

# Monte Carlo Simulation Lab

## Assignment-8

Yash Vanjani  
(140123046)  
Mathematics and Computing  
IIT Guwahati

April 5th, 2016

Q 1 Use the following Monte Carlo estimator to approximate the expected value

$$I = E(\exp(\sqrt{U}))$$

where  $U \sim u[0, 1]$  :  $I_M = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M Y_i$ , where  $Y_i = \exp(\sqrt{U_i})$  with  $U_i \sim u[0, 1]$ .

Take all values of  $M$  to be  $10^2, 10^3, 10^4$  and  $10^5$ . Determine the 95% confidence interval for  $I_M$  for all the four values of  $M$  that you have taken

**Solution:** R code:

```

1 m<-vector("numeric")
2 m[1]=10^2
3 m[2]=10^3
4 m[3]=10^4
5 m[4]=10^5
6 for(i in 1:4)
7 {
8   u<-runif(m[i])
9   Y<-vector("numeric")
10  for(j in 1:m[i])
11  {
12    Y[j]=exp(sqrt(u[j]))
13  }
14  I=sum(Y)/m[i]
15  var=var(Y)
16  min=I-(1.95*sqrt(var)/sqrt(m[i]))
17  max=I+(1.95*sqrt(var)/sqrt(m[i]))
18  cat("\nI_",m[i], " = ", I, "\n")
19  cat("var_",m[i], " = ", var, "\n")
20  cat("min_",m[i], " = ", min, "\n")
21  cat("max_",m[i], " = ", max, "\n")
22 }
```

### Observations :-

The result is given as the following table with columns M, mean of random variable, variance of random variable and upper and lower endpoint of 95% confidence interval.

M	Mean	Variance	Lower endpoiont	Upper endpoint
$10^2$	2.013587	0.2133722	1.923512	2.103662
$10^3$	2.004278	0.1839995	1.977826	2.030729
$10^4$	2.005147	0.1936897	1.996565	2.013729
$10^5$	1.999698	0.1940106	1.996982	2.002414

### Results :-

1. The theoretical mean is 2.
2. The empirical mean tends to 2 as number of iterations increase.
3. 95% confidence interval for  $10^5$  is (1.996982, 2.002414).

Q 2 Repeat the above exercise using antithetic variates via the following estimator and calculate the percentage of variance reduction:

$$I_M = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M Y_i$$

where  $Y_i = \frac{\exp(\sqrt{U_i}) + \exp(\sqrt{1-U_i})}{2}$   
 with  $U_i \sim u[0, 1]$

**Solution:** R code:

```

1 m<-vector("numeric")
2 m[1]=10^2
3 m[2]=10^3
4 m[3]=10^4
5 m[4]=10^5
6 for(i in 1:4)
7 {
8   u<-runif(m[i])
9   Y<-vector("numeric")
10  for(j in 1:m[i])
11  {
12    Y[j]=exp(sqrt(u[j]))
13  }
14  I_y=sum(Y)/m[i]
15  var_y=var(Y)
16  Z<-vector("numeric")
17  for(j in 1:m[i])
18  {
19    Z[j]=(exp(sqrt(u[j]))+exp(sqrt(1-u[j])))/2
20  }
21  I_z=sum(Z)/m[i]
22  var_z=var(Z)
23  min=I_z-(1.95*sqrt(var_z)/sqrt(m[i]))
24  max=I_z+(1.95*sqrt(var_z)/sqrt(m[i]))
25  cat("\nI_",m[i]," = ",I_z,"\n")
26  cat("var_",m[i]," = ",var_z,"\n")
27  cat("min_",m[i]," = ",min,"\n")
28  cat("max_",m[i]," = ",max,"\n")
29  delta=(var_y-var_z)/var_y*100
30  cat("Percentage of variance reduction for ",m[i]," random numbers = ",
31    delta,"\n")
31 }
```

### Observations :-

The result is given as the following table with columns M, mean of random variable, variance of random variable and upper and lower endpoint of 95% confidence interval and variance reduction percentage.

M	Mean	Variance	Lower endpoiont	Upper endpoint	Variance Reduction (%age)
$10^2$	1.99814	0.001078075	1.991738	2.004543	99.48891
$10^3$	1.998315	0.001081175	1.996287	2.000342	99.47121
$10^4$	1.999851	0.001102568	1.999204	2.000499	99.4355
$10^5$	2.00008	0.001068032	1.999878	2.000281	99.45017

### Results :-

1. The theoretical mean is 2.
2. The empirical mean tends to 2 as number of iterations increase.
3. 95% confidence interval for  $10^5$  is (1.999878, 2.000281).
4. The variance reduction for  $10^5$  iterations is 99.45017% which is desirable.

Q 3 Use  $\sqrt{U}$  to construct control variate estimate and repeat the above exercise. Calculate the percentage of variance reduction.

**Solution:** R code: Using  $\sqrt{U}$  as control variate estimate

```

1 m<-vector("numeric")
2 m[1]=10^2
3 m[2]=10^3
4 m[3]=10^4
5 m[4]=10^5
6 for(i in 1:4)
7 {
8   u<-runif(m[i])
9   Y<-vector("numeric")
10  for(j in 1:m[i])
11  {
12    Y[j]=exp(sqrt(u[j]))
13  }
14  I_y=sum(Y)/m[i]
15  var_y=var(Y)
16
17  v<-runif(m[i])
18  Z<-vector("numeric")
19  for(j in 1:m[i])
20  {
21    Z[j]=sqrt(u[j])
22  }
23  I_z=sum(Z)/m[i]
24  var_z=var(Z)
25  c<-(-1)*cov(Y,Z)/var(Z)
26
27  W<-vector("numeric")
28  for(j in 1:m[i])
29  {
30    W[j]=Y[j]+(c*(Z[j]-I_z))
31  }
32  I_w=sum(W)/m[i]
33  var_w=var(W)
34
35  min=I_z-(1.95*sqrt(var(W))/sqrt(m[i]))
36  max=I_z+(1.95*sqrt(var(W))/sqrt(m[i]))
37
38  cat("\nI_ ",m[i], " = ", I_w, "\n")
39  cat("var_ ",m[i], " = ", var_w, "\n")
40  cat("min_ ",m[i], " = ", min, "\n")
41  cat("max_ ",m[i], " = ", max, "\n")
42  delta=(var_y-var_w)/var_y*100

```

```

43     cat("Percentage of variance reduction for ",m[i]," random numbers = "
44     ,delta ,"\n")

```

### Observations :-

#### Using $\sqrt{U}$ as control variate estimate

The result is given as the following table with columns M,mean of random variable, variance of random variable and upper and lower endpoint of 95% confidence interval and variance reduction percentage

M	Mean	Variance	Lower endpoimt	Upper endpoint	Variance Reduction (%age)
$10^2$	1.877849	0.002499348	0.5927332	0.6122307	98.70001
$10^3$	1.97944	0.002635716	0.6537804	0.660112	98.59785
$10^4$	2.004715	0.002702267	0.6682152	0.6702425	98.60314
$10^5$	2.003103	0.002697464	0.6679026	0.6685431	98.61584

### Results :-

1. The theoretical mean is 2.
2. The empirical mean tends to 2 as number of iterations increase.
3. 95% confidence interval for  $10^5$  using  $\sqrt{U}$  is (0.6679026,0.6685431).
5. The variance reduction is significantly less than antithetic method.