SRJC Preliminary Examination 2010 Paper 2 Answer Scheme

1. In your own words as far as possible, identify the 'four horsemen of the correctional apocalypse'. (line 6-7) [2m]

Lifted	
suffered; the need to consider the safety of society; some sort	The desire for: Retribution/ vengeance Reparation/ receive payment for damages/ replacement for damages public security rehabilitation/ reintegration Award ½ mark per point

2 '...there is an inverse relationship between the numbers of people in prison and the numbers in mental hospitals' (line 8-9). What does the phrase suggest about criminals?[1m]

Lifted	
The relationship is an 'inverse' one	Most criminals are psychologically unsound Or There is little difference between the insane and the criminal Or Criminals are insane and the insane are responsible for crime

- 3. (a) What does the writer mean by the word 'sexier' (line 18)?
 - (b) Why might the Freudian approach be the 'sexier' theory? [2m]

Lifted		
The more complex approach	Less boring/ more interesting/ intriguing/ appealing [1]	
	(If the comparative word 'more' is missing – 0m)	
	The Freudian approach deals with the mind and emotions, while the behaviourist approach considers the actions of the individual. [1] OR	
	The Freudian approach is more sophisticated/ profound/ complex than the behaviourist approach [1]	
This subconscious self derives		
from (line 28)	(To be awarded the mark, there MUST be some comparison with the behaviourist approach.	
	Although it is not necessary for the student to explain the	
	behaviourist approach.	
	Just need to make mention of behaviourism)	

4. "... whether it is the 'thrashing' of the opposition at a game of rugby or football..." (line 26) Why does the author place the word 'thrashing' in inverted commas? [2m]

Lifted	Inferred
•	The author uses it to indicate that he is not referring to the conventional understanding of the word, which means a physical beating. (1m)
from an angry parent."	Instead the word 'thrashing' refers to the huge psychological or emotional effect of losing a game. (1m) OR 'thrashing' refers to the idea of defeating one's opponent by a huge margin (1m)
	[Stating that it is a metaphor – ½ m]

5. What is the author's attitude towards the 'moralists' (line 30)? Justify your answer. [2m]

Lifted	
The dark army of medieval moralists, increasingly threatened by the outriders of post-Darwinian rationalism, is becoming ever more fierce in its demands. (line 43) The return to the death penalty in many American states reflects exactly this primitive, sadistic urge. (line 48 – 49)	The author [is critical of]/ [strongly disapproves of] the moralists [1] He uses the word 'medieval'/ 'primitive' to describe the moralists, which indicates their backwardness. Or He uses the word 'dark', suggesting that the moralists are too harsh in their approach. [1] Or The author uses negative connotations [½ m] [Marks can be awarded for justification of the answer, even when the attitude is not precise; if the attitude is wrongly inferred, no mark will be given to the part.] [If the words from the passage are not identified – ½ m]

6. Explain the author's intention in using the word 'even' (line 44)? [2m]

Lifted	Inferred
Even adolescents and 'retarded individuals' are no longer immune from the death penalty in America.	Youths and the mentally challenged are generally considered to be less capable of rational/sound decision/less capable of knowing the full consequence of their action, and thus are supposed to be spared the death penalty [1]
	Therefore, the author is emphasizing his point that the American society/our society has gone overboard in our use of punishment to control crime/the punishment is excessive.[1]
	[If the student does not include 'author intends to show'/the author is emphasizing the point - ½ m]

PASSAGE 2

7. Suggest why the principle of *proportionality* is 'commonly accepted as a necessary ingredient of a rational sentencing policy' (line 26)? [2m]

Lifted	Inferred
	The principle ensures that the punishment we impose on a criminal fits seriousness of the crime. [1]
•	It is commonly accepted because it appeals to human being's natural desire/instinct for fairness. [1] OR
	It appeals to most people's sense of fairness/justice. [1]
	[If the answer does not include 'naturally'/ 'appeals to most people' – ½ m]
	[Accept other reasonable answers]
	*need to explain proportionality - punishment must meet severity of crime.

8. Explain, in your own words as far as possible, why there are laws "against those that express views which give serious offence to religious or racial groups" (line 35) [1m]

Lifted	Paraphrase
an offence may result in no overt harm, but may attract such moral outrage that, a failure to	Such remarks may cause strong reactions among people. (1/2m)
punish - or punish adequately - such an offence will lead to those outraged to take physical action	Thus if there are no laws to punish the culprits, those who are insulted will feel a strong/great sense of injustice (½ m)
to vent their feelings. (line 32-34)	and may decide to take matters into their own hands and turn violent against those who have offended them (½ m)
	Bonus: ½ m

9. Using material from paragraph 1-5, summarise what Michael Hwang has to say about the different purposes of punishment, which he prefers, and the limitations of the utilitarian approach. 120words. [8m]

	Lifted	Paraphrased	
а	The old view 'retributive justice' which is based on the 'eye for an eye' principle.	The traditional understanding of punishment is founded on retaliation against the perpetrator/ tit for tat.	
		Hwang prefers the utilitarian approach, in which punishments are meted out because they serve to benefit the community .	
С	the utilitarian looks to the future to justify the imposition of punishment (line 7) The utilitarian justification for punishment isto prevent future offences of a similar kind, whether by that offender or others (line 8-9) principle of deterrence (line 9) serving as a warning to others (line 11)	Punishment functions to caution against potential acts of crime. OR Punishment discourages the rest of the society from engaging in transgressions. OR Punishment functions to avert potential acts of transgressions/crimes.	

d	sentence should be determined by its effect upon the person punished (line 10-11)	The punishment imposed should be appropriate or suitable for the criminal, such that it deters him from repeating the crime.	
е	penal process reinforcing the social values of the community (line 13-14)	Moreover, punishment strengthens the moral codes/ ethics/code of behaviour/standards of society	
f	The educational process [of punishment] should only be regarded as a side effect of punishment, and not as its primary justification (line 14-15)	However, the teaching of moral codes and/or reformative process should not be the sole/exclusive/main reason/rationale for punishment.	
g	protect the community and individuals from *tangible harm (line 19)	Instead, punishment should serve to keep the society and its occupants/people safe from *palpable/actual/real danger. *Award ½m if student does not qualify the type of harm.	
h	utilitarianism does not provide a self- contained justification of punishment.	Utilitarianism alone is inadequate as a reason for castigation (1m)	
i	make deterrence the sole criterion for punishment harsh penalties	When prevention becomes the only purpose (½ m),	
j	there would be times when the easy way to abolish a socially undesirable practice would be to impose extremely harsh penalties is commonly accepted as a necessary ingredient of a rational sentencing policy, that is, the principle of proportionality	sometimes the convenient approach to eliminate a communally unacceptable behaviour might involve enforcing exceedingly ruthless sentences.(1m)	
k	there is a moral limit to the law's power to make an offender an example for others to fear.	The legal system faces ethical constraints in using a criminal as a case in point to deter others. Or There must be an ethical boundary which punishment should not exceed.	
I	there may be occasions where an offence may result in no overt harm, but may attract such moral outrage that, a failure to punish or punish adequately - such an offence will lead to those outraged to take physical action to vent their feelings	On the other hand, a punishment that does match the offence might be considered inadequate by those who are offended (1/2m) h an offence will ake physical On the other hand, a punishment that does match the offence might be considered inadequate by those who are offended (1/2m) The strong sense of injustice felt might in turn cause these people to take things into their own hand,	

Give the meaning of the following words as they are used in the passage. You may write your answer in one word or a short phrase.

	1m	½ m	0
admonition (line 14)	chastisementpunishmentdisciplinary action	violence carried out to correct a mistake	reprimandrebukescolding
primordial (line 29)	 primal basic fundamental primitive existing from the beginning of time 	• ancient	prehistoricinborninnatenatural

quell (line 39)	put downsubduesuppresscurbto put a stop to	limitcontainstop	calmpacifyeasecountermitigate
stamp out (line 29)	 eliminate wipe out eradicate remove put an end to prevent 	weed out end	excludetake outabolishexterminatequell
conversely (line 31)	 on the contrary on the other hand on the flip side in contrast 	however	vice versaalternatively

[5m]