

[H-1] variables stored in the storage on-chain are visible to anyone, no matter the solidity visibility keyword

**Description** All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The `PasswordStore::s_password` variable is intended to be a private variable and only accessed through the `PasswordStore::getPassword` function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract

**Impact** Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol

### Proof of Concept (Proof of Code)

The below test case shows how anyone can read the password directly from the blockchain

- ## 1. Create a locally running chain

```
make anvil
```

- ## 2. Deploy the contract to the chain

```
make deploy
```

- ### 3. Run the storage tool

We use `1` because that's the storage slot of `s_password` in the contract.

```
cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

You'll get an output:

[illegible]

You can then parse that hex to a string with:

```
cast parse-bytes21-string
```

[illegible]

And get an output of:

**Recommended mitigation** Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to create another password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts password.

## Likelihood and Impact:

- Impact: HIGH
- Likelihood: HIGH
- Severity: HIGH

[H-2] `PasswordStore::setPassword` has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

**Description:** The `PasswordStore::setPassword` function is set to be an `external` function, however the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that `This function allows only the owner to set a new password.`

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    // @audit - There are no access controls
    s_password = new Password;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

**Impact:** Anyone can set/change the password of the contract, severely breaking the contract intended functionality.

**Proof of Concept:** Add the following to the `PasswordStore.t.sol` test file.

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public
{
    vm.assure(randomAddress != owner);
    vm.prank(randomAddress);
    string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);

    vm.prank(owner);
    string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
    assetEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
}
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** Add an access control conditional to the `setPassword` function.

```
if(msg.sender != s_owner){
    revert PasswordStore_NotOwner();
}
```

## Likelihood and Impact:

- Impact: HIGH
- Likelihood: HIGH
- Severity: HIGH

[S-#] The `PasswordStore::getPassword` natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.

### Description:

The `PasswordStore::getPassword` function signature is `getPassword()` while the natspec says it should be `getPassword(string)`.

**Impact:** The natspec is incorrect.

## Recommended Mitigation:

```
- * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

## Likelihood and Impact:

- Impact: HIGH
- Likelihood: NONE
- Severity: Informational/Gas/Non-critical