

Blocking Issues

This lesson explains the challenges of blocking issues while using a condition variable in C++.

To make my point clear, you have to use a [condition variable](#) in combination with a predicate. If you don't, your program may become a victim of a [spurious wakeup](#) or [lost wakeup](#).

If you use a condition variable without a predicate, it may happen that the notifying thread sends its notification before the waiting thread is in the waiting state; Therefore, the waiting thread waits forever. This phenomenon is called a lost wakeup. Here is the program.

```
// conditionVariableBlock.cpp

#include <iostream>
#include <condition_variable>
#include <mutex>
#include <thread>

std::mutex mutex_;
std::condition_variable condVar;

bool dataReady;

void waitingForWork(){

    std::cout << "Worker: Waiting for work." << std::endl;

    std::unique_lock<std::mutex> lck(mutex_);
    condVar.wait(lck);
    // do the work
    std::cout << "Work done." << std::endl;

}

void setDataReady(){

    std::cout << "Sender: Data is ready." << std::endl;
    condVar.notify_one();

}

int main(){
```



```
std::cout << std::endl;

std::thread t1(setDataReady);

std::thread t2(waitingForWork);

t1.join();
t2.join();

std::cout << std::endl;

}
```



By chance, the first invocation of the program works fine. The second invocation locks because the notify call (line 28) happens before the thread **t2** (line 37) is waiting (line 19).

Of course, deadlocks and livelocks are side effects of race conditions. A deadlock depends in general on the interleaving of the threads and may sometimes occur or not. A livelock is similar to a deadlock; while a deadlock blocks, a livelock seems to make progress, with the emphasis on "seems." Think about a transaction in a transactional memory use case. Each time the transaction should be committed, a conflict happens and, therefore, a rollback takes place.