

Q1)

- A) As  $S$  increases,  $\delta_{2S}$  increases. Intuitively this can be thought like this. As  $m$  is staying the same, the chances of any  $2S$  columns of measuring matrix being linearly independent decreases as the length of column is fixed, by increasing  $S$ , we are including more columns hence they can be linearly dependent. The RIC constant is related to this property even though  $2S$  column being linearly independent doesn't imply RIP of order  $S$ , but vice versa is true. Now in the error bound  $C1$  and  $C2$  are increasing functions of  $\delta_{2S}$  and hence they increase as  $S$  increase, and they increase in such a way that overall error term increases, hence as  $S$  increases and  $m$  is kept same, the reconstruction worsens which seems reasonable.
- B)  $M$  is hidden in the error term in various places.  $\epsilon$  is upper bound on magnitude of  $\eta$  and that depends on the number of measurements we take.  $\epsilon = \sigma\sqrt{m}$  is generally taken and hence the error depends on  $m$ . Also Theorem 3 holds only when the matrix satisfies RIP of order  $2S$  and  $\delta_{2S} < 0.41$  and if we vary  $m$  then it is possible that the matrix doesn't obey RIP (mainly when decrease  $m$ ) or the value of  $\delta_{2S}$  could change and hence  $C1, C2$  would change as they are functions of  $\delta_{2S}$ . Hence the error bound strongly depends on  $m$ . Also as  $m$  increases error bound should decrease as looks intuitive (reconstruction should be better). This can be explained by the fact that as  $m$  increase it looks as if  $\delta_{2S}$  should decrease (ie RIC constant decrease) as the matrix has better chance of satisfying RIP. Also any  $2S$  columns would be linearly independent with more probability when  $m$  is increased (as more freedom and more length of vector), hence  $C1$  and  $C2$  could decrease, thereby decreasing the error term even though  $\epsilon$  increases. As  $m$  decreases, chances of matrix satisfying RIP decrease ( $m \geq 2S$  is necessary) we have seen in the construction of random matrices that they satisfy RIP with overwhelmingly high probability when  $m \geq CS \log(n/S)$  and when  $m$  decreases, there are chances that this inequality won't be satisfied.
- C) Theorem 3A is more useful as it relaxes the condition on  $\delta_{2S}$ , ie now it can have higher value also. There can be 2 cases during reconstruction, when  $\delta_{2S} < 0.41$ , then the reconstruction would be similar due to both theorems. In the case when  $\delta_{2S} > 0.41$  and  $\delta_{2S} < 0.6246$ , then theorem 3 can't be applied and according to that we can't reconstruct (or get error bound), but since we have a theorem 3A, it tells that we can still reconstruct, though the error may be higher as  $C1, C2$  are higher as  $\delta_{2S}$  is higher, but at least it gives the possibility of reconstruction. Hence theorem 3A covers more measurement matrices than theorem 3. The measurement matrices satisfying  $\delta_{2S} < 0.41$  are a subset of matrices satisfying  $\delta_{2S} < 0.6246$  and hence using theorem 3A we can do compressed reconstruction over larger set of matrices. As  $\delta_{2S}$  can be larger here, so as explained in B, we can even select smaller values of  $m$  (decreasing  $m$ , can likely increase  $\delta_{2S}$ ) and hence we can compress the signal more and reconstruct from it also within an error bound.