

# The Differences between Type Aliases and Interfaces

This lesson highlights the differences between type aliases and interfaces.

## WE'LL COVER THE FOLLOWING ^

- Difference with classes
- Difference with interfaces
- Accumulative difference

As TypeScript evolves, the differences between type aliases and interfaces have diminished. Nevertheless, few differences are worth pointing out.

## Difference with classes #

It is only ever possible to implement an interface on a class, but never a type. At any time an interface is implementable, but a type cannot have a union.

This makes the `type` a little more tricky to reuse in some patterns. The following example works because there is not a union **at line 1**. Thus, **line 6** transpiles. **Line 10** remains legit because an interface always can be implemented by a class.

```
type Person = { name: string };
interface Person2 {
  name: string;
}

class Mother implements Person {
  name: string = "";
}

class Father implements Person2 {
  name: string = "";
}
```



The following code does not compile because the type is a union at **line 1**. A union means a type *or* another type making the implementation not deterministic. Hence, it makes sense that it does not work.

 **Note:** the below code throws an error ✕

```
type Person = { name: string } | string;

class Mother implements Person {
  name: string = "";
}
```



## Difference with interfaces #

An interface can extend any interface. An interface can extend type but not all of them. Again, a type with a union is not extendable. It makes the interface easier to work with the interface because it does not need validation that the underlying type has a union or not.

```
interface Person { name: string };
interface Father extends Person { numberOfChildren: number };
let f1: Father = { name: "MisterF1", numberOfChildren: 2 };
```



But the following code transpiles because it does not have union.

```
type Person = { name: string };
interface Father extends Person { numberOfChildren: number };
let f1: Father = { name: "MisterF1", numberOfChildren: 2 };
```



But not the following one.

```
type Person = { name: string } | string;
interface Father extends Person { numberOfChildren: number };
let f1: Father = { name: "MisterF1", numberOfChildren: 2 };
```



## Accumulative difference #

The interface allows accumulative properties among all declaration of the same-named interfaces. The type does not allow it and will throw a transpilation exception. The accumulative properties allow creating in the same or many different files the same interface much time to have a final merged type. This characteristic is not available with type. To have a merged type we new type will be needed. The main advantage of the interface is that it allows a third-party to expand existing code by third-party without changing the original code.

The following code defines the `Person` interface twice: **line 1** and **line 5**. In this lesson, they are next to each other, but they could have been in two different packages. At **line 9**, to compile, the code must contain both fields since they are both not marked as optional.

```
interface Person { // This interface could be in a third party package
  firstName: string;
}

interface Person { // This interface could be in your first party
  lastName: string;
}

let c: Person = { firstName: "First", lastName: "Last" };
```



The following code does not compile because it is two `type` and it does not allow two of the same name.

Note: the below code throws an error ✕

```
type PersonType = {  
  firstName: string;  
}  
  
type PersonType {  
  lastName: string;  
}  
  
let typeP: PersonType = { firstName: "First", lastName: "Last" };
```



Interface gives more flexibility and thus should be used most of time.