

License with It's Description

License	Short Description
No License	Code is fully copyrighted; others cannot legally use, modify, or distribute it without permission.
Apache License 2.0	Permissive license allowing commercial use, modification, and distribution; includes patent protection and requires license notice.
GNU General Public License v3.0 (GPL-3.0)	Strong copyleft license; derivative works must also be open-sourced under GPL-3.0.
MIT License	Very permissive; allows almost any use with minimal requirements (keep copyright and license notice).
BSD 2-Clause “Simplified” License	Permissive; similar to MIT but with a no-endorsement clause.
BSD 3-Clause “New” or “Revised” License	Like BSD 2-Clause, plus an explicit restriction on using contributor names for promotion.
Boost Software License 1.0	Permissive license designed for C++ libraries; allows commercial use with minimal restrictions.
Creative Commons Zero v1.0 Universal (CC0)	Public-domain dedication; allows anyone to use the work without restrictions.
Eclipse Public License 2.0 (EPL-2.0)	Weak copyleft license; modifications to EPL-licensed code must be shared, but larger works can remain proprietary.
GNU Affero General Public License v3.0 (AGPL-3.0)	Strong copyleft; requires source disclosure even when software is used over a network (e.g., web apps).
GNU General Public License v2.0 (GPL-2.0)	Strong copyleft; derivative works must be released under GPL-2.0.
GNU Lesser General Public License v2.1 (LGPL-2.1)	Weak copyleft; allows linking with proprietary software under certain conditions.
Mozilla Public License 2.0 (MPL-2.0)	File-level copyleft; modified files must be open-sourced, but other files can be proprietary.
The Unlicense	Places the work into the public domain; effectively no restrictions on use.

Comparison Between Licenses

License	Commercial Use	SaaS Clause	Copyleft Strength	Proprietary Use	Patent Grant	Restrictiveness
No License	✗ No	✗ No	N/A	✗ No	✗ No	🔴 Extremely restrictive
AGPL-3.0	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	Strongest	✗ No	✓ Yes	🔴 Most restrictive (open source)
GPL-3.0	✓ Yes	✗ No	Strong	✗ No	✓ Yes	🔴 Very restrictive
GPL-2.0	✓ Yes	✗ No	Strong	✗ No	✗ Limited	🔴 Very restrictive
LGPL-2.1	✓ Yes	✗ No	Weak	⚠ Limited	✗ Limited	🟡 Medium
EPL-2.0	✓ Yes	✗ No	Weak	⚠ Limited	✓ Yes	🟡 Medium
MPL-2.0	✓ Yes	✗ No	Weak	⚠ Limited	✓ Yes	🟡 Medium
Apache 2.0	✓ Yes	✗ No	None	✓ Yes	✓ Strong	🟢 Low
MIT License	✓ Yes	✗ No	None	✓ Yes	✗ No	🟢 Very low
BSD 2-Clause	✓ Yes	✗ No	None	✓ Yes	✗ No	🟢 Very low
BSD 3-Clause	✓ Yes	✗ No	None	✓ Yes	✗ No	🟢 Very low
Boost 1.0	✓ Yes	✗ No	None	✓ Yes	✗ No	🟢 Very low
CC0 1.0	✓ Yes	✗ No	None	✓ Yes	✗ No	🟢 Minimal
The Unlicense	✓ Yes	✗ No	None	✓ Yes	✗ No	🟢 Minimal

Alternative of GitHub in GitLab

GitHub	GitLab (Alternative)	Description
GitHub Repositories	GitLab Projects	Git repositories with code, issues, CI, wiki
GitHub Organizations	GitLab Groups	Manage multiple projects and permissions
GitHub Issues	GitLab Issues	Issue tracking with labels & milestones
GitHub Pull Requests	GitLab Merge Requests (MRs)	Code review, discussions, approvals
GitHub Actions	GitLab CI/CD	Built-in CI/CD with <code>.gitlab-ci.yml</code>
GitHub Projects	GitLab Issue Boards	Kanban-style project management
GitHub Wiki	GitLab Wiki	Markdown documentation
GitHub Releases	GitLab Releases	Versioned releases & changelogs
GitHub Packages	GitLab Package Registry	Docker, npm, Maven, PyPI, etc.
GitHub Secrets	GitLab CI/CD Variables	Secure environment variables
GitHub Pages	GitLab Pages	Static website hosting
GitHub Codespaces	GitLab Web IDE / Remote Dev	Browser-based development
GitHub Security Alerts	GitLab Security Dashboard	Dependency & vulnerability scanning
GitHub Dependabot	GitLab Dependency Scanning	Automated dependency checks
GitHub Marketplace	GitLab Integrations	Built-in & third-party integrations