

Introduction

After sequential containers, let's enter the world of associative containers and learn about the principle of key-value pairs.

WE'LL COVER THE FOLLOWING ^

- Overview
- Further information

C++ has eight different [associative containers](#). Four of them are associative containers with sorted keys: `std::set`, `std::map`, `std::multiset`, and `std::multimap`. The other four are associative containers with unsorted keys: `std::unordered_set`, `std::unordered_map`, `std::unordered_multiset`, and `std::unordered_multimap`. The associative containers are special containers. That means they support all of the operations described in the chapter [containers in general](#).

Overview

All eight ordered and unordered containers have one thing in common: they associate a key with a value. We can use the key to get the value. To classify the associative containers, three simple questions need to be answered:

- Are the keys sorted?
- Does the key have an associated value?
- Can a key appear more than once?

The following table with $2^3 = 8$ rows gives the answers to the three questions. The answer to a fourth question is in the table. How fast is the access time of a key in the best case?

Associativ	Sorted	Associated	More	Access
------------	--------	------------	------	--------

e container		value	identical keys	time
<code>std::set</code>	yes	no	no	logarithmic
<code>std::unordered_set</code>	no	no	no	constant
<code>std::map</code>	yes	yes	no	logarithmic
<code>std::unordered_map</code>	no	yes	no	constant
<code>std::multiset</code>	yes	no	yes	logarithmic
<code>std::unordered_multiset</code>	no	no	yes	constant
<code>std::multimap</code>	yes	yes	yes	logarithmic
<code>std::unordered_multimap</code>	no	yes	yes	constant

Characteristics for associative containers

Since C++98, there have been ordered associative containers; with C++11 came unordered associative containers. Both classes have a very similar interface. That's the reason that the following code sample is identical for `std::map` and `std::unordered_map`. To be more precise, the interface of `std::unordered_map` is a superset of the interface of `std::map`. The same holds true for the remaining three unordered associative containers. So, porting your code from the ordered to unordered containers is quite easy.

We can initialize the containers with an initializer list and add new elements

We can initialize the containers with an initializer list and add new elements with the index operator. To access the first element of the key-value pair `p`, we have `p.first`, and for the second element, we have `p.second`. `p.first` is the key and `p.second` is the associated value of the pair.

```
// orderedUnorderedComparison.cpp
#include <iostream>
#include <map>
#include <unordered_map>

// std::map
int main(){
    std::map<std::string, int> m {"Dijkstra", 1972}, {"Scott", 1976}};
    m["Ritchie"] = 1983;
    std::cout << m["Ritchie"];           // 1983
    std::cout << "\n";

    for(auto p : m) std::cout << "{" << p.first << "," << p.second << "}";
    // {Dijkstra,1972},{Ritchie,1983},{Scott,1976}
    std::cout << "\n";

    m.erase("Scott");
    for(auto p : m) std::cout << "{" << p.first << "," << p.second << "}";
    // {Dijkstra,1972},{Ritchie,1983}
    std::cout << "\n";

    m.clear();
    std::cout << m.size() << std::endl;    // 0

// std::unordered_map

    std::unordered_map<std::string, int> um {"Dijkstra", 1972}, {"Scott", 1976}};
    um["Ritchie"] = 1983;
    std::cout << um["Ritchie"];           // 1983
    std::cout << "\n";

    for(auto p : um) std::cout << "{" << p.first << "," << p.second << "}";
    // {Ritchie,1983},{Scott,1976},{Dijkstra,1972}
    std::cout << "\n";

    um.erase("Scott");
    for(auto p : um) std::cout << "{" << p.first << "," << p.second << "}";
    // {Ritchie,1983},{Dijkstra,1972}
    std::cout << "\n";

    um.clear();
    std::cout << um.size() << std::endl;    // 0

    return 0;
}
```



There is a subtle difference between the two program executions: The keys of the `std::map` are ordered, the keys of the `std::unordered_map` are unordered. The question is: Why do we have such similar containers in C++? We already pointed this in the table above. The reason is so often the same: performance. The access time to the keys of an **unordered** associative container is constant and therefore independent of the size of the container. If the containers are big enough, the performance difference is significant. Have a look at the section about [performance](#).

Further information

- [Associative containers](#)
- [Containers in general](#)
- [Performance](#)

In the next lesson, we'll look into the difference between insertion and deletion in both ordered and unordered containers.