

TITLE :DNA Sequence Similarity Checker

Objectives:

- Computes the LCS using Dynamic Programming and reconstructs the sequence through backtracking.
- Validates DNA inputs and builds a styled DP table with highlighted LCS path.
- Generates a concise summary including LCS length, sequence, and similarity percentage.

Introduction:

- The project focuses on comparing two DNA sequences to identify their similarity.
- LCS helps determine the longest subsequence common to both strings while preserving order.
- Useful in bioinformatics, genome comparison, sequence alignment, and error detection.

Algorithms/Technique used:

1. Longest Common Subsequence (Dynamic Programming)

Algorithm Steps:

1. Create a DP table of size $(m+1) \times (n+1)$.
2. Initialize first row/column to 0 (base case: empty string).
3. For each cell:
 - a. If characters match $\rightarrow dp[i][j] = dp[i-1][j-1] + 1$

- b. Else $\rightarrow dp[i][j] = \max(dp[i-1][j], dp[i][j-1])$
4. Final LCS length is in $dp[m][n]$.

2. Backtracking Algorithm

1. Start from bottom-right cell.
2. If characters match \rightarrow move diagonally and add to LCS.
3. If mismatch \rightarrow move to cell with greater value (up or left).
4. Reverse collected characters to get LCS.

Time Complexity


- DP Table Filling: $O(m \times n)$
- Backtracking: $O(m + n)$
- Total Complexity: $O(mn)$

Explanation

- Every cell is computed once $\rightarrow m \times n$ operations.
- Backtracking travels at most $m+n$ steps.
- Thus, the algorithm is efficient even for moderately long DNA strings.

Result:

Deploy

 **DNA Sequence Similarity Checker**

This tool computes the Longest Common Subsequence (LCS) for two strings made of DNA/RNA bases (A, T, G, C) and visualizes the Dynamic Programming (DP) process.

Input Strings

Choose a sample pair or provide your own DNA/RNA sequences below.

Pick sample index (or Manual Input)

Manual

String A (DNA 1, only A, T, G, C)

ATGCGTAG

String B (DNA 2, only A, T, G, C)

GTACGTA

Deploy

LCS Analysis: Step-by-Step

Step 1: Initial Matrix Setup (The Base Case)

	ε	G[1]	T[2]	A[3]	C[4]	G[5]	T[6]	A[7]
ε	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A[1]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
T[2]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G[3]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C[4]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G[5]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
T[6]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A[7]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G[8]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The Dynamic Programming approach requires solving the **smallest subproblems** first. The first row ($i = 0$) and first column ($j = 0$) represent the LCS when one string is the **empty string (ε)**. The LCS between any string and an empty string is always 0, establishing the base case for the recurrence.

Deploy

Step 2: DP Table Value Filling (The Recursive Step)

Each cell $L[i, j]$ is calculated based on the solutions to smaller subproblems (cells to the left, above, and diagonally up-left). This process uses the principle of **Optimal Substructure**. The value in the table represents the length of the LCS for the prefixes $X[1..i]$ and $Y[1..j]$.

The cells are filled based on the recurrence relation:

$$L[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0 \\ L[i - 1, j - 1] + 1 & \text{if } X[i - 1] = Y[j - 1] \\ \max(L[i - 1, j], L[i, j - 1]) & \text{if } X[i - 1] \neq Y[j - 1] \end{cases}$$

	ε	G[1]	T[2]	A[3]	C[4]	G[5]	T[6]	A[7]
ε	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A[1]	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
T[2]	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2
G[3]	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
C[4]	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
G[5]	0	1	1	1	2	3	3	3
T[6]	0	1	2	2	2	3	4	4
A[7]	0	1	2	3	3	3	4	5
G[8]	0	1	2	3	3	4	4	5

Step 3: Backtracking for LCS (Solution Reconstruction)

After the entire table is filled, the value in the bottom-right cell $L[m, n]$ is the length of the LCS. To reconstruct the actual sequence, we **backtrack** from $L[m, n]$ to $L[0, 0]$:

- **Diagonal Move (Match):** If the value $L[i, j]$ comes from $L[i - 1, j - 1] + 1$, it means a match occurred, and the character $X[i - 1]$ belongs to the LCS. We add it to the sequence and move diagonally.
- **Up or Left Move (Mismatch):** If the value comes from $\max(L[i - 1, j], L[i, j - 1])$, it means a mismatch occurred, and we move to the cell (up or left) with the **larger value** to trace the optimal path.

	ϵ	G[1]	T[2]	A[3]	C[4]	G[5]	T[6]	A[7]
ϵ		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A[1]		0	0	0	1	1	1	1
T[2]		0	0	1	1	1	2	2
G[3]		0	1	1	1	2	2	2
C[4]		0	1	1	1	2	2	2
G[5]		0	1	1	1	2	3	3
T[6]		0	1	2	2	2	3	4
A[7]		0	1	2	3	3	3	4
G[8]		0	1	2	3	3	4	5

LCS Results Summary

DNA A (String A): ATGCGTAG (Length: 8)

DNA B (String B): GTACGTA (Length: 7)

LCS Length: 5

Longest Common Sequence: ACGTA

Percentage Matched: 66.67% (LCS Length / Average DNA Length)

Final Step: Full Animated Explanation

[Generate Animation](#)

DP table (X='ATGCGTAG', Y='GTACGTA')

	ϵ	G	T	A	C	G	T	A	
ϵ		0	0	0	1	1	1	1	
A		0	0	1	1	1	1	2	
T		0	1	1	1	1	2	2	
G		0	1	1	1	2	2	2	
C		0	1	1	1	2	2	2	
G		0	1	1	1	2	3	3	
T		0	1	2	2	2	3	4	
A		0	1	2	3	3	3	4	
G		0	1	2	3	3	4	4	

[Download GIF](#)

- The tool accurately identifies shared subsequences between DNA strings.
- Helps visualize how DP builds optimal solutions gradually.
- Highlights importance of backtracking in extracting the final sequence.

Conclusion

- **LCS effectively measures DNA similarity.**
- **Dynamic Programming ensures optimal and efficient computation.**
- **Visualization enhances understanding of internal DP operations.**

Future Scope

- **Extend LCS to full genome alignment (Needleman-Wunsch / Smith-Waterman).**
- **Add support for RNA bases and ambiguous nucleotide symbols.**
- **Integrate with machine-learning models for mutation prediction.**
- **Improve visualization with real-time interactive heatmaps.**