

Proof sketches

1 Lecture 1

Lemma 1.1. $\| |\psi_0^0\rangle - |\psi_i^0\rangle \| = 0$

Proof. $|\psi_0^0\rangle = |\psi_i^0\rangle = U_0|0, 0\rangle$ □

Lemma 1.2. $\| |\psi_0^T\rangle - |\psi_i^T\rangle \| \geq 1/3$ if the algorithm succeeds w.p. $\geq 2/3$ after T queries

Proof. Success conditions: $\|(\text{Id} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|)|\psi_0^T\rangle\|^2 \geq 2/3$ and $\|(\text{Id} \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1|)|\psi_i^T\rangle\|^2 \geq 2/3$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \| |\psi_0^T\rangle - |\psi_i^T\rangle \|^2 &= 2(1 - \text{Re}(\langle \psi_0^T | \psi_i^T \rangle)) \\
 &= 2(1 - \text{Re}(\langle \psi_0^T | (\text{Id} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|) |\psi_i^T\rangle) - \text{Re}(\langle \psi_0^T | (\text{Id} \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1|) |\psi_i^T\rangle)) \\
 &\geq 2(1 - \|(\text{Id} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|)\psi_0^T\| \cdot \|(\text{Id} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|)\psi_i^T\| - \|(\text{Id} \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1|)\psi_0^T\| \cdot \|(\text{Id} \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1|)\psi_i^T\|) \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality} \\
 &\geq 2(1 - 2\sqrt{2}/3) \hspace{15em} \text{by success conditions} \\
 &\geq 1/9
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1.3. $\| |\psi_0^{t+1}\rangle - |\psi_i^{t+1}\rangle \| \leq \| |\psi_0^t\rangle - |\psi_i^t\rangle \| + \sqrt{q_i^t}$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \| |\psi_0^{t+1}\rangle - |\psi_i^{t+1}\rangle \| &= \| U_{t+1}|\psi_0^t\rangle - U_{t+1}O_{\vec{i}}|\psi_i^t\rangle \| && \text{by definition and } O_{\vec{0}} = \text{Id} \\
 &= \| |\psi_0^t\rangle - O_{\vec{i}}|\psi_i^t\rangle \| && \text{unitary preserves norm} \\
 &= \| O_{\vec{i}}(|\psi_0^t\rangle - |\psi_i^t\rangle) + (\text{Id} - O_{\vec{i}})|\psi_0^t\rangle \| \\
 &\leq \| O_{\vec{i}}(|\psi_0^t\rangle - |\psi_i^t\rangle) \| + \| (\text{Id} - O_{\vec{i}})|\psi_0^t\rangle \| && \text{by triangle inequality} \\
 &= \| |\psi_0^t\rangle - |\psi_i^t\rangle \| + \| (\text{Id} - O_{\vec{i}})|\psi_0^t\rangle \|
 \end{aligned}$$

We have $\text{Id} - O_{\vec{i}} = |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes (\text{Id} - X)$ where $X = |1\rangle\langle 0| + |0\rangle\langle 1|$. Hence, $\|(\text{Id} - O_{\vec{i}})|\psi_0^t\rangle\| = \|(|i\rangle\langle i| \otimes (\text{Id} - X))|\psi_0^t\rangle\| \leq 2\|(|i\rangle\langle i| \otimes \text{Id})|\psi_0^t\rangle\| = \sqrt{q_i^t}$, where we used that $\|\text{Id} \otimes (\text{Id} - X)\| \leq 2$. □

Theorem 1.4. $Q(\text{OR}) \geq \sqrt{n}/3$

Proof. $n/3 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=0}^T \sqrt{q_i^t} \leq \sqrt{nT \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=0}^T q_i^t} = \sqrt{nT} \Rightarrow T \geq \sqrt{n}/3$. □

2 Lecture 2

Proposition 2.1. Fix a quantum algorithm making T queries. Let $p(x) \in [0, 1]$ denote the probability that it outputs 1 on input x . Then $\deg(p) \leq 2T$.

Proof. By induction on T : for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $\langle i, b | \psi_x^T \rangle$ is a polynomial in x of degree $\leq T$.

For $T = 0$, $|\psi_x^0\rangle = U_0|0, 0\rangle$. Hence, $\langle i, b | \psi_x^0 \rangle$ is independent from x .

For $T + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle i, b | \psi_x^{T+1} \rangle &= \langle i, b | U_{T+1} O_x | \psi_x^T \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,c} \alpha_{j,c} \langle j, c | O_x | \psi_x^T \rangle \quad \text{where we define } \sum_{j,c} \alpha_{j,c}^\dagger |j, c\rangle = U_{T+1}^\dagger |i, b\rangle \text{ (indep. from } x) \\ &= \sum_{j,c} \alpha_{j,c} ((1 - x_j) \langle j, c | \psi_x^T \rangle + x_j \langle j, c \oplus 1 | \psi_x^T \rangle) \\ &\quad \text{since } O_x |j, c\rangle = |j, c \oplus x_j\rangle = (1 - x_j) |j, c\rangle + x_j |j, c \oplus 1\rangle \end{aligned}$$

The proposition follows since $p(x) = \|(\text{Id} \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1|) |\psi_x^T|\|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\langle i, 1 | \psi_x^T \rangle|^2$. \square

Theorem 2.2. $Q(f) = \widetilde{\deg}(f)/2$

Proof. Suppose a quantum algorithm computes f with probability $\geq 2/3$ and makes T queries. Let $p(x)$ denote the probability that it outputs 1 on input x . Then:

1. $\deg(p) \leq 2T$ by Proposition 2.1
2. $p(x) \geq 2/3$ when $f(x) = 1$ and $p(x) \leq 1/3$ when $f(x) = 0$, by success condition

In particular, $|p(x) - f(x)| \leq 1/3$ for all x . Hence, $\widetilde{\deg}(f) \leq \deg(p) \leq 2T$. \square

Lemma 2.3. P_{sym} is a polynomial in k and $\deg(P_{\text{sym}}) \leq \deg(P)$.

Proof. Let $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and consider the monomial $x_S = \prod_{i \in S} x_i$.

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim B_k}[x_S] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < |S| \\ \frac{\binom{n-|S|}{k-|S|}}{\binom{n}{k}} = \frac{k(k-1)\dots(k-|S|+1)}{n(n-1)\dots(n-|S|+1)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This is a polynomial in k of degree $\leq |S|$. \square

Lemma 2.4. $P_{\text{sym}}(0) \in [0, 1/3]$ and $P_{\text{sym}}(k) \in [2/3, 1]$ for $k \geq 1$.

Proof. $P(x) \in [0, 1/3]$ for all $x \in B_0$ hence $P_{\text{sym}}(0) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim B_0}[P(x)] \in [0, 1/3]$.

Similarly, $P(x) \in [2/3, 1]$ for all $x \in B_k$, $k \geq 1$. \square

Lemma 2.5. $\sum_x \phi(x) \cdot P(x) = 0$, $\forall P, \deg(P) < d \Leftrightarrow \phi$ has no monomial of degree $< d$.

Proof. For any two subsets $S, T \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\sum_{x \in \{-1, 1\}^n} x_S x_T = \sum_{x \in \{-1, 1\}^n} x_{S \cap T}^2 x_{T \setminus S} x_{S \setminus T} = \sum_{x \in \{-1, 1\}^n} x_{T \setminus S} x_{S \setminus T} = 2^n \cdot \mathbf{1}_{S=T}$. \square