition. Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  be arbitrary. Note that:

$$g_r(c \cdot x) = r(c \cdot x)$$
 (by definition of  $g_r$ )  
 $= crx$   
 $= c \cdot (rx)$   
 $= c \cdot q_r(x)$  (by definition of  $q_r$ )

So for arbitrary  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $g_r(c \cdot x) = c \cdot g_r(x)$ . So  $g_r$  satisfies the second condition. We have shown both conditions to be satisfied for  $g_r : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $g_r(x) = rx$ , so  $g_r$  is a linear transformation by definition.

b. Show that if  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  are both linear transformations, and f(1) = g(1), then f = g.

Solution: Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be arbitrary linear transformations. Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  be arbitrary. Notice that:

$$f(c) = f(c \cdot 1) = c \cdot f(1)$$
 (by definition of linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ )  
 $= c \cdot g(1)$  (by assumption)  
 $= g(c)$  (by definition of linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ )

So for arbitrary  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , f(c) = g(c). So f = g. Because  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  were arbitrary linear transformations, the result follows.