ChatGPT Review: KDD

Here's a detailed review of your notebook based on each KDD phase:

1. Data Selection Phase

• Positive Aspects:

- o Good explanation of the data selection phase and dataset choice.
- You identified the relevant columns for the analysis and documented the steps clearly.

• Critique:

- While you selected the primary features effectively, it would be helpful to provide more justification for excluding certain columns initially (e.g., why only 'Total Volume' was retained over specific bag volumes).
- Consider including a brief statistical summary of the selected columns to explain why they are relevant to predicting prices.

2. Data Pre-processing Phase

• Positive Aspects:

- You checked for missing values and confirmed that no imputation was needed, which is well-explained.
- o You also correctly identified and dropped irrelevant or redundant columns.

• Critique:

- You should add a step to identify and handle potential outliers in numerical columns like 'Total Volume' or 'Average Price'. Outliers can significantly impact model performance.
- o Consider addressing duplicate values, if any, even if there aren't any apparent duplicates, to ensure thorough pre-processing.

3. Data Transformation Phase

• Positive Aspects:

- You effectively used label encoding for the binary 'type' column and one-hot encoding for the 'region' column, which are appropriate transformations.
- Extracting temporal features (like year, month, and week) from the 'Date' column is a useful transformation that can improve model accuracy.

Critique:

- The feature transformation phase could benefit from feature interaction or polynomial transformations to enhance predictive power.
- Consider applying feature scaling (e.g., using StandardScaler or MinMaxScaler) to ensure uniform scaling, especially since features like 'Total Volume' can have a wide range of values.

4. Data Mining Phase

• Positive Aspects:

- You used various models (e.g., Linear Regression, Decision Trees, Random Forests, etc.) to explore different approaches for prediction.
- You included hyper parameter tuning (e.g., with Random Forests), which improves model performance.

• Critique:

- Try to include cross-validation for each model to provide more reliable performance estimates.
- o Include performance metrics for each model in a summary table to make it easier to compare and select the best-performing model.
- You could also consider advanced ensemble techniques (e.g., stacking) to improve prediction accuracy.

5. Interpretation/Evaluation Phase

• Positive Aspects:

- The residual plot and feature importance analysis are valuable additions that help interpret the model's results.
- You included a visualization of actual vs. predicted prices, which is helpful for understanding model performance.

• Critique:

- Add more detailed commentary on how well the model's predictions align with actual values, including insights into potential under- or over-prediction trends.
- o Further interpretation of feature importance could help highlight which features drive price changes, offering real-world insights.

Additional Comments

- Overall, the notebook is well-structured and follows the KDD methodology closely.
 Your explanations for each phase are clear and concise, making it easy to understand your approach.
- Consider adding more visualizations, such as bar charts for categorical distributions or scatter plots for numerical variables, to better understand data patterns during EDA.
- For clarity, it would be helpful to separate each model's training, evaluation, and interpretation in individual sections, so readers can follow the progression more easily.