A.Y. 2020-2021 Class: SE-ITA/B, Semester: III

Subject: **Structured Query Lab**

Experiment – 10A): Simulation/Implementation of Concurrency Control Algorithm (2PL)

- **1. Aim:** To Implement Concurrency Control Algorithm (2PL)
- 2. Objective:
 - After performing the experiment, the students will be able to use concurrency control algorithm i.e. 2 phase locking protocol
- **3. Outcome:** L303.6: To Demonstrate the concept of transaction, concurrency and recovery.
- 4. Prerequisite: Understanding of Concurrency control, 2 phase locking protocol
- **5. Requirements:** PC, Oracle 11g/SQL Server 2008 R2, Microsoft Word, Internet, MySQL, JDK Netbeans
- 6. Pre-Experiment Exercise:

Brief Theory:

What is concurrency control?

Explain Two-phase locking protocol technique and its types

7. Laboratory Exercise

A. Procedure:

1. Consider below given input file

Input file

b1;

r1 (Y);

w1 (Y);

r1 (Z);

b2;

r2 (X);

w2(X);

w1 (Z);

e1;

r2 (Y);

b3;

r3 (Z);

w3 (Z);

w2(Y);

e2;

r3 (X);

w3(X);

e3;

2. For above input file, apply 2 PL technique as given below Algorithm for 2PL:

```
read_lock(X):
B: if LOCK(X) = "unlocked"
         then begin LOCK(X) \leftarrow "read-locked";
              no\_of\_reads(X) \leftarrow 1
              end
    else if LOCK(X) = "read-locked"
         then no_of_reads(X) \leftarrow no_of_reads(X) + 1
    else begin
              wait (until LOCK(X) = "unlocked"
                   and the lock manager wakes up the transaction);
              go to B
              end;
write lock(X):
B: if LOCK(X) = "unlocked"
         then LOCK(X) \leftarrow "write-locked"
    else begin
              wait (until LOCK(X) = "unlocked"
                   and the lock manager wakes up the transaction);
              go to B
              end;
unlock (X):
    if LOCK(X) = "write-locked"
         then begin LOCK(X) \leftarrow "unlocked";
                   wakeup one of the waiting transactions, if any
    else it LOCK(X) = "read-locked"
         then begin
                   no\_of\_reads(X) \leftarrow no\_of\_reads(X) -1;
                   if no_of_reads(X) = 0
                       then begin LOCK(X) = "unlocked";
                                 wakeup one of the waiting transactions, if any
                                 end
                   end;
```

B. Result/Observation/Program code: Attach code with proper output

b1;:: TRANSACTION STARTED r1(Y);:: READ LOCK ON Y BY TRANSACTION 1 w1(Y);w1Y;:: UPGRADED TO WRITE LOCK ON Y r1(Z);:: READ LOCK ON Z BY TRANSACTION 1 b2;:: TRANSACTION STARTED r2(X);:: READ LOCK ON X BY TRANSACTION 2 w2(X); w2X;:: UPGRADED TO WRITE LOCK ON X w1(Z); w1Z;:: UPGRADED TO WRITE LOCK ON Z e1: e1;:: TRANSACTION1 COMMITTED r2(Y);:: READ LOCK ON Y BY TRANSACTION 2 b3;:: TRANSACTION STARTED r3(Z);:: READ LOCK ON Z BY TRANSACTION 3 w3(Z); w3Z;:: UPGRADED TO WRITE LOCK ON Z w2(Y); w2Y;:: UPGRADED TO WRITE LOCK ON Y e2: e2::: TRANSACTION2 COMMITTED r3(X);:: READ LOCK ON X BY TRANSACTION 3 w3(X); w3X::: UPGRADED TO WRITE LOCK ON X e3; e3;:: TRANSACTION3 COMMITTED

8. Post Experimental Exercise-

A. Questions:

Database systems are typically implemented as a set of processes sharing a shared memory area.

- 1. How is access to the shared memory area controlled?
- 2. Is two-phase locking appropriate for serializing access to the data structure in shared memory? Explain your answer.

B. Conclusion:

- 1. Write what was performed in the experiment
- 2. Mention a few applications of what was studied.
- 3. Write the significance of the studied topic

C. References:

- [1] Elmasri and Navathe, "Fundamentals of Database Systems", 5th Edition, PEARSON Education.
- [2] Korth, Silberchatz, Sudarshan, "Database System Concepts", 6th Edition, McGraw Hill
- [3] https://www.w3schools.com/sql/sql_default.asp

	0.	Page No:
	Josh Mohajan SE (T B 04	Date :
	Silver and the second s	
6.	Pre-Experiment Exercise:	
	0:0	
	Brief Theory!	
	What is concurrency control ?	
-	do militiare ramaica con invest expers	
The harmonist manages are considerable to	In a multiprogramming environment where	
	multiple transactions can be encerted	4
	simultaneously, it is highly important	lo
	control protocols concurrency of the tra	insactions.
	the have concurrency control protocol	Dto
	of concurrent transactions. Concurrency	alizability
	of concurrent transactions. Concurrency	Control
	protocols can be to broadly divided	
	into two categories:-	_ &
	Lock lased protocols	
	Time stamp loyed protecols.	
	Web Toward - Chief William Bline Bline .	
	Enchlain Two phase locking protocols an	dits
	types.	Mile
1		
	Zwo phase Jocking protocol also known 2PL protocol is a method of concern control in DBMS that ensures seriali Dry applying lock to the transaction data which clocks other transaction	100
\	2PL protocol is a method of concern	ency
	control in DBMS, that ensures seriali	Rability
	Dry ophlying look to the transaction	9
	data which clocks other transaction	
	from a coessing the same data smulter	moeudy.
	from accessing the same data simulte The trophase locking protocol allow transaction to make a lock or release	each
-	transaction to make a lock or rolen	20 a
	Lock request in two steps:	

10

Attitude

1 ago 140	Page	No	
-----------	------	----	--

Date:

Josh Plahajan SEIT BO4

Growing 3 Phase: - In this phase a transaction
may not release any locks but mayoflain

Cocks.

shrinking Phase: - go In this phase, a transaction may release locks but not obtain originew lock.

The types of stropphase locking protocolore:

Conservative 2PL: - This requires a transaction

to lock all-the items it accesses before

the transaction legins execution, by

prededoring it is read-set and require-stat.

Conservative 2PL is deadlock free:

strict 2PL: In this protocol transaction T doesnot release any of it's exclusive locks unatice often at commits or aborts to other transaction can read or write an item written by Turbes T has committed. This helppin recoverability but it is not deadlock free.

Rigiorous 2PL: This isamore restrictive version of street 2PL. In this variation, transaction to deep reflects any of its locks until after it commits or aborts and so it is more going to implement than other 2PL:

Attitude

	Page No:
	Josh Mohajan SE 17 B 04 Date:
	The state of the s
3.	Post Encheriment Encercise:
7.	A Questions:
	Database Systems are typically implemented as a set of processes sharing a shared memory area.
	as a set of processes sharing a shared
	memory area
,	1. How is access to the shared memory area
	controlled.
	When several transactions execute concourrently
	no longer bepreserved. To ensure that it
	no longer bepreserved. To ensure that it
	is preserved, the system must control
	the interaction among concurrent transactions; this control is achieved through one of a univolve of mechanisms called concurrency control schemes one important set of
	This control is achieved through one of a
	wordy of mechanisms called concurrency
	Control schemes. One umportable set of
	of protocols - known as two live - prose
	of protocols - known as two two-phase locking protocols - employs the technique of locking data items to prevent
	milities transactions a according that
	multiple transactions accessing the items concurrently focking protocols are used in some comercial RPBMS: but they
	in compained BOBYC and the
	ore considered to have a high overhead.
	Frother concurrency control protocol uses
	tipoplambo & timostamb is principal
	directamps of timestamp is a unique identifier for each transaction, generated by
	Je de la
	AttIttude

Page	No	

Josh Juhajam SE IT BO4

The system; Dinestamp values are generated in the same order as the transaction start times Timestamp Concurrency control protocols that use Timestamp ordering ensure serializability.

2. Les two-phase locking appropriate for socializing access to a dataters structure in shared memory? Explain your arswer.

d transaction is eased to follow the two phase locking protocol in all locking charation precede the first unlock operation in the transaction. It can be proved to that, if every transaction in a schedule follows the turphase locking protocol, the achedule is guaranteed to be serializable, orienting the need to test for socializable of schedules. The locking protocol, by enforcing two phase locking rules, also enforces paralizability. I wophouse locking may brit the amount of concurrency that can occur in a schedule. This is the price for quaranteeing periodizability of all echedules themselves. Although two phase locking protocol governance periodizability it does not permit all possible socializable echedules.

AttIttude

		Page No:
	Josh Mohajan SEIT BO4	Date :
	3) Conclusion:	
	b) (Millians)	
	In this experiment we have studied	λ
	about concurrency control; how to	
	ochieve concurrency control and two)
4	phase locking protocol	
	2PL is a concurrency control provided by transaction to data a may block other transactions from occ	natocal
	which a warantees perio lisability. It	tilisos
	Opples applied by transaction to data of	stwhich
	may block other transactions from occ	essina
	Concurrency is the ability to of two)
	Concurrency is the ability to is two transactions to use same data the the	eame
	I time but to ensure main wording	of the second
	have to implement concurrency con protocols like 2PL.	trolx
	protocols like 2/L.	
A SHEET STATE OF THE SHEET STATE		7
*		
1		
Tal.		
		AtiCitude
		LAILLITUGE