Quantum Query Complexity

PCMI Graduate Summer School 2023

Instructor: Yassine Hamoudi. Teaching assistant: Angelos Pelecanos. Course page: https://yassine-hamoudi.github.io/pcmi2023/

Problem Session 4

The adversary method and its dual

Problem 1 (Simplifying the dual adversary)

Recall the dual formulation of the adversary method:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{Adv}(f) = \min_{\{w^{(x,i)}\}} & \max_{x} \sum_{i} \|w^{(x,i)}\|^{2} \\ \mathrm{s.t.} & \sum_{i: x_{i} \neq y_{i}} \langle w^{(x,i)} | w^{(y,i)} \rangle = \mathbf{1}_{f(x) \neq f(y)} \quad \forall x, y \end{array}$$

The goal of this problem is to show that the above program is equivalent to the next one (which may come in handy in Problems 3 and 4):

$$Adv^{\star}(f) = \min_{\{w^{(x,i)}\}} \quad \sqrt{C_0 C_1}$$
s.t.
$$C_0 = \max_{x:f(x)=0} \sum_i ||w^{(x,i)}||^2$$

$$C_1 = \max_{x:f(x)=1} \sum_i ||w^{(x,i)}||^2$$

$$\sum_{i:x_i \neq y_i} \langle w^{(x,i)} | w^{(y,i)} \rangle = 1 \qquad \forall x, y, \ f(x) \neq f(y)$$

Question 1. Show that $Adv^*(f) \leq Adv(f)$.

Question 2. Let $\{w^{(x,i)}\}$ be a feasible solution to the first program. Define $C_0 = \max_{x:f(x)=0} \sum_i \|w^{(x,i)}\|^2$ and $C_1 = \max_{x:f(x)=1} \sum_i \|w^{(x,i)}\|^2$ (the solution has value $\max\{C_0, C_1\}$). Show that there exists another feasible solution to the same program of value $\sqrt{C_0C_1}$.

Question 3. Let $\{w^{(x,i)}\}$ be a feasible solution to the second program. Define $|v^{(x,i)}\rangle = |w^{(x,i)}\rangle|x_i \oplus f(x)\rangle$. Show that it satisfies $\sum_{i:x_i \neq y_i} \langle v^{(x,i)}|v^{(y,i)}\rangle = \mathbf{1}_{f(x)\neq f(y)}$ for all x,y.

Question 4. Conclude that $Adv^*(f) = Adv(f)$.

Problem 2 (Connectivity)

Consider the function Connectivity: $\{0,1\}^{\binom{n}{2}} \to \{0,1\}$ whose quantum query complexity was shown to be $\Omega(n^{3/2})$ in the last problem session. The goal of the next questions is to give a matching upper bound by constructing a feasible solution to the dual adversary program.

Define \mathcal{G}_0 as the set of input graphs consisting of exactly two connected components and \mathcal{G}_1 as the set of inputs consisting of *n*-cycle graphs. For each edge query $\{i,j\} \in \binom{n}{2}$, define the vector $|w^{(x,\{i,j\})}\rangle \in \operatorname{span}\{|k\rangle : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ as follows.

If $x \in \mathcal{G}_0$ then:

$$|w^{(x,\{i,j\})}\rangle = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if i and j are in the same connected component of the graph x} \\ |i\rangle - |j\rangle & \text{if 1 and i are in the same connected component, but not i and j} \\ |j\rangle - |i\rangle & \text{if 1 and j are in the same connected component, but not i and j} \end{array} \right.$$

If $x \in \mathcal{G}_1$ then fix any orientation of the cycle and set

$$|w^{(x,\{i,j\})}\rangle = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } \{i,j\} \text{ is not an edge of the graph } x \\ |i\rangle & \text{if } \{i,j\} \text{ is an edge and its orientation is } i \to j \\ |j\rangle & \text{if } \{i,j\} \text{ is an edge and its orientation is } j \to i \end{array} \right.$$

Question 1. Show that for all $x \in \mathcal{G}_0$, $y \in \mathcal{G}_1$ we have $\sum_{\{i,j\}:x_{\{i,j\}}\neq y_{\{i,j\}}} \langle w^{(x,\{i,j\})} | w^{(y,\{i,j\})} \rangle = 0$.

Question 2. Modify the above construction such that it becomes a feasible solution to the dual adversary and show that $Q(\text{Connectivity}) = O(n^{3/2})$.

The above algorithm uses only $O(\log n)$ qubits of memory¹. This is in contrast to an older quantum algorithm² that required $O(n \log n)$ space. This result can be adapted to other graph problems, such as st-Connectivity.

Problem 3 (Composition)

Given two functions $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ and $g: \{0,1\}^m \to \{0,1\}$, define their composition $f \bullet g: \{0,1\}^{n \times m} \to \{0,1\}$ as $f \bullet g(X) = f(g(X_{1,1},\ldots,X_{1,m}),\ldots,g(X_{n,1},\ldots,X_{n,m}))$. A striking property of the adversary method is that $Adv(f \bullet g) = Adv(f)Adv(g)$. This problem studies some parts of the proof of this result.

Question 1. Show that $Adv(f \bullet g) \leq Adv(f)Adv(g)$.

 $\label{eq:hint:matter} \textit{Hint:} \ \ \text{Take any dual adversary solutions} \ \ \{w_f^{(x,i)}\} \ \ \text{and} \ \ \{w_g^{(x,j)}\} \ \ \text{for} \ \ f \ \ \text{and} \ \ g \ \ \text{respectively, and}$ $\ \ \text{consider} \ \ |w_f^{(X,(i,j)}\rangle = |w_f^{(((g(X_1),\ldots,g(X_n)),i)}\rangle |w_g^{(X_i,j)}\rangle.$

Question 2. Suppose that f is the OR function. Show that $Adv(f \bullet g) \ge \sqrt{n} \cdot Adv(g)$.

Hint: Start from a primal adversary solution Γ for g and construct a primal adversary solution for $f \bullet g$.

¹ "Span Programs and Quantum Algorithms for st-Connectivity and Claw Detection". A. Belovs and B. Reichardt. *Proc. of ESA*, 2012.

² "Quantum Query Complexity of Some Graph Problems". C. Dürr, M. Heiligman, P. Høyer, M. Mhalla. SICOMP, 2006.