Generic Constraint

This lesson explains how to transform a generic type with a constraint to control what can be passed.

WE'LL COVER THE FOLLOWING

- ^
- Function with constraints
- Accessing properties of the generic type

With generic, you can specify that the type passed into your generic class must extend a specific interface. Generic allows having code that can rely on a minimal interface without forcing a specific class.

For example, with a list, you do not need to force the list to hold a specific object. Instead, you can create a *generic* list where the generic type passed **must** extend a definition.

Function with constraints

In the following example, we are stating that any object that has at least a member named <code>id</code> of type <code>number</code> is allowed. By extending the generic type, you can perform logic on specific members; for example, access <code>id</code> regardless of fields not exposed to the generic code.

```
interface MyType { // Type that has a single field
    id: number;
}
interface AnotherType extends MyType {} // Another type that has all the field from MyType
function genericFunction<T extends MyType>(p1: T) {} // A function that take a generic type to
const arg: AnotherType = { id: 1 }; // Create an object that is not "MyType"
genericFunction(arg); // This is legit because AnotherType extend MyType, thus has all the re
genericFunction({ id: 123 }); // This is legit as well since id is the only required field from
// genericFunction("doesn't compile") // Doest not compile, not legit.
```







Line 12 is commented on purpose. The argument passed does not have a member <code>id</code>: it is a string. Hence, TypeScript knows it is not a legit type and error at transpilation time. On the contrary, at **line 8** the object has an <code>id</code> and at **line 10** as well.

Accessing properties of the generic type

The benefit is avoiding potential casting errors and accessing members that do not exist. It also allows access to the specified members inside the generic function.

```
interface MyType {
    id: number; // id is available
    id2: number;
}
interface AnotherType {
    id: number; // id is available
}
function genericFunction<T extends AnotherType>(p1: T) { // Any type that has all fields from console.log("Inside generic:" + p1.id);
}
genericFunction({ id: 123, id2: 99999 }); // This is legit because we have id (and more)
```

The example passes an object with two members <code>id</code> and <code>id2</code> to a generic function that requires an <code>id</code> of type <code>number</code>. The object passed on line 12 does have <code>id</code> and <code>id2</code>. However, inside the generic function, only <code>id</code> is available. The reason is that the function expects to have the minimum and common understanding that <code>T</code> is respecting the type after <code>extends</code> and anything else is not guaranteed, hence it cannot be accessible.

Many use cases borrow the pattern of allowing a generic type and are concerned only by a subset of properties. For example, you may have a logging library that must have an object with errorDescription and still allow any type as long as they have these two fields.