$ightharpoonup Z_i$ iid with $Pr[Z_i = +s] = Pr[Z_i = -s] = 0.5$

- ► Z_i iid with $Pr[Z_i = +s] = Pr[Z_i = -s] = 0.5$
- lacktriangle Define a continuous-time process X(t) by

- ► Z_i iid with $Pr[Z_i = +s] = Pr[Z_i = -s] = 0.5$
- lacktriangle Define a continuous-time process X(t) by

$$X(nT) = Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_n$$

 $X(t) = X(nT), \text{ for } nT \le t < (n+1)T$

- ► Z_i iid with $Pr[Z_i = +s] = Pr[Z_i = -s] = 0.5$
- lacktriangle Define a continuous-time process X(t) by

$$X(nT) = Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_n$$

 $X(t) = X(nT), \text{ for } nT \le t < (n+1)T$

▶ The discrete-time Markov chain, $X(nT), n=0,1,\cdots$, is called a (one dimensional) random walk

- $ightharpoonup Z_i$ iid with $Pr[Z_i = +s] = Pr[Z_i = -s] = 0.5$
- lacktriangle Define a continuous-time process X(t) by

$$X(nT) = Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_n$$

 $X(t) = X(nT), \text{ for } nT \le t < (n+1)T$

- ▶ The discrete-time Markov chain, $X(nT), n = 0, 1, \cdots$, is called a (one dimensional) random walk
- ▶ The X(t) is defined by piece-wise constant interpolation of X(nT)

- ► Z_i iid with $Pr[Z_i = +s] = Pr[Z_i = -s] = 0.5$
- lacktriangle Define a continuous-time process X(t) by

$$X(nT) = Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_n$$

 $X(t) = X(nT), \text{ for } nT \le t < (n+1)T$

- ▶ The discrete-time Markov chain, $X(nT), n = 0, 1, \cdots$, is called a (one dimensional) random walk
- ▶ The X(t) is defined by piece-wise constant interpolation of X(nT)
- For large n, $\frac{X(nT)}{s\sqrt{n}}$ would be Gaussian

$$Pr\left[\frac{X(nT)}{s\sqrt{n}} \le y\right] \approx \Phi(y)$$

 $\qquad \qquad \textbf{For } t = nT \text{, } E[X^2(t)] = ns^2 = s^2 \tfrac{t}{T}$

- ▶ For t = nT, $E[X^2(t)] = ns^2 = s^2 \frac{t}{T}$
- ▶ If we let $T \to 0$, we need s^2 to go to zero at the same rate as T.

- ▶ For t = nT, $E[X^2(t)] = ns^2 = s^2 \frac{t}{T}$
- ▶ If we let $T \to 0$, we need s^2 to go to zero at the same rate as T.
- Define

$$W(t) = \lim_{T \to 0, s^2 = \alpha T} X(t)$$

- ▶ For t = nT, $E[X^2(t)] = ns^2 = s^2 \frac{t}{T}$
- ▶ If we let $T \to 0$, we need s^2 to go to zero at the same rate as T.
- Define

$$W(t) = \lim_{T \to 0, s^2 = \alpha T} X(t)$$

This is called the Wiener Process or Brownian motion.

- ▶ For t = nT, $E[X^2(t)] = ns^2 = s^2 \frac{t}{T}$
- ▶ If we let $T \to 0$, we need s^2 to go to zero at the same rate as T.
- Define

$$W(t) = \lim_{T \to 0, s^2 = \alpha T} X(t)$$

This is called the Wiener Process or Brownian motion.

We intuitively expect W(t) to be a process with stationary and independent increments and for each t, W(t) is Gaussian with zero mean and variance proportional to t

 \blacktriangleright Let $\{X(t),\ t\geq 0\}$ be a continuous-state continuous-time process

 \blacktriangleright Let $\{X(t),\ t\geq 0\}$ be a continuous-state continuous-time process

1.
$$X(0) = 0$$

- 1. X(0) = 0
- 2. The process has stationary and independent increments

- 1. X(0) = 0
- 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
- 3. For every t>0, X(t) is Gaussian with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 t$

- 1. X(0) = 0
- 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
- 3. For every $t>0,\,X(t)$ is Gaussian with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 t$
- ▶ Let $B(t) = \frac{X(t)}{\sigma}$. Then, variance of B(t) is t

- 1. X(0) = 0
- 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
- 3. For every $t>0,\, X(t)$ is Gaussian with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 t$
- ▶ Let $B(t) = \frac{X(t)}{\sigma}$. Then, variance of B(t) is t
- $\{B(t), t > 0\}$ is called standard Brownian Motion

- 1. X(0) = 0
- 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
- 3. For every $t>0,\,X(t)$ is Gaussian with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 t$
- ▶ Let $B(t) = \frac{X(t)}{\sigma}$. Then, variance of B(t) is t
- ▶ $\{B(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called standard Brownian Motion
- ▶ Let $Y(t) = X(t) + \mu$. Then Y(t) has non-zero mean

- 1. X(0) = 0
- 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
- 3. For every $t>0,\,X(t)$ is Gaussian with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 t$
- ▶ Let $B(t) = \frac{X(t)}{\sigma}$. Then, variance of B(t) is t
- ▶ $\{B(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called standard Brownian Motion
- ▶ Let $Y(t) = X(t) + \mu$. Then Y(t) has non-zero mean
- ▶ $\{Y(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called Brownian motion with a drift

- 1. X(0) = 0
- 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
- 3. For every $t>0,\,X(t)$ is Gaussian with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 t$
- ▶ Let $B(t) = \frac{X(t)}{\sigma}$. Then, variance of B(t) is t
- $ightharpoonup \{B(t),\ t > 0\}$ is called standard Brownian Motion
- Let $Y(t) = X(t) + \mu$. Then Y(t) has non-zero mean
- ▶ $\{Y(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called Brownian motion with a drift
- ▶ The mean can be a function of time

► An important result is that Brownian motion paths are continuous

- ► An important result is that Brownian motion paths are continuous
- ▶ Brownian motion is the limit of random walk where both s and T tend to zero

- ► An important result is that Brownian motion paths are continuous
- Brownian motion is the limit of random walk where both s and T tend to zero
- Intuitively the paths should be continuous.

- ► An important result is that Brownian motion paths are continuous
- Brownian motion is the limit of random walk where both s and T tend to zero
- Intuitively the paths should be continuous.
- ► The paths are continuous but non-differentiable everywhere

- ► An important result is that Brownian motion paths are continuous
- Brownian motion is the limit of random walk where both s and T tend to zero
- Intuitively the paths should be continuous.
- ➤ The paths are continuous but non-differentiable everywhere
- ► This is a deep result

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = E[X(t_1)X(t_2)]$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} R_X(t_1,t_2) & = & E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] \\ & = E[X(t_1)\;(X(t_2)-X(t_1)+X(t_1))], \;\; (\mathsf{take}\;\; t_1 < t_2) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} R_X(t_1,t_2) & = & E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] \\ & = E[X(t_1) \; (X(t_2) - X(t_1) + X(t_1))], \; \; (\mathsf{take} \; \; t_1 < t_2) \\ & = & E[X(t_1)(X(t_2) - X(t_1))] + E[X^2(t_1)] \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} R_X(t_1,t_2) &= E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] \\ &= E[X(t_1) \; (X(t_2) - X(t_1) + X(t_1))], \; \; (\mathsf{take} \; \; t_1 < t_2) \\ &= E[X(t_1)(X(t_2) - X(t_1))] + E[X^2(t_1)] \\ &= E[X(t_1)] \; E[X(t_2) - X(t_1)] + E[X^2(t_1)] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} R_X(t_1,t_2) &= E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] \\ &= E[X(t_1) \; (X(t_2) - X(t_1) + X(t_1))], \; \; (\mathsf{take} \; \; t_1 < t_2) \\ &= E[X(t_1)(X(t_2) - X(t_1))] + E[X^2(t_1)] \\ &= E[X(t_1)] \; E[X(t_2) - X(t_1)] + E[X^2(t_1)] \\ &= E[X^2(t_1)] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} R_X(t_1,t_2) &= E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] \\ &= E[X(t_1)\left(X(t_2) - X(t_1) + X(t_1)\right)], \quad (\mathsf{take} \ t_1 < t_2) \\ &= E[X(t_1)(X(t_2) - X(t_1))] + E[X^2(t_1)] \\ &= E[X(t_1)] \ E[X(t_2) - X(t_1)] + E[X^2(t_1)] \\ &= E[X^2(t_1)] \\ &= \sigma^2 \ t_1 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} R_X(t_1,t_2) &= E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] \\ &= E[X(t_1)\left(X(t_2) - X(t_1) + X(t_1)\right)], \quad (\mathsf{take} \ \ t_1 < t_2) \\ &= E[X(t_1)(X(t_2) - X(t_1))] + E[X^2(t_1)] \\ &= E[X(t_1)] \ E[X(t_2) - X(t_1)] + E[X^2(t_1)] \\ &= E[X^2(t_1)] \\ &= \sigma^2 \ t_1 = \sigma^2 \min(t_1,t_2) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} R_X(t_1,t_2) &= E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] \\ &= E[X(t_1)\left(X(t_2) - X(t_1) + X(t_1)\right)], \quad (\mathsf{take} \ \ t_1 < t_2) \\ &= E[X(t_1)(X(t_2) - X(t_1))] + E[X^2(t_1)] \\ &= E[X(t_1)] \ E[X(t_2) - X(t_1)] + E[X^2(t_1)] \\ &= E[X^2(t_1)] \\ &= \sigma^2 \ t_1 = \sigma^2 \min(t_1,t_2) \end{split}$$

▶ Since E[X(t)] = 0, $\forall t$, we have

$$Cov(X(t_1), X(t_2)) = E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] = \sigma^2 \min(t_1, t_2)$$

▶ Let $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a Brownian motion

- ▶ Let $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a Brownian motion
- ► The process has stationary increments.

- ▶ Let $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a Brownian motion
- ► The process has stationary increments.
- ▶ Hence for $t_2 > t_1$, $X(t_2) X(t_1)$ has the same distribution as $X(t_2 t_1)$

- ▶ Let $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a Brownian motion
- ► The process has stationary increments.
- ► Hence for $t_2 > t_1$, $X(t_2) X(t_1)$ has the same distribution as $X(t_2 t_1)$
- ▶ Thus, $X(t_2) X(t_1)$ is Gaussian with zero mean and variance $\sigma^2(t_2 t_1)$

- ▶ Let $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a Brownian motion
- ▶ The process has stationary increments.
- ▶ Hence for $t_2 > t_1$, $X(t_2) X(t_1)$ has the same distribution as $X(t_2 t_1)$
- ▶ Thus, $X(t_2) X(t_1)$ is Gaussian with zero mean and variance $\sigma^2(t_2 t_1)$
- lacktriangle Since increments are also independent, we can show that all n^{th} order distributions are Gaussian

Suppose we want the joint distribution of $X(t_1), X(t_2), \cdots, X(t_n)$

- Suppose we want the joint distribution of $X(t_1), X(t_2), \cdots, X(t_n)$
- ▶ Let $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$

- Suppose we want the joint distribution of $X(t_1), X(t_2), \cdots, X(t_n)$
- ▶ Let $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n$
- ▶ Define random variables Y_1, \dots, Y_n by

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_2 = X(t_2) - X(t_1), Y_3 = X(t_3) - X(t_2), \cdots$$

- Suppose we want the joint distribution of $X(t_1), X(t_2), \cdots, X(t_n)$
- ▶ Let $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n$
- ▶ Define random variables Y_1, \dots, Y_n by

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_2 = X(t_2) - X(t_1), Y_3 = X(t_3) - X(t_2), \cdots$$

ightharpoonup We know Y_i are independent because the process has independent increments

- Suppose we want the joint distribution of $X(t_1), X(t_2), \cdots, X(t_n)$
- ▶ Let $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n$
- ▶ Define random variables Y_1, \dots, Y_n by

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_2 = X(t_2) - X(t_1), Y_3 = X(t_3) - X(t_2), \cdots$$

- ightharpoonup We know Y_i are independent because the process has independent increments
- ► This transformation is invertible

- Suppose we want the joint distribution of $X(t_1), X(t_2), \cdots, X(t_n)$
- ▶ Let $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n$
- ▶ Define random variables Y_1, \dots, Y_n by

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_2 = X(t_2) - X(t_1), Y_3 = X(t_3) - X(t_2), \cdots$$

- ightharpoonup We know Y_i are independent because the process has independent increments
- ► This transformation is invertible
- ▶ Hence we can get joint density of $X(t_1), \dots X(t_n)$ in terms of joint density of Y_1, \dots, Y_n

- Suppose we want the joint distribution of $X(t_1), X(t_2), \cdots, X(t_n)$
- ▶ Let $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n$
- ▶ Define random variables Y_1, \dots, Y_n by

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_2 = X(t_2) - X(t_1), Y_3 = X(t_3) - X(t_2), \cdots$$

- ightharpoonup We know Y_i are independent because the process has independent increments
- ► This transformation is invertible
- ▶ Hence we can get joint density of $X(t_1), \dots X(t_n)$ in terms of joint density of Y_1, \dots, Y_n
- ▶ This is how we can get n^{th} order density for any continuous-state process with independent increments

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_i = X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), i = 2, \dots, n$$

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_i = X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), i = 2, \dots, n$$

► The transformation is invertible

$$X(t_1) = Y_1$$

$$X(t_2) = Y_1 + Y_2$$

$$X(t_3) = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X(t_n) = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$$

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_i = X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), i = 2, \dots, n$$

▶ The transformation is invertible

$$X(t_1) = Y_1
X(t_2) = Y_1 + Y_2
X(t_3) = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3
\vdots
X(t_n) = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$$

 $ightharpoonup Y_1, \cdots Y_n$ are independent and Gaussian and hence are Jointly Gaussian

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_i = X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), i = 2, \dots, n$$

▶ The transformation is invertible

$$X(t_1) = Y_1 X(t_2) = Y_1 + Y_2 X(t_3) = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 \vdots X(t_n) = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$$

- $ightharpoonup Y_1, \cdots Y_n$ are independent and Gaussian and hence are Jointly Gaussian
- ▶ Hence $X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n)$ are jointly Gaussian

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_i = X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), i = 2, \dots, n$$

▶ The transformation is invertible

$$X(t_1) = Y_1 X(t_2) = Y_1 + Y_2 X(t_3) = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 \vdots X(t_n) = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$$

- $ightharpoonup Y_1, \cdots Y_n$ are independent and Gaussian and hence are Jointly Gaussian
- ▶ Hence $X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n)$ are jointly Gaussian
- ightharpoonup Thus all n^{th} order distributions are Gaussian

 $ightharpoonup X(t_1), X(t_2), \cdots, X(t_n)$ are jointly Gaussian.

- $ightharpoonup X(t_1), X(t_2), \cdots, X(t_n)$ are jointly Gaussian.
- ► We can write their joint density because we know the means, variances and covariances

- $ightharpoonup X(t_1), X(t_2), \cdots, X(t_n)$ are jointly Gaussian.
- ► We can write their joint density because we know the means, variances and covariances
- ► We can also write the density using the transformation considered earlier

$$X(t_1) = Y_1$$

$$X(t_2) = Y_1 + Y_2$$

$$X(t_3) = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X(t_n) = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$$

$$X(t_1) = Y_1$$

$$X(t_2) = Y_1 + Y_2$$

$$X(t_3) = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X(t_n) = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$$

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_i = X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), i = 2, \dots, n$$

$$X(t_1) = Y_1$$

$$X(t_2) = Y_1 + Y_2$$

$$X(t_3) = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X(t_n) = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$$

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_i = X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), i = 2, \dots, n$$

► Hence we get

$$f_{X(t_1)X(t_2)\cdots X(t_n)}(x_1,\cdots x_n) = f_{Y_1\cdots Y_n}(x_1,x_2-x_1,\cdots,x_n-x_{n-1})$$

$$X(t_1) = Y_1$$

$$X(t_2) = Y_1 + Y_2$$

$$X(t_3) = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X(t_n) = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$$

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_i = X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), i = 2, \dots, n$$

► Hence we get

$$f_{X(t_1)X(t_2)\cdots X(t_n)}(x_1,\cdots x_n) = f_{Y_1\cdots Y_n}(x_1,x_2-x_1,\cdots,x_n-x_{n-1})$$

ightharpoonup Take $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_m$

$$X(t_1) = Y_1$$

 $X(t_2) = Y_1 + Y_2$
 $X(t_3) = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3$
 \vdots

$$X(t_n) = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$$

$$Y_1 = X(t_1), Y_i = X(t_i) - X(t_{i-1}), i = 2, \dots, n$$

► Hence we get

$$f_{X(t_1)X(t_2)\cdots X(t_n)}(x_1,\cdots x_n) = f_{Y_1\cdots Y_n}(x_1,x_2-x_1,\cdots,x_n-x_{n-1})$$

- ► Take to ∠ to ∠ ... ∠ t
- ► Take $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ $f_X(x_1, \dots, x_n; t_1, \dots, t_n) = f_{Y_1}(x_1) f_{Y_2}(x_2 x_1) \dots f_{Y_n}(x_n x_{n-1})$

$$f_{X(s)|X(t)}(x|b) = \frac{f_{X(s)X(t)}(x,b)}{f_{X(t)}(b)} \quad (s < t)$$

$$f_{X(s)|X(t)}(x|b) = \frac{f_{X(s)X(t)}(x,b)}{f_{X(t)}(b)} \quad (s < t)$$
$$= \frac{f_{X(s)}(x) f_{X(t)-X(s)}(b-x)}{f_{X(t)}(b)}$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} f_{X(s)|X(t)}(x|b) & = & \frac{f_{X(s)X(t)}(x,b)}{f_{X(t)}(b)} & (s < t) \\ \\ & = & \frac{f_{X(s)}(x) \; f_{X(t)-X(s)}(b-x)}{f_{X(t)}(b)} \\ \\ & \propto & e^{-\frac{x^2}{2s}} \; e^{-\frac{(b-x)^2}{2(t-s)}} & (\mathsf{taking} \; \sigma^2 = 1) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} f_{X(s)|X(t)}(x|b) &= \frac{f_{X(s)X(t)}(x,b)}{f_{X(t)}(b)} \quad (s < t) \\ &= \frac{f_{X(s)}(x) \ f_{X(t)-X(s)}(b-x)}{f_{X(t)}(b)} \\ &\propto \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2s}} \ e^{-\frac{(b-x)^2}{2(t-s)}} \quad (\text{taking } \sigma^2 = 1) \\ &\propto \ \exp\left(-x^2 \left(\frac{1}{2s} + \frac{1}{2(t-s)}\right) + \frac{bx}{t-s}\right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} f_{X(s)|X(t)}(x|b) &= \frac{f_{X(s)X(t)}(x,b)}{f_{X(t)}(b)} \quad (s < t) \\ &= \frac{f_{X(s)}(x) \ f_{X(t)-X(s)}(b-x)}{f_{X(t)}(b)} \\ &\propto \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2s}} \ e^{-\frac{(b-x)^2}{2(t-s)}} \quad (\text{taking } \sigma^2 = 1) \\ &\propto \ \exp\left(-x^2 \left(\frac{1}{2s} + \frac{1}{2(t-s)}\right) + \frac{bx}{t-s}\right) \\ &\propto \ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2s(t-s)} \left(x^2 - 2\frac{sb}{t}x\right)\right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} f_{X(s)|X(t)}(x|b) &= \frac{f_{X(s)X(t)}(x,b)}{f_{X(t)}(b)} \quad (s < t) \\ &= \frac{f_{X(s)}(x) \ f_{X(t)-X(s)}(b-x)}{f_{X(t)}(b)} \\ &\propto \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2s}} \ e^{-\frac{(b-x)^2}{2(t-s)}} \quad (\mathsf{taking} \ \sigma^2 = 1) \\ &\propto \ \exp\left(-x^2 \left(\frac{1}{2s} + \frac{1}{2(t-s)}\right) + \frac{bx}{t-s}\right) \\ &\propto \ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2s(t-s)} \left(x^2 - 2\frac{sb}{t}x\right)\right) \\ &\propto \ \exp\left(-\frac{(x-bs/t)^2}{2s(t-s)/t}\right) \end{split}$$

$$f_{X(s)|X(t)}(x|b) = \frac{f_{X(s)X(t)}(x,b)}{f_{X(t)}(b)} \quad (s < t)$$

$$= \frac{f_{X(s)}(x) f_{X(t)-X(s)}(b-x)}{f_{X(t)}(b)}$$

$$\propto e^{-\frac{x^2}{2s}} e^{-\frac{(b-x)^2}{2(t-s)}} \quad (\text{taking } \sigma^2 = 1)$$

$$\propto \exp\left(-x^2 \left(\frac{1}{2s} + \frac{1}{2(t-s)}\right) + \frac{bx}{t-s}\right)$$

$$\propto \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2s(t-s)} \left(x^2 - 2\frac{sb}{t}x\right)\right)$$

$$\propto \exp\left(-\frac{(x-bs/t)^2}{2s(t-s)/t}\right)$$

▶ Hence the conditional density is Gaussian with mean bs/t and variance s(t-s)/t

 \blacktriangleright We showed, for s < t,

$$f_{X(s)|X(t)}(x|b) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{(x-bs/t)^2}{2s(t-s)/t}\right)$$

 \blacktriangleright We showed, for s < t,

$$f_{X(s)|X(t)}(x|b) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{(x-bs/t)^2}{2s(t-s)/t}\right)$$

► Thus, we have

$$E[X(s)|X(t)] = \frac{s}{t} X(t)$$

 \blacktriangleright We showed, for s < t,

$$f_{X(s)|X(t)}(x|b) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{(x-bs/t)^2}{2s(t-s)/t}\right)$$

► Thus, we have

$$E[X(s)|X(t)] = \frac{s}{t} X(t)$$

▶ The conditional variance is $\frac{s}{t}$ (t-s)

$$E[X(t) \mid X(s)] =$$

$$E[X(t) \mid X(s)] = E[X(t) - X(s) + X(s) \mid X(s)] =$$

$$E[X(t) \mid X(s)] = E[X(t) - X(s) + X(s) \mid X(s)] = X(s)$$

$$E[X(t)\mid X(s)] = E[X(t) - X(s) + X(s)\mid X(s)] = X(s)$$

▶ Suppose $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$. Then, it is easy to see that

$$E[X(t_3) \mid X(t_2), X(t_1)] = X(t_2)$$

 \blacktriangleright Let s < t. Then

$$E[X(t) \mid X(s)] = E[X(t) - X(s) + X(s) \mid X(s)] = X(s)$$

▶ Suppose $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$. Then, it is easy to see that

$$E[X(t_3) \mid X(t_2), X(t_1)] = X(t_2)$$

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{ll} We can also get the conditional density of $X(t)$ given $X(s)$ for $s < t$ \\ \end{tabular}$

▶ Let s < t. Then

$$f_{X(t)|X(s)}(x_t|x_s) = \frac{f_{X(s)X(t)}(x_s, x_t)}{f_{X(s)}(x_s)} \quad (s < t)$$

▶ Let s < t. Then

$$f_{X(t)|X(s)}(x_t|x_s) = \frac{f_{X(s)X(t)}(x_s, x_t)}{f_{X(s)}(x_s)} \quad (s < t)$$

$$= \frac{f_{X(s)}(x_s) f_{X(t)-X(s)}(x_t - x_s)}{f_{X(s)}(x_s)}$$

$$f_{X(t)|X(s)}(x_t|x_s) = \frac{f_{X(s)X(t)}(x_s, x_t)}{f_{X(s)}(x_s)} \quad (s < t)$$

$$= \frac{f_{X(s)}(x_s) f_{X(t)-X(s)}(x_t - x_s)}{f_{X(s)}(x_s)}$$

$$\propto e^{-\frac{(x_t - x_s)^2}{2(t - s)}}$$

 \blacktriangleright Let s < t. Then

$$f_{X(t)|X(s)}(x_t|x_s) = \frac{f_{X(s)X(t)}(x_s, x_t)}{f_{X(s)}(x_s)} \quad (s < t)$$

$$= \frac{f_{X(s)}(x_s) f_{X(t)-X(s)}(x_t - x_s)}{f_{X(s)}(x_s)}$$

$$\propto e^{-\frac{(x_t - x_s)^2}{2(t - s)}}$$

▶ Thus, conditioned on X(s) the density of X(t) is normal with mean X(s) and variance (t-s)

$$\begin{split} Pr[X(t) \geq a] &= Pr[X(t) \geq a \mid T_a \leq t] \; Pr[T_a \leq t] \; + \\ ⪻[X(t) \geq a \mid T_a > t] \; Pr[T_a > t] \end{split}$$

Let T_a denote the first time Brownian motion hits a. We take a > 0.

$$Pr[X(t) \ge a] = Pr[X(t) \ge a \mid T_a \le t] Pr[T_a \le t] +$$

 $Pr[X(t) \ge a \mid T_a > t] Pr[T_a > t]$

Since Brownian motion paths are continuous, $Pr[X(t) > a \mid T_a > t] = 0$

$$Pr[X(t) \ge a] = Pr[X(t) \ge a \mid T_a \le t] Pr[T_a \le t] +$$

 $Pr[X(t) \ge a \mid T_a > t] Pr[T_a > t]$

- Since Brownian motion paths are continuous, $Pr[X(t) > a \mid T_a > t] = 0$
- ▶ Brownian motion is a limit of symmetric random walk.

$$Pr[X(t) \ge a] = Pr[X(t) \ge a \mid T_a \le t] Pr[T_a \le t] +$$

 $Pr[X(t) \ge a \mid T_a > t] Pr[T_a > t]$

- Since Brownian motion paths are continuous, $Pr[X(t) > a \mid T_a > t] = 0$
- ▶ Brownian motion is a limit of symmetric random walk. Hence if we had already hit *a* sometime back, then now we are as likely to be above *a* as below it.

$$Pr[X(t) \ge a] = Pr[X(t) \ge a \mid T_a \le t] Pr[T_a \le t] +$$

 $Pr[X(t) \ge a \mid T_a > t] Pr[T_a > t]$

- Since Brownian motion paths are continuous, $Pr[X(t) > a \mid T_a > t] = 0$
- ▶ Brownian motion is a limit of symmetric random walk. Hence if we had already hit *a* sometime back, then now we are as likely to be above *a* as below it.

$$\Rightarrow Pr[X(t) \ge a \mid T_a \le t] = \frac{1}{2}$$

Let T_a denote the first time Brownian motion hits a. We take a > 0.

$$Pr[X(t) \ge a] = Pr[X(t) \ge a \mid T_a \le t] Pr[T_a \le t] +$$

 $Pr[X(t) \ge a \mid T_a > t] Pr[T_a > t]$

- Since Brownian motion paths are continuous, $Pr[X(t) > a \mid T_a > t] = 0$
- ▶ Brownian motion is a limit of symmetric random walk. Hence if we had already hit *a* sometime back, then now we are as likely to be above *a* as below it.

$$\Rightarrow Pr[X(t) \ge a \mid T_a \le t] = \frac{1}{2}$$

Thus

$$P[X(t) \ge a] = 0.5 Pr[T_a \le t]$$



$$Pr[T_a \le t] = 2 Pr[X(t) \ge a]$$

$$Pr[T_a \le t] = 2 Pr[X(t) \ge a]$$
$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_a^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}} dx$$

$$Pr[T_a \le t] = 2 Pr[X(t) \ge a]$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_a^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}} dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{a/\sqrt{t}}^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy$$

$$Pr[T_a \le t] = 2 Pr[X(t) \ge a]$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_a^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}} dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{a/\sqrt{t}}^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy$$

▶ Here we have assumed a > 0. For a < 0 the situation is similar. Hence the above is true even for a < 0 except that the lower limit becomes $|a|/\sqrt{t}$

$$Pr[T_a \le t] = 2 Pr[X(t) \ge a]$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_a^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}} dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{a/\sqrt{t}}^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy$$

- ▶ Here we have assumed a>0. For a<0 the situation is similar. Hence the above is true even for a<0 except that the lower limit becomes $|a|/\sqrt{t}$
- ► Another interesting consequence is the following

$$Pr[\max_{0 \le s \le t} X(s) \ge a] = Pr[T_a \le t],$$
 by continuity of paths

$$Pr[T_a \le t] = 2 Pr[X(t) \ge a]$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_a^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}} dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{a/\sqrt{t}}^\infty e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy$$

- ▶ Here we have assumed a > 0. For a < 0 the situation is similar. Hence the above is true even for a < 0 except that the lower limit becomes $|a|/\sqrt{t}$
- ► Another interesting consequence is the following

$$Pr[\max_{0 \leq s \leq t} X(s) \geq a] = Pr[T_a \leq t], \text{ by continuity of paths}$$

$$= 2Pr[X(t) > a]$$

$$P\left[T_{\alpha} \leq t\right] = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{a/5c}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\eta^{2}} dy$$

$$\frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{5} P\left[T_{\alpha} \leq t\right] = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{5\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{i}{5}\eta^{2}} dy = 1$$

$$= 1 \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac$$

Exi:
$$\mathcal{E}\left[X(t_1)X(t_2)\right]$$
 $t_1 < t_2$ $\left[X(t_1)X(t_2)\right] = \mathcal{E}\left[X(t_1) \cdot \mathcal{E}\left[X(t_2)\right]X(t_2)\right]$

$$= \mathcal{E}\left[X(t_1) \cdot X(t_1)\right]$$

$$= \mathcal{E}\left[X(t_1) \cdot X(t_1)\right]$$

$$= \mathcal{E}\left[X(t_1) \cdot X(t_2)\right]$$

$$= \mathcal{E}\left[X(t_1) \cdot X(t_2) \cdot X(t_2)\right]$$

$$= \mathcal{E}\left[X(t$$

←□→ ←□→ ←□→

P S Sastry, IISc, E1 222, Lecture 29, Aug. 2021 18/31

ENT DOMIN
$$\times (t|+x(1))$$
 $t > 1. |c| = 1$
 $\times (t) + \times (1) = 2 \times (1) + \times (1) - \times (0)$
 $\times (t) + \times (1) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 48 + (t-1)) = \mathcal{N}(0, 31 - t)$

Let $\{Y(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a Brownian motion with drift.

Let $\{Y(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a Brownian motion with drift. Define

$$X(t) = e^{Y(t)}$$

Let $\{Y(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a Brownian motion with drift. Define

$$X(t) = e^{Y(t)}$$

▶ Then, $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called geometric Brownian motion.

Let $\{Y(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a Brownian motion with drift. Define

$$X(t) = e^{Y(t)}$$

▶ Then, $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called geometric Brownian motion. It is useful in mathematial finance

Let $\{Y(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a Brownian motion with drift. Define

$$X(t) = e^{Y(t)}$$

- ▶ Then, $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called geometric Brownian motion. It is useful in mathematial finance
- ▶ Let X_0, X_1, \cdots be time series of prices of a stock.

▶ Let $\{Y(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a Brownian motion with drift. Define

$$X(t) = e^{Y(t)}$$

- ▶ Then, $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called geometric Brownian motion. It is useful in mathematial finance
- ▶ Let X_0, X_1, \cdots be time series of prices of a stock.
- ▶ Let $Y_n = X_n/X_{n-1}$ and assume Y_i are iid

Let $\{Y(t),\ t\geq 0\}$ is a Brownian motion with drift. Define

$$X(t) = e^{Y(t)}$$

- ▶ Then, $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called geometric Brownian motion. It is useful in mathematial finance
- ▶ Let X_0, X_1, \cdots be time series of prices of a stock.
- ▶ Let $Y_n = X_n/X_{n-1}$ and assume Y_i are iid

$$X_n = Y_n X_{n-1}$$

Let $\{Y(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a Brownian motion with drift. Define

$$X(t) = e^{Y(t)}$$

- ▶ Then, $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called geometric Brownian motion. It is useful in mathematial finance
- ▶ Let X_0, X_1, \cdots be time series of prices of a stock.
- ▶ Let $Y_n = X_n/X_{n-1}$ and assume Y_i are iid

$$X_n = Y_n X_{n-1} = Y_n Y_{n-1} X_{n-2} = \dots = Y_n Y_{n-1} \dots Y_1 X_0$$

Geometric Brownian Motion

Let $\{Y(t),\ t\geq 0\}$ is a Brownian motion with drift. Define

$$X(t) = e^{Y(t)}$$

- ▶ Then, $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called geometric Brownian motion. It is useful in mathematial finance
- ▶ Let X_0, X_1, \cdots be time series of prices of a stock.
- ▶ Let $Y_n = X_n/X_{n-1}$ and assume Y_i are iid

$$X_n = Y_n X_{n-1} = Y_n Y_{n-1} X_{n-2} = \dots = Y_n Y_{n-1} \dots Y_1 X_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(Y_i) + \ln(X_0)$$

Geometric Brownian Motion

Let $\{Y(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a Brownian motion with drift. Define

$$X(t) = e^{Y(t)}$$

- ▶ Then, $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is called geometric Brownian motion. It is useful in mathematial finance
- Let X_0, X_1, \cdots be time series of prices of a stock.
- Let $Y_n = X_n/X_{n-1}$ and assume Y_i are iid

$$X_n = Y_n X_{n-1} = Y_n Y_{n-1} X_{n-2} = \dots = Y_n Y_{n-1} \dots Y_1 X_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(Y_i) + \ln(X_0)$$

 \triangleright Since $\ln(Y_i)$ are iid, with suitable normalization, the interpolated process ln(X(t)) would be Brownian motion and X(t) would be geometric Brownian motion

A continuous-time continuous-state process $\{X(t),\ t\geq 0\}$ is said to be a Gaussian process if for all n and all t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_n , we have that $X(t_1),\cdots,X(t_n)$ are jointly Gaussian.

- A continuous-time continuous-state process $\{X(t),\ t\geq 0\}$ is said to be a Gaussian process if for all n and all t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_n , we have that $X(t_1),\cdots,X(t_n)$ are jointly Gaussian.
- ▶ The Brownian motion is an example of a Gaussian Process

- A continuous-time continuous-state process $\{X(t),\ t\geq 0\}$ is said to be a Gaussian process if for all n and all t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_n , we have that $X(t_1),\cdots,X(t_n)$ are jointly Gaussian.
- ▶ The Brownian motion is an example of a Gaussian Process
- ▶ The Brwonian motion is a Gaussian process with

$$E[X(t)] = 0, \quad \mathsf{Var}(X(t)) = \sigma^2 t, \quad \mathsf{Cov}(X(s), X(t)) = \sigma^2 \min(s, t)$$

- A continuous-time continuous-state process $\{X(t),\ t\geq 0\}$ is said to be a Gaussian process if for all n and all t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_n , we have that $X(t_1),\cdots,X(t_n)$ are jointly Gaussian.
- ▶ The Brownian motion is an example of a Gaussian Process
- ▶ The Brwonian motion is a Gaussian process with

$$E[X(t)] = 0, \quad \operatorname{Var}(X(t)) = \sigma^2 t, \quad \operatorname{Cov}(X(s), X(t)) = \sigma^2 \min(s, t)$$

▶ Recall that the multivariate Gaussian density is specified by the marginal means, variances and the covariances of the random variables

- A continuous-time continuous-state process $\{X(t),\ t\geq 0\}$ is said to be a Gaussian process if for all n and all t_1,t_2,\cdots,t_n , we have that $X(t_1),\cdots,X(t_n)$ are jointly Gaussian.
- ► The Brownian motion is an example of a Gaussian Process
- ▶ The Brwonian motion is a Gaussian process with

$$E[X(t)] = 0, \quad \operatorname{Var}(X(t)) = \sigma^2 t, \quad \operatorname{Cov}(X(s), X(t)) = \sigma^2 \min(s, t)$$

- Recall that the multivariate Gaussian density is specified by the marginal means, variances and the covariances of the random variables
- Hence, a general Gaussian process is specified by the mean function and the variance and covariance functions



Consider the statistics of the Brownian motion process for 0 < t < 1 under the condition that X(1) = 0

- Consider the statistics of the Brownian motion process for 0 < t < 1 under the condition that X(1) = 0
- ▶ Consider standard Brownian motion. ($\sigma^2 = 1$)

- Consider the statistics of the Brownian motion process for 0 < t < 1 under the condition that X(1) = 0
- ▶ Consider standard Brownian motion. ($\sigma^2 = 1$)

$$E[X(t)|X(1) = 0] = \frac{t}{1} = 0$$

- Consider the statistics of the Brownian motion process for 0 < t < 1 under the condition that X(1) = 0
- ▶ Consider standard Brownian motion. ($\sigma^2 = 1$)

$$E[X(t)|X(1) = 0] = \frac{t}{1} = 0$$

Recall that, for s < t, conditional density of X(s) conditioned on X(t) = b is gaussian with mean bs/t and variance s(t-s)/t

$$Cov(X(s), X(t)|X(1) = 0) \triangleq E[X(s)X(t) | X(1) = 0]$$

$$\mathsf{Cov}(X(s), X(t) | X(1) = 0) \triangleq E[X(s)X(t) | X(1) = 0]$$

$$= E[E[X(s)X(t) | X(t), X(1) = 0] | X(1) = 0]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Cov}(X(s),X(t)|X(1) &= 0) &\triangleq E[X(s)X(t) \mid X(1) = 0] \\ &= E[\ E[X(s)X(t) \mid X(t),X(1) = 0] \mid X(1) = 0] \\ &= E[\ X(t)E[X(s) \mid X(t)] \mid X(1) = 0] \end{aligned}$$

$$Cov(X(s), X(t)|X(1) = 0) \triangleq E[X(s)X(t) \mid X(1) = 0]$$

$$= E[E[X(s)X(t) \mid X(t), X(1) = 0] \mid X(1) = 0]$$

$$= E[X(t)E[X(s) \mid X(t)] \mid X(1) = 0]$$

$$= E[X(t)\frac{s}{t}X(t) \mid X(1) = 0]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Cov}(X(s),X(t)|X(1) &= 0) &\triangleq E[X(s)X(t) \mid X(1) = 0] \\ &= E[\ E[X(s)X(t) \mid X(t),X(1) = 0] \mid X(1) = 0] \\ &= E[\ X(t)E[X(s) \mid X(t)] \mid X(1) = 0] \\ &= E[\ X(t)\frac{s}{t}X(t) \mid X(1) = 0] \\ &= \frac{s}{t}\ E[X^2(t) \mid X(1) = 0] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Cov}(X(s), X(t) | X(1) &= 0) &\triangleq E[X(s)X(t) \mid X(1) &= 0] \\ &= E[E[X(s)X(t) \mid X(t), X(1) &= 0] \mid X(1) &= 0] \\ &= E[X(t)E[X(s) \mid X(t)] \mid X(1) &= 0] \\ &= E[X(t)\frac{s}{t}X(t) \mid X(1) &= 0] \\ &= \frac{s}{t}E[X^2(t) \mid X(1) &= 0] \\ &= \frac{s}{t}t(1-t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Cov}(X(s), X(t) | X(1) &= 0) &\triangleq E[X(s)X(t) \mid X(1) = 0] \\ &= E[E[X(s)X(t) \mid X(t), X(1) = 0] \mid X(1) = 0] \\ &= E[X(t)E[X(s) \mid X(t)] \mid X(1) = 0] \\ &= E[X(t)\frac{s}{t}X(t) \mid X(1) = 0] \\ &= \frac{s}{t}E[X^{2}(t) \mid X(1) = 0] \\ &= \frac{s}{t}t(1 - t) \\ &= s(1 - t) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for 0 < t < 1, conditioned on X(1) = 0, this process has mean 0 and covariance function $s(1-t),\ s < t$

▶ Consider a process $\{Z(t), 0 \le t \le 1\}$.

- ► Consider a process $\{Z(t), 0 \le t \le 1\}$.
- ▶ It is called Brownian Bridge process if it is a Gaussian process with mean zero and covariance function Cov(Z(s), Z(t)) = s(1-t) when $s \le t$.

- ► Consider a process $\{Z(t), 0 \le t \le 1\}$.
- It is called Brownian Bridge process if it is a Gaussian process with mean zero and covariance function Cov(Z(s),Z(t))=s(1-t) when $s\leq t$.
- \blacktriangleright Let X(t) be a standard Brownian motion process.

- ► Consider a process $\{Z(t), 0 \le t \le 1\}$.
- It is called Brownian Bridge process if it is a Gaussian process with mean zero and covariance function Cov(Z(s), Z(t)) = s(1-t) when $s \le t$.
- ▶ Let X(t) be a standard Brownian motion process.
- ▶ Then, Z(t) = X(t) tX(1), $0 \le t \le 1$ is a Brownian Bridge

- ► Consider a process $\{Z(t), 0 \le t \le 1\}$.
- It is called Brownian Bridge process if it is a Gaussian process with mean zero and covariance function Cov(Z(s),Z(t))=s(1-t) when $s\leq t$.
- Let X(t) be a standard Brownian motion process.
- ▶ Then, Z(t) = X(t) tX(1), $0 \le t \le 1$ is a Brownian Bridge
- ▶ Easy to see it is a Gaussian process with mean zero.

- ► Consider a process $\{Z(t), 0 \le t \le 1\}$.
- ▶ It is called Brownian Bridge process if it is a Gaussian process with mean zero and covariance function Cov(Z(s), Z(t)) = s(1-t) when $s \le t$.
- Let X(t) be a standard Brownian motion process.
- ▶ Then, Z(t) = X(t) tX(1), $0 \le t \le 1$ is a Brownian Bridge
- lackbox Easy to see it is a Gaussian process with mean zero. For s < t

$$Cov(Z(s), Z(t)) = Cov(X(s) - sX(1), X(t) - tX(1))$$

- ► Consider a process $\{Z(t), 0 \le t \le 1\}$.
- It is called Brownian Bridge process if it is a Gaussian process with mean zero and covariance function Cov(Z(s), Z(t)) = s(1-t) when $s \le t$.
- ▶ Let X(t) be a standard Brownian motion process.
- ▶ Then, Z(t) = X(t) tX(1), $0 \le t \le 1$ is a Brownian Bridge
- lackbox Easy to see it is a Gaussian process with mean zero. For s < t

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Cov}(Z(s), Z(t)) &= \mathsf{Cov}(X(s) - sX(1), X(t) - tX(1)) \\ &= \mathsf{Cov}(X(s), X(t)) - t\mathsf{Cov}(X(s), X(1)) - \\ &s\mathsf{Cov}(X(1), X(t)) + st\mathsf{Cov}(X(1), X(1)) \end{aligned}$$

- ► Consider a process $\{Z(t), 0 \le t \le 1\}$.
- ▶ It is called Brownian Bridge process if it is a Gaussian process with mean zero and covariance function Cov(Z(s), Z(t)) = s(1-t) when $s \le t$.
- Let X(t) be a standard Brownian motion process.
- ▶ Then, Z(t) = X(t) tX(1), $0 \le t \le 1$ is a Brownian Bridge
- lacktriangle Easy to see it is a Gaussian process with mean zero. For s < t

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Cov}(Z(s), Z(t)) &= & \mathsf{Cov}(X(s) - sX(1), X(t) - tX(1)) \\ &= & \mathsf{Cov}(X(s), X(t)) - t\mathsf{Cov}(X(s), X(1)) - \\ & & s\mathsf{Cov}(X(1), X(t)) + st\mathsf{Cov}(X(1), X(1)) \\ &= & s - st - st + st = s(1 - t) \end{aligned}$$

White Noise

▶ Consider a process $\{V(t), t \ge 0\}$ with

$$E[V(t)] = 0; \quad \mathsf{Var}(V(t)) = \sigma^2 \quad \mathsf{Cov}(V(t), V(s)) = 0, \ s \neq t$$

White Noise

▶ Consider a process $\{V(t), t \ge 0\}$ with

$$E[V(t)] = 0; \quad \mathsf{Var}(V(t)) = \sigma^2 \quad \mathsf{Cov}(V(t), V(s)) = 0, \ s \neq t$$

► This is a kind of generalization of sequence of iid random variables to continuous time

White Noise

▶ Consider a process $\{V(t), t \ge 0\}$ with

$$E[V(t)] = 0; \quad \mathsf{Var}(V(t)) = \sigma^2 \quad \mathsf{Cov}(V(t), V(s)) = 0, \ s \neq t$$

- ► This is a kind of generalization of sequence of iid random variables to continuous time
- ▶ It is an approximation of what is called White Noise.

$$X(t) = \int_0^t V(\tau) \ d\tau$$

$$X(t) = \int_0^t V(\tau) \ d\tau$$

▶ Then we get E[X(t)] = 0

$$X(t) = \int_0^t V(\tau) \ d\tau$$

▶ Then we get E[X(t)] = 0 and

$$E[X^{2}(t)] = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} E[V(t_{1})V(t_{2})] dt_{1} dt_{2}$$

$$X(t) = \int_0^t V(\tau) \ d\tau$$

▶ Then we get E[X(t)] = 0 and

$$E[X^{2}(t)] = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} E[V(t_{1})V(t_{2})] dt_{1} dt_{2} = \int_{0}^{t} \sigma^{2} dt_{1} = \sigma^{2}t$$

$$X(t) = \int_0^t V(\tau) \ d\tau$$

▶ Then we get E[X(t)] = 0 and

$$E[X^{2}(t)] = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} E[V(t_{1})V(t_{2})] dt_{1} dt_{2} = \int_{0}^{t} \sigma^{2} dt_{1} = \sigma^{2}t$$

$$E[X(t_1)(X(t_2)-X(t_1)] = \int_0^{t_1} \int_t^{t_2} E[V(t)V(t')] dt dt' = 0$$

ightharpoonup Assume V(t) is Gaussian. Let

$$X(t) = \int_0^t V(\tau) \ d\tau$$

▶ Then we get E[X(t)] = 0 and

$$E[X^{2}(t)] = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} E[V(t_{1})V(t_{2})] dt_{1} dt_{2} = \int_{0}^{t} \sigma^{2} dt_{1} = \sigma^{2}t$$

$$E[X(t_1)(X(t_2)-X(t_1)] = \int_0^{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} E[V(t)V(t')] dt dt' = 0$$

ightharpoonup We see that X(t) is a process with mean zero, variance proportional to t and having uncorrelated increments.

ightharpoonup Assume V(t) is Gaussian. Let

$$X(t) = \int_0^t V(\tau) \ d\tau$$

▶ Then we get E[X(t)] = 0 and

$$E[X^{2}(t)] = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} E[V(t_{1})V(t_{2})] dt_{1} dt_{2} = \int_{0}^{t} \sigma^{2} dt_{1} = \sigma^{2}t$$

$$E[X(t_1)(X(t_2)-X(t_1))] = \int_0^{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} E[V(t)V(t')] dt dt' = 0$$

- ightharpoonup We see that X(t) is a process with mean zero, variance proportional to t and having uncorrelated increments.
- lacktriangle Since its integral is like a Brownian motion, the process V(t) is like a 'derivative' of Browian motion

ightharpoonup Assume V(t) is Gaussian. Let

$$X(t) = \int_0^t V(\tau) \ d\tau$$

▶ Then we get E[X(t)] = 0 and

$$E[X^{2}(t)] = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} E[V(t_{1})V(t_{2})] dt_{1} dt_{2} = \int_{0}^{t} \sigma^{2} dt_{1} = \sigma^{2}t$$

$$E[X(t_1)(X(t_2)-X(t_1))] = \int_0^{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} E[V(t)V(t')] dt dt' = 0$$

- ightharpoonup We see that X(t) is a process with mean zero, variance proportional to t and having uncorrelated increments.
- lacktriangle Since its integral is like a Brownian motion, the process V(t) is like a 'derivative' of Browian motion
- ► The actual concept involved is rather deep

▶ We have considered three random processes

- ▶ We have considered three random processes
- ► (discrete-time) Markov Chain

- ▶ We have considered three random processes
- ► (discrete-time) Markov Chain
 - Example of Discrete-time discrete-state process

- ▶ We have considered three random processes
- ► (discrete-time) Markov Chain
 - Example of Discrete-time discrete-state process
- ► Continuous time Markov Chains (e.g., Poisson Process)

- We have considered three random processes
- ► (discrete-time) Markov Chain
 - Example of Discrete-time discrete-state process
- ► Continuous time Markov Chains (e.g., Poisson Process)
 - Example of continuous-time discrete-state process

- We have considered three random processes
- (discrete-time) Markov Chain
 - Example of Discrete-time discrete-state process
- ► Continuous time Markov Chains (e.g., Poisson Process)
 - Example of continuous-time discrete-state process
- Brownian Motion

- We have considered three random processes
- ► (discrete-time) Markov Chain
 - Example of Discrete-time discrete-state process
- ► Continuous time Markov Chains (e.g., Poisson Process)
 - Example of continuous-time discrete-state process
- Brownian Motion
 - Example of continuous-time continuous-state process

- We have considered three random processes
- ► (discrete-time) Markov Chain
 - Example of Discrete-time discrete-state process
- ► Continuous time Markov Chains (e.g., Poisson Process)
 - Example of continuous-time discrete-state process
- Brownian Motion
 - Example of continuous-time continuous-state process
- We need an example of discrete-time continuous-state process!

- We have considered three random processes
- (discrete-time) Markov Chain
 - Example of Discrete-time discrete-state process
- ► Continuous time Markov Chains (e.g., Poisson Process)
 - Example of continuous-time discrete-state process
- Brownian Motion
 - Example of continuous-time continuous-state process
- We need an example of discrete-time continuous-state process!
- ► Any sequence of continuous random variables would be a discrete-time continuous-state process

► In general, any 'stochastic' algorithm would generate discrete-state continuous time process.

- ▶ In general, any 'stochastic' algorithm would generate discrete-state continuous time process.
- ► If an algorithm uses a random step, then the algorithm would be like

$$X(n+1) = X(n) + \eta_n G(X(n), \xi(n))$$

- ▶ In general, any 'stochastic' algorithm would generate discrete-state continuous time process.
- ► If an algorithm uses a random step, then the algorithm would be like

$$X(n+1) = X(n) + \eta_n G(X(n), \xi(n))$$

▶ Many algorithms can be written in this general form.

- ▶ In general, any 'stochastic' algorithm would generate discrete-state continuous time process.
- ► If an algorithm uses a random step, then the algorithm would be like

$$X(n+1) = X(n) + \eta_n G(X(n), \xi(n))$$

- ▶ Many algorithms can be written in this general form.
- ▶ The $X(n), n = 0, 1, \cdots$ would be a discrete-time continuous-state stocahstic process

- ▶ In general, any 'stochastic' algorithm would generate discrete-state continuous time process.
- ► If an algorithm uses a random step, then the algorithm would be like

$$X(n+1) = X(n) + \eta_n G(X(n), \xi(n))$$

- ▶ Many algorithms can be written in this general form.
- ▶ The X(n), $n = 0, 1, \cdots$ would be a discrete-time continuous-state stocahstic process
- ► We may want to know the conditions under which we can prove the sequence converges.