A random process or a stochastic process is a collection of random variables:  $\{X(t),\ t\in T\}$ 

- A random process or a stochastic process is a collection of random variables:  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$
- ► The index set T can be countable or uncountable (discrete-time or continuous-time processes)

- A random process or a stochastic process is a collection of random variables:  $\{X(t),\ t\in T\}$
- ► The index set *T* can be countable or uncountable (discrete-time or continuous-time processes)
- ► The X(t) can be discrete or continuous (discrete-state or continuous-state processes)

- A random process or a stochastic process is a collection of random variables:  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$
- ► The index set T can be countable or uncountable (discrete-time or continuous-time processes)
- ► The X(t) can be discrete or continuous (discrete-state or continuous-state processes)
- ▶ We can view the process as  $X : \Omega \times T \to \Re$ A collection of time functions.

► The first order distributions:

$$F_X(x;t) = Pr[X(t) \le x] = F_{X(t)}(x)$$

► The first order distributions:

$$F_X(x;t) = Pr[X(t) \le x] = F_{X(t)}(x)$$

► The second order distributions:

$$F_X(x_1, x_2; t_1, t_2) = Pr[X(t_1) \le x_1, X(t_2) \le x_2]$$

► The first order distributions:

$$F_X(x;t) = Pr[X(t) \le x] = F_{X(t)}(x)$$

► The second order distributions:

$$F_X(x_1, x_2; t_1, t_2) = Pr[X(t_1) \le x_1, X(t_2) \le x_2]$$

ightharpoonup The  $n^{th}$  order distributions:

$$F_X(x_1, \dots, x_n; t_1, \dots t_n) = Pr[X(t_i) \le x_i, i = 1, \dots, n]$$

► The first order distributions:

$$F_X(x;t) = Pr[X(t) \le x] = F_{X(t)}(x)$$

► The second order distributions:

$$F_X(x_1, x_2; t_1, t_2) = Pr[X(t_1) \le x_1, X(t_2) \le x_2]$$

▶ The  $n^{th}$  order distributions:

$$F_X(x_1, \dots, x_n; t_1, \dots t_n) = Pr[X(t_i) \le x_i, i = 1, \dots, n]$$

► The distributions can be specified through joint mass or density functions too.

#### Recap

lacktriangle One often makes some assumptions on the process so that all  $n^{th}$  order distributions are easily specified implicitly.

#### Recap

- lacktriangle One often makes some assumptions on the process so that all  $n^{th}$  order distributions are easily specified implicitly.
- ▶ One example is the Markovian dependence

### Recap

- lacktriangle One often makes some assumptions on the process so that all  $n^{th}$  order distributions are easily specified implicitly.
- ▶ One example is the Markovian dependence
- Other examples: process with independent increments, Gaussian processes

▶ The mean or mean function is

$$\eta_X(t) = E[X(t)], \ t \in T$$

▶ The mean or mean function is

$$\eta_X(t) = E[X(t)], \ t \in T$$

► The autocorrelation of the process is

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = E[X(t_1)X(t_2)]$$

▶ The mean or mean function is

$$\eta_X(t) = E[X(t)], \ t \in T$$

▶ The autocorrelation of the process is

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = E[X(t_1)X(t_2)]$$

▶ The autocovariance of the process is

$$C_X(t_1, t_2) = E[(X(t_1) - E[X(t_1)])(X(t_2) - E[X(t_2)])]$$

▶ The mean or mean function is

$$\eta_X(t) = E[X(t)], \ t \in T$$

► The autocorrelation of the process is

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = E[X(t_1)X(t_2)]$$

▶ The autocovariance of the process is

$$C_X(t_1, t_2) = E[(X(t_1) - E[X(t_1)])(X(t_2) - E[X(t_2)])]$$
  
=  $R_X(t_1, t_2) - \eta_X(t_1)\eta_X(t_2)$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$  A random process  $\{X(t),\ t\in T\}$  is said to be stationary if

 $\blacktriangleright$  A random process  $\{X(t),\ t\in T\}$  is said to be stationary if

for all n, for all  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ , for all  $x_1, \dots x_n$  and for all  $\tau$  we have

$$F_X(x_1,\dots,x_n; t_1,\dots,t_n) = F_X(x_1,\dots,x_n; t_1+\tau,\dots,t_n+\tau)$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  A random process  $\{X(t),\;t\in T\}$  is said to be stationary if

for all n, for all  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ , for all  $x_1, \dots x_n$  and for all  $\tau$  we have

$$F_X(x_1,\dots,x_n; t_1,\dots,t_n) = F_X(x_1,\dots,x_n; t_1+\tau,\dots,t_n+\tau)$$

► For a stationary process, the distributions are unaffected by translation of the time axis.

 $\blacktriangleright$  A random process  $\{X(t),\ t\in T\}$  is said to be stationary if

for all n, for all  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ , for all  $x_1, \dots x_n$  and for all  $\tau$  we have

$$F_X(x_1,\dots,x_n; t_1,\dots,t_n) = F_X(x_1,\dots,x_n; t_1+\tau,\dots,t_n+\tau)$$

- ► For a stationary process, the distributions are unaffected by translation of the time axis.
- ► This stringent condition is often referred to as strict-sense stationarity

 $lackbox \{X(t),\ t\in T\}$  is said to be be wide-sense stationary if

- $lackbox \{X(t),\ t\in T\}$  is said to be be wide-sense stationary if
- 1.  $\eta_X(t) = \eta_X$ , a constant

- $lackbox \{X(t),\ t\in T\}$  is said to be be wide-sense stationary if
- 1.  $\eta_X(t) = \eta_X$ , a constant
- 2.  $R_X(t_1, t_2)$  depends only on  $t_1 t_2$

- $\{X(t),\ t\in T\}$  is said to be be wide-sense stationary if
- 1.  $\eta_X(t) = \eta_X$ , a constant
- 2.  $R_X(t_1, t_2)$  depends only on  $t_1 t_2$
- ► This would be so if the first and second order distributions are invariant to change of time origin.

- lacksquare  $\{X(t),\ t\in T\}$  is said to be be wide-sense stationary if
- 1.  $\eta_X(t) = \eta_X$ , a constant
- 2.  $R_X(t_1, t_2)$  depends only on  $t_1 t_2$
- ► This would be so if the first and second order distributions are invariant to change of time origin.
- ➤ For a wide-sense stationary process the autocorrelation is a symmetric functions and its Fourier transform is the power spectral density

 $\blacktriangleright$  Let X(t) be wide-sense stationary

- Let X(t) be wide-sense stationary
- Ergodicity is a question of whether time-averages converge to ensemble-averages

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i) \stackrel{?}{=} E[X(n)] = \eta_X$$

- Let X(t) be wide-sense stationary
- ► Ergodicity is a question of whether time-averages converge to ensemble-averages

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i) \stackrel{?}{=} E[X(n)] = \eta_X$$

Or, more generally

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(X(i)) \stackrel{?}{=} E[g(X(n))]$$

- Let X(t) be wide-sense stationary
- ► Ergodicity is a question of whether time-averages converge to ensemble-averages

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i) \stackrel{?}{=} E[X(n)] = \eta_X$$

Or, more generally

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(X(i)) \stackrel{?}{=} E[g(X(n))]$$

For a continuous time process we can write this as

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} X(t) dt \stackrel{?}{=} E[X(t)] = \eta_X$$

- Let X(t) be wide-sense stationary
- ► Ergodicity is a question of whether time-averages converge to ensemble-averages

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i) \stackrel{?}{=} E[X(n)] = \eta_X$$

Or, more generally

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(X(i)) \stackrel{?}{=} E[g(X(n))]$$

For a continuous time process we can write this as

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} X(t) dt \stackrel{?}{=} E[X(t)] = \eta_X$$

▶ One sufficient condition is that covariance between X(t) and  $X(t+\tau)$  decreases fast with increasing  $\tau$ .

Let X(t) be wide-sense stationary with  $E[X(t)] = \eta$ .

- Let X(t) be wide-sense stationary with  $E[X(t)] = \eta$ .
- Define

$$\eta_{\tau} = \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} X(t) dt \quad (\tau > 0)$$

- Let X(t) be wide-sense stationary with  $E[X(t)] = \eta$ .
- Define

$$\eta_{\tau} = \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} X(t) dt \quad (\tau > 0)$$

▶ We say the process is mean-ergodic if

$$\eta_{\tau} \stackrel{P}{\to} \eta$$
, as  $\tau \to \infty$ 

- Let X(t) be wide-sense stationary with  $E[X(t)] = \eta$ .
- Define

$$\eta_{\tau} = \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} X(t) dt \quad (\tau > 0)$$

We say the process is mean-ergodic if

$$\eta_{\tau} \stackrel{P}{\to} \eta, \quad \text{as} \quad \tau \to \infty$$

▶ We showed this holds if

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |C_X(z)| \ dz \ < \ \infty$$

➤ Similar sufficient condition holds in case of discrete time processes also.

- ➤ Similar sufficient condition holds in case of discrete time processes also.
- ▶ A wide-sense stationary process  $\{X(n), n = 0, 1, \cdots\}$  is said to be mean ergodic if

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i) \stackrel{P}{\to} EX(n) = \eta$$

- ➤ Similar sufficient condition holds in case of discrete time processes also.
- ▶ A wide-sense stationary process  $\{X(n), n = 0, 1, \cdots\}$  is said to be mean ergodic if

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i) \stackrel{P}{\to} EX(n) = \eta$$

▶ Note that this is a generalization of (weak) law of large numbers to the case where the random variables may not be uncorrelated.

- ➤ Similar sufficient condition holds in case of discrete time processes also.
- ▶ A wide-sense stationary process  $\{X(n), n = 0, 1, \cdots\}$  is said to be mean ergodic if

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i) \stackrel{P}{\to} EX(n) = \eta$$

- Note that this is a generalization of (weak) law of large numbers to the case where the random variables may not be uncorrelated.
- ► The above holds if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C_X(k)| \leq \infty, \quad \text{where} \quad C_X(k) = \operatorname{Cov}(X(n), X(n+k))$$

- ➤ Similar sufficient condition holds in case of discrete time processes also.
- $\blacktriangleright$  A wide-sense stationary process  $\{X(n),\ n=0,1,\cdots\}$  is said to be mean ergodic if

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i) \stackrel{P}{\to} EX(n) = \eta$$

- Note that this is a generalization of (weak) law of large numbers to the case where the random variables may not be uncorrelated.
- ► The above holds if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |C_X(k)| \leq \infty, \quad \text{where} \quad C_X(k) = \mathsf{Cov}(X(n), X(n+k))$$

▶ When the above holds we say the process is asymptotically uncorrelated.

► The proof in the discrete case is similar to that in the continuous case.

- ► The proof in the discrete case is similar to that in the continuous case.
- ▶ Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i)$ .

- ▶ The proof in the discrete case is similar to that in the continuous case.
- ▶ Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i)$ .

$$Var(S_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Cov(X(i), X(j))$$

- ▶ The proof in the discrete case is similar to that in the continuous case.
- ▶ Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i)$ .

$$\mathsf{Var}(S_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathsf{Cov}(X(i), X(j)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_X(i-j)$$

- ▶ The proof in the discrete case is similar to that in the continuous case.
- ▶ Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i)$ .

$$\mathsf{Var}(S_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathsf{Cov}(X(i), X(j)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_X(i-j)$$

► The above sum can be viewed as summing all the elements in a (Toeplitz) matrix where each (left-right) diagonal has all entries same.

- ► The proof in the discrete case is similar to that in the continuous case.
- ▶ Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i)$ .

$$\mathsf{Var}(S_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathsf{Cov}(X(i), X(j)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_X(i-j)$$

- ► The above sum can be viewed as summing all the elements in a (Toeplitz) matrix where each (left-right) diagonal has all entries same.
- ► Thus the sum can be rewritten as

$$\operatorname{Var}(S_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_X(i-j) = \sum_{k=-(n-1)}^{n-1} (n-|k|)C_X(k)$$

▶ Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i)$ .

▶ Let 
$$S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i)$$
.

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=-(n-1)}^{n-1} (n-|k|) C_X(k)$$

▶ Let 
$$S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i)$$
.

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=-(n-1)}^{n-1} (n-|k|) C_X(k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=-(n-1)}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) C_X(k)$$

▶ Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i)$ .

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=-(n-1)}^{n-1} (n-|k|) C_X(k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=-(n-1)}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) C_X(k)$$

▶ Now, using  $\sum_{k} |C_X(k)| < \infty$  we can show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) C_X(k) = 0$$

▶ Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X(i)$ .

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=-(n-1)}^{n-1} (n-|k|) C_X(k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=-(n-1)}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) C_X(k)$$

Now, using  $\sum_{k} |C_X(k)| < \infty$  we can show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) C_X(k) = 0$$

▶ This shows that  $\frac{S_n}{n}$  converges in probability to n = E[X(n)].

## Poisson Process

► This is the next process we study

## Poisson Process

- ► This is the next process we study
- ▶ This is a discrete-state continuous-time process

## Poisson Process

- ► This is the next process we study
- ▶ This is a discrete-state continuous-time process
- ▶ The index set is the interval  $[0, \infty)$  and all random variables are discrete and take non-negative integer values.

▶ A random process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is called a counting process if

- ▶ A random process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is called a counting process if
  - 1.  $N(t) \ge 0$  and is integer-valued

- ▶ A random process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is called a counting process if
  - 1.  $N(t) \ge 0$  and is integer-valued
  - 2. If s < t then,  $N(s) \le N(t)$

- ▶ A random process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is called a counting process if
  - 1.  $N(t) \geq 0$  and is integer-valued
  - 2. If s < t then,  $N(s) \le N(t)$

- ▶ A random process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is called a counting process if
  - 1.  $N(t) \geq 0$  and is integer-valued
  - 2. If s < t then,  $N(s) \le N(t)$

▶ The counting process has independent increments if for all  $t_1 < t_2 \le t_3 < t_4$ ,  $N(t_2) - N(t_1)$  is independent of  $N(t_4) - N(t_3)$ 

- ▶ A random process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is called a counting process if
  - 1.  $N(t) \geq 0$  and is integer-valued
  - 2. If s < t then,  $N(s) \le N(t)$

- ▶ The counting process has independent increments if for all  $t_1 < t_2 \le t_3 < t_4$ ,  $N(t_2) N(t_1)$  is independent of  $N(t_4) N(t_3)$
- ▶ In particular, for all s > t > 0, N(s) N(t) is independent of N(t) N(0)

- $\blacktriangleright$  A random process  $\{N(t),\ t\geq 0\}$  is called a counting process if
  - 1.  $N(t) \geq 0$  and is integer-valued
  - 2. If s < t then,  $N(s) \le N(t)$

- ▶ The counting process has independent increments if for all  $t_1 < t_2 \le t_3 < t_4$ ,  $N(t_2) N(t_1)$  is independent of  $N(t_4) N(t_3)$
- ▶ In particular, for all s > t > 0, N(s) N(t) is independent of N(t) N(0)
- ► The process is said to have stationary increments if  $N(t_2) N(t_1)$  has the same distribution as  $N(t_2 + \tau) N(t_1 + \tau)$ ,  $\forall \tau, \forall t_2 > t_1$

▶ We start with two definitions of Poisson process

- ▶ We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if

- ▶ We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0

- ▶ We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments

- ▶ We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments 3.  $Pr[N(t)=n]=e^{-\lambda t}\,\frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!},\;n=0,1,\cdots$

- ▶ We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(t) = n] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$
- ▶ N(t) is Poisson with parameter  $\lambda t$

- ▶ We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(t) = n] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$
- ▶ N(t) is Poisson with parameter  $\lambda t$
- $ightharpoonup E[N(t)] = \lambda t$  and hence  $\lambda$  is called rate

- ▶ We start with two definitions of Poisson process
- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(t) = n] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$
- ▶ N(t) is Poisson with parameter  $\lambda t$
- $ightharpoonup E[N(t)] = \lambda t$  and hence  $\lambda$  is called rate
- Since the process has stationary increments and N(0)=0, (N(t+s)-N(s)) would be Poisson with parameter  $\lambda t$  for all s,t>0.

▶ **Definition 2** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if

- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0

- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments

- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$

- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$
- ▶ We say g(h) is o(h) if

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{g(h)}{h} = 0$$

- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$
- ▶ We say g(h) is o(h) if

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{g(h)}{h} = 0$$

► This definition tells us when Poisson process may be a good model

- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$
- ightharpoonup We say g(h) is o(h) if

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{g(h)}{h} = 0$$

- ► This definition tells us when Poisson process may be a good model
- ► We will show that both definitions are equivalent

 $\blacktriangleright$  We first show Definition 2  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 1

- ▶ We first show Definition  $2 \Rightarrow$  Definition 1
- ▶ **Definition 1**  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is a counting process with
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(t) = n] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$
- ▶ **Definition 2**  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is a counting process with
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We first show Definition 2  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 1
- ▶ **Definition 1**  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is a counting process with
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(t) = n] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$
- ▶ **Definition 2**  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is a counting process with
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$  and Pr[N(h) > 2] = o(h)
- $\blacktriangleright$  For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)

 $\blacktriangleright$  We first show Definition 2  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 1

- $\blacktriangleright$  We first show Definition 2  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 1
- $\blacktriangleright$  For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)

- $\blacktriangleright$  We first show Definition 2  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 1
- $\blacktriangleright$  For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } P_n(t) = Pr[N(t) = n]$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We first show Definition 2  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 1
- $\blacktriangleright$  For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } P_n(t) = Pr[N(t) = n]$

$$P_0(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = 0]$$

- ▶ We first show Definition  $2 \Rightarrow$  Definition 1
- $\blacktriangleright$  For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } P_n(t) = Pr[N(t) = n]$

$$P_0(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = 0]$$
  
=  $Pr[N(t) = 0, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0]$ 

- ightharpoonup We first show Definition 2  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 1
- $\blacktriangleright$  For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } P_n(t) = Pr[N(t) = n]$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} P_0(t+h) & = & Pr[N(t+h)=0] \\ & = & Pr[N(t)=0, \ N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ & = & Pr[N(t)=0] \ Pr[N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ & & \text{(because of independent increments)} \end{array}$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We first show Definition 2  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 1
- $\blacktriangleright$  For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } P_n(t) = Pr[N(t) = n]$

$$\begin{split} P_0(t+h) &=& Pr[N(t+h)=0] \\ &=& Pr[N(t)=0,\ N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &=& Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &\quad \text{(because of independent increments)} \\ &=& Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(h)=0] \quad \text{(stationary increments)} \end{split}$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We first show Definition 2  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 1
- $\blacktriangleright$  For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } P_n(t) = Pr[N(t) = n]$

$$\begin{split} P_0(t+h) &= Pr[N(t+h)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0,\ N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &\quad \text{(because of independent increments)} \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(h)=0] \quad \text{(stationary increments)} \\ &= P_0(t)(1-\lambda h + o(h)) \end{split}$$

- ightharpoonup We first show Definition 2  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 1
- $\blacktriangleright$  For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Let } P_n(t) = Pr[N(t) = n]$

$$\begin{split} P_0(t+h) &= Pr[N(t+h)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0,\ N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &\quad \text{(because of independent increments)} \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(h)=0] \quad \text{(stationary increments)} \\ &= P_0(t)(1-\lambda h + o(h)) \end{split}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{P_0(t+h) - P_0(t)}{h} = -\lambda P_0(t) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We first show Definition 2  $\Rightarrow$  Definition 1
- $\blacktriangleright$  For this we need to calculate distribution of N(t)
- ightharpoonup Let  $P_n(t) = Pr[N(t) = n]$

$$\begin{split} P_0(t+h) &= Pr[N(t+h)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0,\ N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(t+h)-N(t)=0] \\ &\quad \text{(because of independent increments)} \\ &= Pr[N(t)=0]\ Pr[N(h)=0] \quad \text{(stationary increments)} \\ &= P_0(t)(1-\lambda h + o(h)) \end{split}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{P_0(t+h) - P_0(t)}{h} = -\lambda P_0(t) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{P_0(t)}\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{P_0(t)}\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(P_0(t)) = -\lambda t + c$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{P_0(t)}\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(P_0(t)) = -\lambda t + c$$

$$\Rightarrow P_0(t) = Ke^{-\lambda t}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{P_0(t)}\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(P_0(t)) = -\lambda t + c$$

$$\Rightarrow P_0(t) = Ke^{-\lambda t}$$

▶ Since  $P_0(0) = Pr[N(0) = 0] = 1$ , we get K = 1 and hence

$$P_0(t) = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda P_0(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{P_0(t)}\frac{d}{dt}P_0(t) = -\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(P_0(t)) = -\lambda t + c$$

$$\Rightarrow P_0(t) = Ke^{-\lambda t}$$

▶ Since  $P_0(0) = Pr[N(0) = 0] = 1$ , we get K = 1 and hence

$$P_0(t) = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

Next we consider  $P_n(t)$  for n > 0

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$
  
=  $Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$ 

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$
  
=  $Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] + Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] + Pr[N(t+h) - N(t+h) - N(t+$ 

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$
  
=  $Pr[N(t) = n - k] P[N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$ 

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n - k] P[N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n - k] P[N(h) = k]$$

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n - k] P[N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n - k] P[N(h) = k] = o(h), \forall k > 2$$

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t + h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n - k] P[N(t + h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n - k] P[N(h) = k] = o(h), \forall k \ge 2$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] = P_n(t)P_0(h)$$

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n - k] P[N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n - k] P[N(h) = k] = o(h), \forall k \ge 2$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] = P_n(t)P_0(h)$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t + h) - N(t) = 1] = P_{n-1}(t)P_1(h)$$

$$P_n(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} Pr[N(t) = n - k, \ N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$P_{n}(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{h=0}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

 $= P_n(t)P_0(h) + P_{n-1}(t)P_1(h) + o(h)$ 

$$P_{n}(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= P_{n}(t)P_{0}(h) + P_{n-1}(t)P_{1}(h) + o(h)$$

$$= P_{n}(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h))$$

$$P_{n}(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= P_{n}(t)P_{0}(h) + P_{n-1}(t)P_{1}(h) + o(h)$$

$$= P_{n}(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h)) + P_{n-1}(t)(\lambda h + o(h)) + o(h)$$

$$P_{n}(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= P_{n}(t)P_{0}(h) + P_{n-1}(t)P_{1}(h) + o(h)$$

$$= P_{n}(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h)) + P_{n-1}(t)(\lambda h + o(h)) + o(h)$$

$$= P_{n}(t) - \lambda h P_{n}(t) + \lambda h P_{n-1}(t) + o(h)$$

$$P_{n}(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] +$$

$$Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] +$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= P_{n}(t)P_{0}(h) + P_{n-1}(t)P_{1}(h) + o(h)$$

$$= P_{n}(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h)) + P_{n-1}(t)(\lambda h + o(h)) + o(h)$$

$$= P_{n}(t) - \lambda hP_{n}(t) + \lambda hP_{n-1}(t) + o(h)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{P_n(t+h) - P_n(t)}{h} = -\lambda P_n(t) + \lambda P_{n-1}(t) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$$

$$P_{n}(t+h) = Pr[N(t+h) = n]$$

$$= Pr[N(t) = n, N(t+h) - N(t) = 0] + Pr[N(t) = n - 1, N(t+h) - N(t) = 1] + \sum_{k=2}^{n} Pr[N(t) = n - k, N(t+h) - N(t) = k]$$

$$= P_{n}(t)P_{0}(h) + P_{n-1}(t)P_{1}(h) + o(h)$$

$$= P_{n}(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h)) + P_{n-1}(t)(\lambda h + o(h)) + o(h)$$

$$= P_{n}(t) - \lambda hP_{n}(t) + \lambda hP_{n-1}(t) + o(h)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{P_{n}(t+h) - P_{n}(t)}{h} = -\lambda P_{n}(t) + \lambda P_{n-1}(t) + \frac{o(h)}{h}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}P_{n}(t) = -\lambda P_{n}(t) + \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$ 

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$
- ▶ The integrating factor is  $e^{\lambda t}$ .

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$
- ▶ The integrating factor is  $e^{\lambda t}$ . Let  $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$
- ▶ The integrating factor is  $e^{\lambda t}$ . Let  $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left( P'_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$
- ▶ The integrating factor is  $e^{\lambda t}$ . Let  $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left( P'_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- lacktriangle We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$
- ▶ The integrating factor is  $e^{\lambda t}$ . Let  $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left( P'_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

ightharpoonup We need  $P_{n-1}$  to solve for  $P_n$ .

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$
- ▶ The integrating factor is  $e^{\lambda t}$ . Let  $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left( P'_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$
- ▶ The integrating factor is  $e^{\lambda t}$ . Let  $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left( P'_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_1(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_0(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$
- $\blacktriangleright$  The integrating factor is  $e^{\lambda t}.$  Let  $P_n'(t)=\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left( P'_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_1(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_0(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} = \lambda$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$
- $\blacktriangleright$  The integrating factor is  $e^{\lambda t}.$  Let  $P_n'(t)=\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left( P_n'(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_1(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_0(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_1(t) = \lambda t + c$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$
- $\blacktriangleright$  The integrating factor is  $e^{\lambda t}.$  Let  $P_n'(t)=\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left( P'_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_1(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_0(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_1(t) = \lambda t + c \Rightarrow P_1(t) = e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t + c)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$
- ▶ The integrating factor is  $e^{\lambda t}$ . Let  $P_n'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left( P_n'(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_1(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_0(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_1(t) = \lambda t + c \Rightarrow P_1(t) = e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t + c)$$

$$P_1(0) = Pr[N(0) = 1] = 0 \implies c = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n(t) + \lambda P_n(t) = \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We need to solve this linear ODE to obtain  $P_n$
- ▶ The integrating factor is  $e^{\lambda t}$ . Let  $P'_n(t) = \frac{d}{dt}P_n(t)$

$$e^{\lambda t} \left( P_n'(t) + \lambda P_n(t) \right) = e^{\lambda t} \lambda P_{n-1}(t)$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$ 

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_1(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_0(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_1(t) = \lambda t + c \Rightarrow P_1(t) = e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t + c)$$

 $P_1(0) = Pr[N(0) = 1] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c = 0$  Hence  $P_1(t) = \lambda t \ e^{-\lambda t}$ 

▶ We showed:  $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  and  $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$ 

- ▶ We showed:  $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  and  $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- ▶ We need to show:  $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$

- ▶ We showed:  $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  and  $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- ▶ We need to show:  $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- ▶ Assume it is true till k = n 1

- We showed:  $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  and  $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- ▶ We need to show:  $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- ▶ Assume it is true till k = n 1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t)$$

- We showed:  $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  and  $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- We need to show:  $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- Assume it is true till k = n 1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

- We showed:  $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  and  $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- ▶ We need to show:  $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- ightharpoonup Assume it is true till k=n-1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \lambda^n \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

- ▶ We showed:  $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  and  $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- ▶ We need to show:  $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- ightharpoonup Assume it is true till k=n-1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \lambda^n \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_n(t) = \lambda^n \frac{t^n}{n} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} + c$$

- We showed:  $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  and  $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- ▶ We need to show:  $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- Assume it is true till k = n 1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \lambda^n \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_n(t) = \lambda^n \frac{t^n}{n} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} + c \Rightarrow P_n(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}$$

- We showed:  $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  and  $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- ▶ We need to show:  $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- Assume it is true till k = n 1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \lambda^n \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_n(t) = \lambda^n \frac{t^n}{n} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} + c \Rightarrow P_n(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}$$

where c = 0 because  $P_n(0) = 0$ .

- We showed:  $P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$  and  $P_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$
- ▶ We need to show:  $P_k(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$
- Assume it is true till k = n 1

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( P_n(t) e^{\lambda t} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} P_{n-1}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \lambda^n \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} P_n(t) = \lambda^n \frac{t^n}{n} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} + c \Rightarrow P_n(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}$$

where c = 0 because  $P_n(0) = 0$ .

► This completes the proof that Definition 2 implies Definition 1

- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(t) = n] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$
- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$

Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2

- Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point(3) of Definition 1

- Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point(3) of Definition 1

Let 
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

- Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- ▶ We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point (3) of Definition 1

Let 
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}$$

- Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- ▶ We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point (3) of Definition 1

Let 
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda h + \lambda h (e^{-\lambda h} - 1)$$

- Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- ▶ We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point (3) of Definition 1

Let 
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda \ h \ e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda \ h + \lambda \ h \left( e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right) = \lambda \ h + o(h)$$

- Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- ▶ We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point (3) of Definition 1

Let 
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda \ h \ e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda \ h + \lambda \ h \left( e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right) = \lambda \ h + o(h)$$
 because

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\lambda \ h \left( e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right)}{h}$$

- Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point(3) of Definition 1

Let 
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda \ h \ e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda \ h + \lambda \ h \left( e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right) = \lambda \ h + o(h)$$
 because

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\lambda h \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1\right)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \lambda \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1\right)$$

- Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point(3) of Definition 1

Let 
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h)=1] = \lambda \ h \ e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda \ h + \lambda \ h \left(e^{-\lambda h} - 1\right) = \lambda \ h + o(h)$$
 because

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\lambda h \left( e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \lambda \left( e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right) = 0$$

- Now we prove Definition 1 implies Definition 2
- We need to only show point(3) of Definition 2 using point(3) of Definition 1

Let 
$$Pr[N(t) = k] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda \ h \ e^{-\lambda h} = \lambda \ h + \lambda \ h \left( e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right) = \lambda \ h + o(h)$$
 because

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\lambda h \left( e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \lambda \left( e^{-\lambda h} - 1 \right) = 0$$

• We showed  $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$ 

 $\qquad \qquad \text{Now we need to show } Pr[N(h) \geq 2] = o(h)$ 

Now we need to show  $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$ 

$$Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = 1 - Pr[N(h) = 0] - Pr[N(h) = 1]$$

▶ Now we need to show  $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$ 

$$Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = 1 - Pr[N(h) = 0] - Pr[N(h) = 1]$$
  
=  $1 - e^{-\lambda h} - \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}$ 

▶ Now we need to show  $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$ 

$$Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = 1 - Pr[N(h) = 0] - Pr[N(h) = 1]$$
  
=  $1 - e^{-\lambda h} - \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}$ 

▶ This goes to zero as h o 0

 $\qquad \hbox{Now we need to show } Pr[N(h) \geq 2] = o(h)$ 

$$Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = 1 - Pr[N(h) = 0] - Pr[N(h) = 1]$$
  
=  $1 - e^{-\lambda h} - \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}$ 

- ▶ This goes to zero as  $h \to 0$
- ► We can use L'Hospital rule

Now we need to show  $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$ 

$$Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = 1 - Pr[N(h) = 0] - Pr[N(h) = 1]$$
  
=  $1 - e^{-\lambda h} - \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}$ 

- ▶ This goes to zero as  $h \to 0$
- ► We can use L'Hospital rule

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{1-e^{-\lambda h}-\lambda he^{-\lambda h}}{h}=\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda h}-\lambda e^{-\lambda h}+\lambda^2 he^{-\lambda h}}{1}=0$$

▶ Now we need to show  $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$ 

$$Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = 1 - Pr[N(h) = 0] - Pr[N(h) = 1]$$
  
=  $1 - e^{-\lambda h} - \lambda h e^{-\lambda h}$ 

- ▶ This goes to zero as  $h \to 0$
- ► We can use L'Hospital rule

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{1-e^{-\lambda h}-\lambda he^{-\lambda h}}{h}=\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda h}-\lambda e^{-\lambda h}+\lambda^2 he^{-\lambda h}}{1}=0$$

► This completes the proof that Definition 2 implies Definition 1

# These two definitions are equivalent

- ▶ **Definition 1** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(t) = n] = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots$
- ▶ **Definition 2** A counting process  $\{N(t), t \ge 0\}$  is said to be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if
  - 1. N(0) = 0
  - 2. The process has stationary and independent increments
  - 3.  $Pr[N(h) = 1] = \lambda h + o(h)$  and  $Pr[N(h) \ge 2] = o(h)$

ightharpoonup Since the process has stationary increments, for  $t_2 > t_1$ ,

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))^k}{k!}$$

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))^k}{k!}$$

► The first order distribution of the process is:  $N(t) \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda t)$ 

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))^k}{k!}$$

- ► The first order distribution of the process is:  $N(t) \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda t)$
- ► This, along with stationary and independent increments property determines all distributions

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))^k}{k!}$$

- ► The first order distribution of the process is:  $N(t) \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda t)$
- ► This, along with stationary and independent increments property determines all distributions

$$Pr[N(t_1) = n_1, N(t_2) = n_2, N(t_3) = n_3]$$

$$= Pr[N(t_1) = n_1] Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = n_2 - n_1]$$

$$Pr[N(t_3) - N(t_2) = n_3 - n_2]$$

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$
$$= e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))^k}{k!}$$

- ► The first order distribution of the process is:  $N(t) \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda t)$
- ► This, along with stationary and independent increments property determines all distributions

$$Pr[N(t_1) = n_1, N(t_2) = n_2, N(t_3) = n_3]$$

$$= Pr[N(t_1) = n_1] Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = n_2 - n_1]$$

$$Pr[N(t_3) - N(t_2) = n_3 - n_2]$$

$$= Pr[N(t_1) = n_1] Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) = n_2 - n_1] Pr[N(t_3 - t_2) = n_3 - n_2]$$

$$Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = k]] = Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) - N(0) = k]$$
  
=  $e^{-\lambda(t_2 - t_1)} \frac{(\lambda(t_2 - t_1))^k}{k!}$ 

- ► The first order distribution of the process is:  $N(t) \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda t)$
- ► This, along with stationary and independent increments property determines all distributions

$$Pr[N(t_1) = n_1, N(t_2) = n_2, N(t_3) = n_3]$$

$$= Pr[N(t_1) = n_1] Pr[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = n_2 - n_1]$$

$$Pr[N(t_3) - N(t_2) = n_3 - n_2]$$

$$= Pr[N(t_1) = n_1] Pr[N(t_2 - t_1) = n_2 - n_1] Pr[N(t_3 - t_2) = n_3 - n_2]$$

where we assumed  $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ 

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \implies \text{not stationary}$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_N(t_1, t_2) = E[N(t_2)N(t_1)]$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_N(t_1, t_2) = E[N(t_2)N(t_1)]$$
  
=  $E[N(t_1)(N(t_2) - N(t_1) + N(t_1))]$ 

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_N(t_1, t_2) = E[N(t_2)N(t_1)]$$

$$= E[N(t_1)(N(t_2) - N(t_1) + N(t_1))]$$

$$= E[N(t_1)(N(t_2) - N(t_1))] + E[N(t_1)^2]$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_{N}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = E[N(t_{2})N(t_{1})]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}) + N(t_{1}))]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}))] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_{N}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = E[N(t_{2})N(t_{1})]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}) + N(t_{1}))]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}))] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2} - t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_{N}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = E[N(t_{2})N(t_{1})]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}) + N(t_{1}))]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}))] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2} - t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= \lambda t_{1}(\lambda(t_{2} - t_{1})) + (\lambda t_{1} + \lambda^{2}t_{1}^{2})$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_{N}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = E[N(t_{2})N(t_{1})]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}) + N(t_{1}))]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}))] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2} - t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= \lambda t_{1}(\lambda(t_{2} - t_{1})) + (\lambda t_{1} + \lambda^{2}t_{1}^{2})$$

$$= \lambda t_{1} + \lambda^{2}t_{1}t_{2}$$

$$\eta_N(t) = E[N(t)] = \lambda t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{not stationary}$$

$$R_{N}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = E[N(t_{2})N(t_{1})]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}) + N(t_{1}))]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})(N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1}))] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2}) - N(t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= E[N(t_{1})] E[N(t_{2} - t_{1})] + E[N(t_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= \lambda t_{1}(\lambda(t_{2} - t_{1})) + (\lambda t_{1} + \lambda^{2}t_{1}^{2})$$

$$= \lambda t_{1} + \lambda^{2}t_{1}t_{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow R_N(t_1, t_2) = \lambda^2 t_1 t_2 + \lambda \min(t_1, t_2)$$

Let  $T_1$  denote the time of first event and let  $T_n$  denote the time between  $n^{th}$  and (n-1)st events.

- Let  $T_1$  denote the time of first event and let  $T_n$  denote the time between  $n^{th}$  and (n-1)st events.
- Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$  time of  $n^{th}$  event

- Let  $T_1$  denote the time of first event and let  $T_n$  denote the time between  $n^{th}$  and (n-1)st events.
- Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$  time of  $n^{th}$  event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0]$$

- Let  $T_1$  denote the time of first event and let  $T_n$  denote the time between  $n^{th}$  and (n-1)st events.
- Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$  time of  $n^{th}$  event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

- Let  $T_1$  denote the time of first event and let  $T_n$  denote the time between  $n^{th}$  and (n-1)st events.
- Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$  time of  $n^{th}$  event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

 $\Rightarrow$   $T_1 \sim \text{exponential}(\lambda)$ 

- Let  $T_1$  denote the time of first event and let  $T_n$  denote the time between  $n^{th}$  and (n-1)st events.
- Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$  time of  $n^{th}$  event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$Pr[T_2 > t | T_1 = s] = Pr[0 \text{ events in } (s, s+t] | T_1 = s]$$

- Let  $T_1$  denote the time of first event and let  $T_n$  denote the time between  $n^{th}$  and (n-1)st events.
- ▶ Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$  time of  $n^{th}$  event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$\begin{split} Pr[T_2 > t | T_1 = s] &= Pr[0 \text{ events in } (s, \ s+t] \mid T_1 = s] \\ &= Pr[0 \text{ events in } (s, \ s+t] \,] \end{split}$$

- Let  $T_1$  denote the time of first event and let  $T_n$  denote the time between  $n^{th}$  and (n-1)st events.
- Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$  time of  $n^{th}$  event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$Pr[T_2 > t | T_1 = s] = Pr[0 \text{ events in } (s, s+t] | T_1 = s]$$
  
=  $Pr[0 \text{ events in } (s, s+t]] = e^{-\lambda t}$ 

- Let  $T_1$  denote the time of first event and let  $T_n$  denote the time between  $n^{th}$  and (n-1)st events.
- Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$  time of  $n^{th}$  event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} Pr[T_2>t|T_1=s] & = & Pr[0 \;\; \text{events in} \;\; (s,\; s+t] \;|\; T_1=s] \\ & = & Pr[0 \;\; \text{events in} \;\; (s,\; s+t] \;] = e^{-\lambda t} \\ \Rightarrow & Pr[T_2>t] & = & \int Pr[T_2>t|T_1=s] \; f_{T_1}(s) \; ds = e^{-\lambda t} \end{array}$$

- Let  $T_1$  denote the time of first event and let  $T_n$  denote the time between  $n^{th}$  and (n-1)st events.
- Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$  time of  $n^{th}$  event

$$Pr[T_1 > t] = Pr[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda t}$$

 $\Rightarrow$   $T_1 \sim \text{exponential}(\lambda)$ 

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Pr[T_2>t|T_1=s] & = & Pr[0 \;\; \text{events in} \;\; (s,\; s+t] \;|\; T_1=s] \\ & = & Pr[0 \;\; \text{events in} \;\; (s,\; s+t] \;] = e^{-\lambda t} \\ \Rightarrow & Pr[T_2>t] & = & \int Pr[T_2>t|T_1=s] \; f_{T_1}(s) \; ds = e^{-\lambda t} \end{array}$$

 $ightharpoonup T_n$  are iid exponential with parameter  $\lambda$ 

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since  $T_i$  are iid, exponential,  $S_n$  is Gamma with parameters  $n, \lambda$ 

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since  $T_i$  are iid, exponential,  $S_n$  is Gamma with parameters  $n, \lambda$ 

$$Pr[S_1 \le s | N(t) = 1]$$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since  $T_i$  are iid, exponential,  $S_n$  is Gamma with parameters  $n, \lambda$ 

$$Pr[S_1 \le s | N(t) = 1] = \frac{Pr[S_1 \le s, N(t) = 1]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since  $T_i$  are iid, exponential,  $S_n$  is Gamma with parameters  $n, \lambda$ 

$$Pr[S_1 \le s | N(t) = 1] = \frac{Pr[S_1 \le s, N(t) = 1]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$= \frac{Pr[1 \text{ event in } [0, s], 0 \text{ in } (s, t]]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since  $T_i$  are iid, exponential,  $S_n$  is Gamma with parameters  $n, \lambda$ 

$$Pr[S_{1} \leq s | N(t) = 1] = \frac{Pr[S_{1} \leq s, N(t) = 1]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$= \frac{Pr[1 \text{ event in } [0, s], 0 \text{ in } (s, t]]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda s e^{-\lambda s} e^{-\lambda (t-s)}}{\lambda t e^{-\lambda t}}$$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since  $T_i$  are iid, exponential,  $S_n$  is Gamma with parameters  $n, \lambda$ 

$$Pr[S_1 \le s | N(t) = 1] = \frac{Pr[S_1 \le s, N(t) = 1]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$= \frac{Pr[1 \text{ event in } [0, s], 0 \text{ in } (s, t]]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda s e^{-\lambda s} e^{-\lambda (t-s)}}{\lambda t e^{-\lambda t}}$$

$$= \frac{s}{t}$$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$$

Since  $T_i$  are iid, exponential,  $S_n$  is Gamma with parameters  $n, \lambda$ 

ightharpoonup Let 0 < s < t.

$$Pr[S_1 \le s | N(t) = 1] = \frac{Pr[S_1 \le s, N(t) = 1]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$= \frac{Pr[1 \text{ event in } [0, s], 0 \text{ in } (s, t]]}{Pr[N(t) = 1]}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda s e^{-\lambda s} e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{\lambda t e^{-\lambda t}}$$

$$= \frac{s}{t}$$

Conditioned on N(t) = 1,  $S_1$  is uniform over [0, t]

▶ This can be used, e.g., in simulating Poisson process

- ▶ This can be used, e.g., in simulating Poisson process
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can cut time axis into small intervals of length h.

- ▶ This can be used, e.g., in simulating Poisson process
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can cut time axis into small intervals of length h.
- In each interval we can decide whether or not there is an event, with prob  $\lambda h$ .

- ▶ This can be used, e.g., in simulating Poisson process
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can cut time axis into small intervals of length h.
- ▶ In each interval we can decide whether or not there is an event, with prob  $\lambda h$ .
- ▶ If there is an event, we choose its time uniformly in the interval.

- ▶ This can be used, e.g., in simulating Poisson process
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can cut time axis into small intervals of length h.
- In each interval we can decide whether or not there is an event, with prob  $\lambda h$ .
- ► If there is an event, we choose its time uniformly in the interval.
- ► Called Bernoulli approximation of Poisson process

- ▶ This can be used, e.g., in simulating Poisson process
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can cut time axis into small intervals of length h.
- In each interval we can decide whether or not there is an event, with prob  $\lambda h$ .
- ► If there is an event, we choose its time uniformly in the interval.
- ► Called Bernoulli approximation of Poisson process
- ► We could also generate Poisson process by generating independent exponential random variables

▶ Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  be the times of the first n events.

- $\blacktriangleright$  Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  be the times of the first n events.
- We calculated conditional density of  $S_1$  conditioned on N(t)=1.

- ▶ Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  be the times of the first n events.
- We calculated conditional density of  $S_1$  conditioned on N(t)=1.
- Suppose we want to calculate the conditional joint density of  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  conditioned on N(t) = n.

- $\blacktriangleright$  Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  be the times of the first n events.
- We calculated conditional density of  $S_1$  conditioned on N(t)=1.
- Suppose we want to calculate the conditional joint density of  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  conditioned on N(t) = n.
- Note that the  $S_i$  have to satisfy  $S_1 < S_2 < \cdots < S_n$ .

- ▶ Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  be the times of the first n events.
- We calculated conditional density of  $S_1$  conditioned on N(t)=1.
- Suppose we want to calculate the conditional joint density of  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  conditioned on N(t) = n.
- Note that the  $S_i$  have to satisfy  $S_1 < S_2 < \cdots < S_n$ .
- We can show that the conditional joint density of  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  conditioned on N(t) = n, would be same as the order statistics of n iid random variables uniform over [0, t].

▶ Take  $t_i, 1 \le i \le n$  satisfying  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n < t$ .

- ▶ Take  $t_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$  satisfying  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n < t$ .
- Let  $h_i$  be small positive numbers such that  $t_i + h_i < t_{i+1}, \forall i$ .

- ▶ Take  $t_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$  satisfying  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n < t$ .
- Let  $h_i$  be small positive numbers such that  $t_i + h_i < t_{i+1}, \forall i$ .

$$Pr[t_i \le S_i \le t_i + h_i, i = 1, \cdots, n \mid N(t) = n]$$

- ▶ Take  $t_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$  satisfying  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n < t$ .
- Let  $h_i$  be small positive numbers such that  $t_i + h_i < t_{i+1}, \forall i$ .

$$Pr[t_{i} \leq S_{i} \leq t_{i} + h_{i}, i = 1, \cdots, n \mid N(t) = n]$$

$$= \frac{Pr[t_{i} \leq S_{i} \leq t_{i} + h_{i}, i = 1, \cdots, n, N(t) = n]}{Pr[N(t) = n]}$$

- ▶ Take  $t_i, 1 \le i \le n$  satisfying  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n < t$ .
- Let  $h_i$  be small positive numbers such that  $t_i + h_i < t_{i+1}, \forall i$ .

$$Pr[t_{i} \leq S_{i} \leq t_{i} + h_{i}, i = 1, \dots, n \mid N(t) = n]$$

$$= \frac{Pr[t_{i} \leq S_{i} \leq t_{i} + h_{i}, i = 1, \dots, n, N(t) = n]}{Pr[N(t) = n]}$$

$$=\frac{Pr[1 \text{ event in each } [t_i,\ t_i+h_i], 1\leq i\leq n,\ 0 \text{ in rest of } [0,\ t]]}{Pr[N(t)=n]}$$

- ▶ Take  $t_i, 1 \le i \le n$  satisfying  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n < t$ .
- Let  $h_i$  be small positive numbers such that  $t_i + h_i < t_{i+1}, \forall i$ .

$$\begin{split} & Pr[t_i \leq S_i \leq t_i + h_i, i = 1, \cdots, n \mid N(t) = n] \\ & = \frac{Pr[t_i \leq S_i \leq t_i + h_i, i = 1, \cdots, n, N(t) = n]}{Pr[N(t) = n]} \\ & = \frac{Pr[1 \text{ event in each } [t_i, \ t_i + h_i], 1 \leq i \leq n, \ 0 \text{ in rest of } [0, \ t]]}{Pr[N(t) = n]} \end{split}$$

$$=\frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\lambda h_{i}e^{-\lambda h_{i}}\right)e^{-\lambda(t-h_{1}-\cdots-h_{n})}}{\left((\lambda t)^{n}/n!\right)e^{-\lambda t}}$$

- ▶ Take  $t_i, 1 \le i \le n$  satisfying  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n < t$ .
- Let  $h_i$  be small positive numbers such that  $t_i + h_i < t_{i+1}, \forall i$ .

$$Pr[t_i \leq S_i \leq t_i + h_i, i = 1, \cdots, n \mid N(t) = n]$$

$$= \frac{Pr[t_i \leq S_i \leq t_i + h_i, i = 1, \cdots, n, N(t) = n]}{Pr[N(t) = n]}$$

$$Pr[1 \text{ event in each } [t_i, t_i + h_i], 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \text{ in red}$$

$$=\frac{Pr[1 \text{ event in each } [t_i,\ t_i+h_i], 1\leq i\leq n,\ 0 \text{ in rest of } [0,\ t]]}{Pr[N(t)=n]}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda h_i e^{-\lambda h_i}\right) e^{-\lambda(t-h_1-\cdots-h_n)}}{\left((\lambda t)^n/n!\right)e^{-\lambda t}}$$

$$=\frac{n!\ h_1\cdots h_n}{t^n}$$

▶ Thus we have for  $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < t$ ,

$$\frac{Pr[t_i \le S_i \le t_i + h_i, i = 1, \cdots, n \mid N(t) = n]}{h_1 \cdots h_n} = \frac{n!}{t^n}$$

▶ Thus we have for  $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < t$ ,

$$\frac{Pr[t_i \le S_i \le t_i + h_i, i = 1, \cdots, n \mid N(t) = n]}{h_1 \cdots h_n} = \frac{n!}{t^n}$$

▶ If we now take limit as all  $h_i$  go to zero, the LHS above would be the conditional joint density of  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  conditioned on N(t) = n.

▶ Thus we have for  $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < t$ ,

$$\frac{Pr[t_i \le S_i \le t_i + h_i, i = 1, \cdots, n \mid N(t) = n]}{h_1 \cdots h_n} = \frac{n!}{t^n}$$

- ▶ If we now take limit as all  $h_i$  go to zero, the LHS above would be the conditional joint density of  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  conditioned on N(t) = n.
- ► Thus

$$f_{S_1 \cdots S_n | N(t)}(t_1, \cdots, t_n | n) = \frac{n!}{t^n}, \ 0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < t$$

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid continuous random variables with common density  $f_x$ .

- Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid continuous random variables with common density  $f_x$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $X_{(k)}$  denotes the  $k^{th}$  smallest of them.

- Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid continuous random variables with common density  $f_x$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $X_{(k)}$  denotes the  $k^{th}$  smallest of them.
- ▶ Then the joint density of  $X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$  is given by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = n! \prod_{i=1}^n f_x(x_i), \ x_1 < \dots < x_n$$

- Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid continuous random variables with common density  $f_x$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $X_{(k)}$  denotes the  $k^{th}$  smallest of them.
- ▶ Then the joint density of  $X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$  is given by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = n! \prod_{i=1}^n f_x(x_i), \ x_1 < \dots < x_n$$

▶ If  $X_i$  are uniform over [0, t]

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{n!}{t^n}, \ 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < t$$

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] =$$

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$
  
=  $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$ 

We look at a few simple example problems using Poisson process.

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$
  
=  $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$ 

Another example;

$$E[S_4] =$$

We look at a few simple example problems using Poisson process.

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$
  
=  $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$ 

Another example;

$$E[S_4] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^4 T_i\right] = \frac{4}{\lambda}$$

We look at a few simple example problems using Poisson process.

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$
  
=  $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$ 

Another example;

$$E[S_4] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^4 T_i\right] = \frac{4}{\lambda}$$

We look at a few simple example problems using Poisson process.

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$
  
=  $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$ 

Another example;

$$E[S_4] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^4 T_i\right] = \frac{4}{\lambda}$$

$$Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2]$$



We look at a few simple example problems using Poisson process.

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$
  
=  $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$ 

Another example;

$$E[S_4] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^4 T_i\right] = \frac{4}{\lambda}$$

$$Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t < 1 \end{cases}$$



We look at a few simple example problems using Poisson process.

$$E[N(4) - N(2)|N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)]$$
  
=  $E[N(2) - 0] = 2\lambda$ 

Another example;

$$E[S_4] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^4 T_i\right] = \frac{4}{\lambda}$$

$$Pr[S_3 > t | N(1) = 2] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t < 1 \\ e^{-\lambda(t-1)} & \text{if } t \ge 1 \end{cases}$$