OCD Patient Dataset: Demographics & Clinical Data

Presented by: Yash Sahu

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction & Problem Statement
- Dataset Description
- Techniques Used
- Model Training & Evaluation
- Output & Results



Introduction & Problem Statement

Introduction

- OCD is a chronic disorder characterized by persistent obsessions and compulsions.
- The dataset of 1,500 patients includes demographics, symptom duration, Y-BOCS scores, comorbidities, and treatments to analyze OCD patterns.

Problem Statement

- Identifying risk factors and patterns in OCD.
- Understanding the impact of comorbidities like depression and anxiety.
- Evaluating treatment effectiveness based on medications.



Dataset Description

Overview

- The dataset contains 1,500 OCD patients, covering demographics, symptom duration, Y-BOCS scores, comorbidities, and medications.
- Key attributes include age, gender, ethnicity, marital status, obsession/compulsion types, and treatment history.

Data Insights

- Total Records: 1,500 rows and 17 columns.
- There are 248 null values in Previous Diagnoses feature and 386 null values in Medications feature.



Dataset Description

df.head() ✓ 0.0s															Python
	Patient ID	Age	Gender	Ethnicity	Marital Status	Education Level	OCD Diagnosis Date	Duration of Symptoms (months)	Previous Diagnoses	Family History of OCD	Obsession Type	Compulsion Type	Y-BOCS Score (Obsessions)	Y-BOCS Score (Compulsions)	Depressi Diagno
0	1018	32	Female	African	Single	Some College	2016-07- 15	203	MDD	No	Harm-related	Checking	17	10	
1	2406	69	Male	African	Divorced	Some College	2017-04- 28	180	NaN	Yes	Harm-related	Washing	21	25	
2	1188	57	Male	Hispanic	Divorced	College Degree	2018-02- 02	173	MDD	No	Contamination	Checking	3	4	
3	6200	27	Female	Hispanic	Married	College Degree	2014-08- 25	126	PTSD	Yes	Symmetry	Washing	14	28	
							2022-02-								

This is the output of df.head() first five rows of dataset.



Techniques Used

Introduction

- Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA): Identified trends in OCD severity, comorbidities, and treatment effectiveness.
- Machine Learning Models: Tested classification models for predicting OCD severity and treatment outcomes.

Best Model Performance:

- After testing, AdaBoostClassifier provided the highest accuracy:
- Accuracy Score: 0.54



Techniques Used

Model Evaluation Metrics

- Data Preprocessing: Handled missing values, performed encoding, and feature scaling.
- Model Performance: Evaluated accuracy using metrics like Accuracy Score, Confusion Matrix, F1-score, Precision and Recall scores for classification tasks.



Model Training & Evaluation

Model Training Process:

- 1. Data Splitting:
- Dataset was split into 80% training data and 20% test data
- 2. Feature Engineering & Preprocessing:
- One-Hot Encoding applied to categorical variables (e.g., Gender, Ethnicity, Obsession Type).
- Feature Scaling performed where necessary (e.g., Y-BOCS Scores, Duration of Symptoms).
- 3. Model Training:
- Tested multiple algorithms (Logistic Regression, Decision Tree, Random Forest, XGBoost etc).
- Ada Boost Classifier performed the best in predicting OCD severity and treatment outcomes.




Model Training & Evaluation

Workflow Steps

- 1. Data Collection Gather OCD patient demographics and clinical data.
- 2. Data Preprocessing Handle missing values, apply encoding for categorical variables, and scale numerical features.
- 3. Feature Selection Identify key features affecting OCD severity (e.g., Y-BOCS scores, comorbidities, medication use).
- 4. Train-Test Split Split dataset into 80% training and 20% testing for model evaluation.
- 5. Model Selection & Training Train multiple models (Logistic Regression, Decision Tree, Random Forest, XGBoost etc).
- 6. Model Evaluation Compare accuracy, F1-score, and confusion matrix to select the best model.
- 7. Prediction & Output Use the trained model to predict OCD severity and treatment effectiveness.



Model Training & Evaluation



Output & Results

Web Application

- The Ada Boost Classifier was the best-performing model.
- Accuracy:
- Before Hyperparameter Tuning: 0.51
- After Hyperparameter Tuning: 0.54

Observations

- Model predicts with high accuracy.
- Some slight variations due to feature importance & dataset limitations.
- Further tuning could improve generalization.



Thank You!

