CS 577- Intro to Algorithms

Network Flow (Part 2)

Dieter van Melkebeek

October 29, 2020

Network

- ightharpoonup a digraph (V, E)
- ▶ edge capacities $c: E \to [0, \infty)$
- ▶ the source $s \in V$, which has indegree 0, and
- ▶ the sink $t \in V$, which has outdegree 0.

Network

- ightharpoonup a digraph (V, E)
- edge capacities $c: E \to [0, \infty)$
- ▶ the source $s \in V$, which has indegree 0, and
- ▶ the sink $t \in V$, which has outdegree 0.

Flow

A mapping $f: E \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying

- ▶ [capacity constraints] $(\forall e \in E) f(e) \leq c(e)$
- ▶ [conservation constraints] $(\forall v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}) f_{in}(v) = f_{out}(v)$ where $f_{in}(v) \doteq \sum_{u \in V: e \doteq (v, v) \in E} f(e)$ and $f_{out}(v) \doteq \sum_{u \in V: e \doteq (v, u) \in E} f(e)$.

Network

- ightharpoonup a digraph (V, E)
- edge capacities $c: E \to [0, \infty)$
- ▶ the source $s \in V$, which has indegree 0, and
- ▶ the sink $t \in V$, which has outdegree 0.

Flow

A mapping $f: E \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying

- ▶ [capacity constraints] $(\forall e \in E) f(e) \leq c(e)$
- ▶ [conservation constraints] $(\forall v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}) f_{in}(v) = f_{out}(v)$ where $f_{in}(v) \doteq \sum_{u \in V: e \doteq (v, u) \in E} f(e)$ and $f_{out}(v) \doteq \sum_{u \in V: e \doteq (v, u) \in E} f(e)$.

st-Cut

A partition (S, T) of V such that $s \in S$ and $t \in T$.



Recap - computational problems

Recap - computational problems

Max flow

Input: network N = (V, E, c, s, t)

Output: flow f such that $\nu(f) \doteq f_{\text{out}}(s)$ is maximized

Recap - computational problems

Max flow

Input: network N = (V, E, c, s, t)

Output: flow f such that $\nu(f) \doteq f_{\text{out}}(s)$ is maximized

Min cut

Input: network N = (V, E, c, s, t)

Output: st-cut (S, T) such that $c(S, T) \doteq \sum_{e \in S \times T} c(e)$ is

minimized

linvariance: For every flow f and st-cut (S, T)

$$f_{\mathsf{out}}(S) - f_{\mathsf{in}}(S) = \nu(f)$$

▶ Invariance: For every flow f and st-cut (S, T)

$$f_{\mathsf{out}}(S) - f_{\mathsf{in}}(S) = \nu(f)$$

▶ Weak duality: For every flow f and st-cut (S, T)

$$\nu(f) \leq c(S, T)$$

▶ Invariance: For every flow f and st-cut (S, T)

$$f_{\mathsf{out}}(S) - f_{\mathsf{in}}(S) = \nu(f)$$

▶ Weak duality: For every flow f and st-cut (S, T)

$$\nu(f) \leq c(S,T)$$

Equality $\nu(f) = c(S, T)$ holds iff

▶ Invariance: For every flow f and st-cut (S, T)

$$f_{\mathsf{out}}(S) - f_{\mathsf{in}}(S) = \nu(f)$$

▶ Weak duality: For every flow f and st-cut (S, T)

$$\nu(f) \leq c(S,T)$$

Equality
$$\nu(f) = c(S, T)$$
 holds iff $(\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) f(e) = c(e)$

▶ Invariance: For every flow f and st-cut (S, T)

$$f_{\mathsf{out}}(S) - f_{\mathsf{in}}(S) = \nu(f)$$

• Weak duality: For every flow f and st-cut (S, T)

$$\nu(f) \leq c(S,T)$$

Equality $\nu(f) = c(S, T)$ holds iff

- $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) \, f(e) = c(e)$
- $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) \, f(e) = 0.$

▶ Invariance: For every flow f and st-cut (S, T)

$$f_{\mathsf{out}}(S) - f_{\mathsf{in}}(S) = \nu(f)$$

• Weak duality: For every flow f and st-cut (S, T)

$$\nu(f) \leq c(S,T)$$

Equality $\nu(f) = c(S, T)$ holds iff

- $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) \, f(e) = c(e)$
- $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) \, f(e) = 0.$
- Strong duality (today):

$$\max_{f \text{ low } f} \nu(f) = \min_{st\text{-cut } (S,T)} c(S,T)$$

Residual Network

Consider a flow f in N = (V, E, c, s, t).

Definition

The residual network $N_f = (V, E_f, c_f, s, t)$ has:

- For each $e \in E$ with f(e) < c(e), an edge e in E_f with $c_f(e) \doteq c(e) f(e)$.
- ▶ For each $e = (u, v) \in E$ with f(e) > 0, an edge $e' \doteq (v, u)$ in E_f with $c_f(e') \doteq f(e)$.

Scheme

- 1. Start with $f \equiv 0$.
- 2. While there is an st-path in N_f
 - Pick such a path P.
 - ▶ $f \leftarrow f + \text{flow along } P \text{ of value } \min_{e \in P}(c_f(e))$
- 3. Return f.

Scheme

- 1. Start with $f \equiv 0$.
- 2. While there is an st-path in N_f
 - Pick such a path P.
 - ▶ $f \leftarrow f + \text{flow along } P \text{ of value } \min_{e \in P}(c_f(e))$
- 3. Return f.

Soundness

If the algorithm produces an output, it is correct.

Scheme

- 1. Start with $f \equiv 0$.
- 2. While there is an st-path in N_f
 - Pick such a path P.
 - ▶ $f \leftarrow f + \text{flow along } P \text{ of value } \min_{e \in P}(c_f(e))$
- 3. Return *f* .

Soundness

If the algorithm produces an output, it is correct.

f always is a valid flow.

Scheme

- 1. Start with $f \equiv 0$.
- 2. While there is an st-path in N_f
 - Pick such a path P.
 - ▶ $f \leftarrow f + \text{flow along } P \text{ of value } \min_{e \in P}(c_f(e))$
- 3. Return *f* .

Soundness

If the algorithm produces an output, it is correct.

- f always is a valid flow.
- ▶ If there is no *st*-path in N_f then $\nu(f)$ is maximized.

Scheme

- 1. Start with $f \equiv 0$.
- 2. While there is an st-path in N_f
 - Pick such a path P.
 - ▶ $f \leftarrow f + \text{flow along } P \text{ of value } \min_{e \in P}(c_f(e))$
- 3. Return *f* .

Soundness

If the algorithm produces an output, it is correct.

- f always is a valid flow.
- ▶ If there is no st-path in N_f then $\nu(f)$ is maximized.

Termination

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

(1) f has maximum value.

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- (1) f has maximum value.
- (2) There is no st-path in N_f .

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- (1) f has maximum value.
- (2) There is no st-path in N_f .
- (3) There exists an st-cut (S, T) such that
 - $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) \, f(e) = c(e)$
 - $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) \, f(e) = 0.$

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- (1) f has maximum value.
- (2) There is no st-path in N_f .
- (3) There exists an st-cut (S, T) such that
 - $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) \, f(e) = c(e)$
 - $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) \, f(e) = 0.$

Proof

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- (1) f has maximum value.
- (2) There is no st-path in N_f .
- (3) There exists an st-cut (S, T) such that
 - $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) \, f(e) = c(e)$
 - ∘ $(\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) f(e) = 0$.

Proof

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ Contrapositive follows by path augmentation.

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- (1) f has maximum value.
- (2) There is no st-path in N_f .
- (3) There exists an st-cut (S, T) such that
 - $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) \, f(e) = c(e)$
 - $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) \, f(e) = 0.$

Proof

- $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ Contrapositive follows by path augmentation.
- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Next slide.

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- (1) f has maximum value.
- (2) There is no st-path in N_f .
- (3) There exists an st-cut (S, T) such that
 - $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) \, f(e) = c(e)$
 - $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) \, f(e) = 0.$

Proof

- $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ Contrapositive follows by path augmentation.
- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Next slide.
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Follows from weak duality.

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- (1) f has maximum value.
- (2) There is no st-path in N_f .
- (3) There exists an st-cut (S, T) such that
 - $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) \, f(e) = c(e)$
 - $\circ \ (\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) \, f(e) = 0.$

Proof

- $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ Contrapositive follows by path augmentation.
- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Next slide.
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Follows from weak duality.

Corollary: Strong duality

$$\max_{\text{flow } f} \nu(f) = \min_{\text{st-cut } (S,T)} c(S,T)$$

Suppose that there is no st-path in N_f .

Suppose that there is no st-path in N_f . Consider

 $S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$

Suppose that there is no st-path in N_f . Consider

$$S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$$

▶ (S, T) with $T = V \setminus S$ is an st-cut in N.

Suppose that there is no st-path in N_f . Consider

$$S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$$

► (S, T) with $T = V \setminus S$ is an st-cut in N. ∘ $s \in S$

$$S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$$

- ▶ (S, T) with $T = V \setminus S$ is an st-cut in N.
 - \circ $s \in S$
 - \circ $t \in T$

$$S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$$

- ▶ (S, T) with $T = V \setminus S$ is an st-cut in N.
 - \circ $s \in S$
 - \circ $t \in T$
- $(\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) f(e) = c(e)$

$$S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$$

- ▶ (S, T) with $T = V \setminus S$ is an *st*-cut in N.
 - s ∈ S
 - \circ $t \in T$
- $(\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) f(e) = c(e)$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $u \in S$ and f(e) < c(e).

$$S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$$

- ▶ (S, T) with $T = V \setminus S$ is an st-cut in N.
 - \circ $s \in S$
 - \circ $t \in T$
- $(\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) f(e) = c(e)$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $u \in S$ and f(e) < c(e).
 - Then $(u, v) \in E_f$ so $v \in S$.

$$S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$$

- ▶ (S, T) with $T = V \setminus S$ is an *st*-cut in N.
 - \circ $s \in S$
 - \circ $t \in T$
- $(\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) f(e) = c(e)$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $u \in S$ and f(e) < c(e).
 - Then $(u, v) \in E_f$ so $v \in S$.
- $(\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) f(e) = 0$

$$S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$$

- ▶ (S, T) with $T = V \setminus S$ is an st-cut in N.
 - \circ $s \in S$
 - \circ $t \in T$
- $(\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) f(e) = c(e)$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $u \in S$ and f(e) < c(e).
 - Then $(u, v) \in E_f$ so $v \in S$.
- $(\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) f(e) = 0$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $v \in S$ and f(e) > 0.

$$S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$$

- ▶ (S, T) with $T = V \setminus S$ is an st-cut in N.
 - s ∈ S
 - \circ $t \in T$
- $(\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) f(e) = c(e)$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $u \in S$ and f(e) < c(e).
 - Then $(u, v) \in E_f$ so $v \in S$.
- $(\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) f(e) = 0$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $v \in S$ and f(e) > 0.
 - Then $e' \doteq (v, u) \in E_f$ so $u \in S$.

$$S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$$

- ▶ (S, T) with $T = V \setminus S$ is an st-cut in N.
 - s ∈ S
 - \circ $t \in T$
- $(\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) f(e) = c(e)$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $u \in S$ and f(e) < c(e).
 - Then $(u, v) \in E_f$ so $v \in S$.
- $(\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) f(e) = 0$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $v \in S$ and f(e) > 0.
 - Then $e' \doteq (v, u) \in E_f$ so $u \in S$.
- $ightharpoonup :: (S, T) \text{ is an } st\text{-cut with } c(S, T) = \nu(f).$

$$S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$$

- ▶ (S, T) with $T = V \setminus S$ is an st-cut in N.
 - s ∈ S
 - \circ $t \in T$
- $(\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) f(e) = c(e)$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $u \in S$ and f(e) < c(e).
 - Then $(u, v) \in E_f$ so $v \in S$.
- $(\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) f(e) = 0$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $v \in S$ and f(e) > 0.
 - Then $e' \doteq (v, u) \in E_f$ so $u \in S$.
- ▶ \therefore (S, T) is an st-cut with $c(S, T) = \nu(f)$. By weak duality $\nu(f)$ is maximized and c(S, T) minimized.

$$S \doteq \{v \in V : \text{ there exists an } sv\text{-path in } N_f\}.$$

- ▶ (S, T) with $T = V \setminus S$ is an st-cut in N.
 - s ∈ S
 - \circ $t \in T$
- $(\forall e \in E \cap S \times T) f(e) = c(e)$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $u \in S$ and f(e) < c(e).
 - Then $(u, v) \in E_f$ so $v \in S$.
- $(\forall e \in E \cap T \times S) f(e) = 0$
 - Consider $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $v \in S$ and f(e) > 0.
 - Then $e' \doteq (v, u) \in E_f$ so $u \in S$.
- ▶ \therefore (S, T) is an st-cut with $c(S, T) = \nu(f)$. By weak duality $\nu(f)$ is maximized and c(S, T) minimized.
- ▶ Construction of (S, T) from f runs in linear time.



Path Augmentation - recap

Scheme

- 1. Start with $f \equiv 0$.
- 2. While there is an st-path in N_f
 - Pick such a path P.
 - ▶ $f \leftarrow f + \text{flow along } P \text{ of value } \min_{e \in P}(c_f(e))$
- 3. Return *f* .

Soundness

If the algorithm produces an output, it is correct.

- f always is a valid flow.
- ▶ If there is no st-path in N_f then $\nu(f)$ is maximized.

Termination

Depends on the choice of augmenting path.

- ▶ Depends on the choice of augmenting path.
- ▶ There exist instances and choices without termination.

- Depends on the choice of augmenting path.
- ▶ There exist instances and choices without termination.

Integral capacities

If all capacities are integral, termination is guaranteed no matter how augmenting paths are picked.

- Depends on the choice of augmenting path.
- ▶ There exist instances and choices without termination.

Integral capacities

If all capacities are integral, termination is guaranteed no matter how augmenting paths are picked.

• # augmentations $\leq F \doteq \max_{\mathsf{flow}\ f} \nu(f)$

- Depends on the choice of augmenting path.
- ▶ There exist instances and choices without termination.

Integral capacities

If all capacities are integral, termination is guaranteed no matter how augmenting paths are picked.

- # augmentations $\leq F \doteq \max_{\text{flow } f} \nu(f)$
- ▶ If each augmenting path is picked using linear-time graph traversal, running time is $O((n+m) \cdot F)$.

- Depends on the choice of augmenting path.
- ▶ There exist instances and choices without termination.

Integral capacities

If all capacities are integral, termination is guaranteed no matter how augmenting paths are picked.

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations $\leq F \doteq \max_{\text{flow } f} \nu(f)$
- ▶ If each augmenting path is picked using linear-time graph traversal, running time is $O((n+m) \cdot F)$.
- ▶ Running time is *pseudopolynomial*, i.e., bounded by polynomial in size parameters (n and m) and *value* of numbers involved (capacities). [$F \le \sum_{e \in E} c(e)$.]

Slow convergence

Augmentation along path of maximum residual capacity

▶ # augmentations = $O(m \cdot \log F)$ for integral instances

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = $O(m \cdot \log F)$ for integral instances
- ▶ Finding one path: O(n+m) time

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = $O(m \cdot \log F)$ for integral instances
- Finding one path: O(n+m) time
- ▶ Overall running time: $O((n+m)m \cdot \log F)$

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = $O(m \cdot \log F)$ for integral instances
- Finding one path: O(n+m) time
- ▶ Overall running time: $O((n+m)m \cdot \log F)$
- ▶ Running time is *polynomial*, i.e., bounded by polynomial in size parameters and *bitlength* of numbers involved.

Augmentation along path of maximum residual capacity

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = $O(m \cdot \log F)$ for integral instances
- Finding one path: O(n+m) time
- ▶ Overall running time: $O((n+m)m \cdot \log F)$
- ► Running time is *polynomial*, i.e., bounded by polynomial in size parameters and *bitlength* of numbers involved.

Augmentation along path of maximum residual capacity

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = $O(m \cdot \log F)$ for integral instances
- Finding one path: O(n+m) time
- ▶ Overall running time: $O((n+m)m \cdot \log F)$
- ► Running time is *polynomial*, i.e., bounded by polynomial in size parameters and *bitlength* of numbers involved.

Augmentation along path with smallest number of edges

 \blacktriangleright # augmentations = O(nm) for all instances

Augmentation along path of maximum residual capacity

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = $O(m \cdot \log F)$ for integral instances
- Finding one path: O(n+m) time
- ▶ Overall running time: $O((n+m)m \cdot \log F)$
- Running time is polynomial, i.e., bounded by polynomial in size parameters and bitlength of numbers involved.

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = O(nm) for all instances
- Finding one path: O(n+m) time (BFS)

Augmentation along path of maximum residual capacity

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = $O(m \cdot \log F)$ for integral instances
- Finding one path: O(n+m) time
- ▶ Overall running time: $O((n+m)m \cdot \log F)$
- Running time is polynomial, i.e., bounded by polynomial in size parameters and bitlength of numbers involved.

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = O(nm) for all instances
- Finding one path: O(n+m) time (BFS)
- ▶ Overall running time: O(nm(n+m))

Augmentation along path of maximum residual capacity

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = $O(m \cdot \log F)$ for integral instances
- Finding one path: O(n+m) time
- ▶ Overall running time: $O((n+m)m \cdot \log F)$
- Running time is polynomial, i.e., bounded by polynomial in size parameters and bitlength of numbers involved.

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = O(nm) for all instances
- Finding one path: O(n+m) time (BFS)
- ▶ Overall running time: O(nm(n+m))
- Running time is strongly polynomial, i.e., bounded by polynomial in size parameters only.

Augmentation along path of maximum residual capacity

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = $O(m \cdot \log F)$ for integral instances
- ▶ Finding one path: O(n+m) time
- ▶ Overall running time: $O((n+m)m \cdot \log F)$
- Running time is polynomial, i.e., bounded by polynomial in size parameters and bitlength of numbers involved.

Augmentation along path with smallest number of edges

- \blacktriangleright # augmentations = O(nm) for all instances
- Finding one path: O(n+m) time (BFS)
- ▶ Overall running time: O(nm(n+m))
- Running time is strongly polynomial, i.e., bounded by polynomial in size parameters only.

Other approaches: O(nm) time for all instances

