MATH 356 - FALL 2016 - HOMEWORK 5 SOLUTION

This homework set is on Conditional Expectation, Different Modes of Convergence, Law of Large Number and Central Limit Theorem. It's due on Nov. 24th in class. Late homework will not be accepted.

Problem 1. (10pts) Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{P})$, let X and Y be two independent random variables on Ω with Poisson distributions $P(\lambda_1)$ and $P(\lambda_2)$ respectively $(\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0)$.

(i) (6pts) For $n=0,1,2,\cdots$, determine the conditional PMF of X given X+Y=n, i.e., determine

$$\mathbb{P}(X = k | X + Y = n)$$
 for every $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

What kind of distribution is this conditional distribution?

Solution: We know that X + Y is a Poisson $P(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ random variable. For every k = 0, 1, ..., n,

$$\mathbb{P}(X = k | X + Y = n) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = k, X + Y = n)}{\mathbb{P}(X + Y = n)}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = k, Y = n - k)}{\mathbb{P}(X + Y = n)}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = k) \cdot \mathbb{P}(Y = n - k)}{\mathbb{P}(X + Y = n)}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\lambda_1} \frac{\lambda_1^k}{k!} \cdot e^{-\lambda_2} \frac{\lambda_2^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}}{e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \frac{\lambda_1^{n-k}}{n!}}$$

$$= \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\right)^k \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\right)^{n-k}.$$

So this distribution is a binomial $B\left(n, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\right)$ random variable.

(ii) (4pts) Determine $\mathbb{E}\left[X|X+Y\right]$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{X+1}|X+Y\right]$.

Solution: Since the expectation of a binomial B(n, p) is np, $\mathbb{E}[X|X+Y] = \frac{n\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$. By the result of Problem 3 of the midterm Version A (Problem 4 of Version B),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{X+1}|X+Y\right] = \frac{1 - \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\right)^{n+1}}{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}(n+1)} = \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^{n+1} - \lambda_2^{n+1}}{\lambda_1 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^n (n+1)}.$$

Problem 2. (10pts) Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{P})$, let $(X, Y) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a random variable (discrete or continuous). Assume that $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$, and for every $\omega \in \Omega$, the conditional expectations $\mathbb{E}[X^2|Y](\omega)$ and $\mathbb{E}[X|Y](\omega)$ both exist. Then, one can define the *conditional variance of* X *given* Y to be the random variable

$$\operatorname{Var}(X|Y):\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$$

such that

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega, \text{ Var } (X|Y)(\omega) = \mathbb{E} [X^2|Y](\omega) - [\mathbb{E} [X|Y](\omega)]^2.$$

In other words,

$$\operatorname{Var}(X|Y) = \mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}|Y\right] - \left(\mathbb{E}\left[X|Y\right]\right)^{2}.$$

Show that

$$Var(X) = Var(\mathbb{E}[X|Y]) + \mathbb{E}[Var(X|Y)].$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X|Y\right]\right) + \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{Var}\left(X|Y\right)\right] &= & \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X|Y\right]\right)^2\right] - \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[X|Y\right]\right]\right)^2 \\ &= & \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[X^2|Y\right]\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X|Y\right]\right)^2\right] \\ &= & \mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right] - \left(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]\right)^2 = \operatorname{Var}\left(X\right). \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3. (10pts) Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{P})$, let $\{X_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and N be random variables on Ω , where X_i 's are i.i.d. (independent and identically distributed) $N(m, \sigma^2)$ random variables (with $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma^2 > 0$) and N is a $P(\lambda)$ (with $\lambda > 0$) random variable. Further assume that X_i 's are independent of N. For each $n \geq 1$, set $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, and define the random variable $S_N : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega, \ S_N(\omega) = S_n(\omega) \text{ if } N(\omega) = n.$$

Then, recall from the example discussed in class that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[S_N|N\right] = mN.$$

(i) (5pts) Use the definition of conditional variance provided in Problem 2 to compute $\operatorname{Var}(S_N|N)$.

Solution: As we have already seen in class that, the conditional distribution of S_N given N=n is a Gaussian $N\left(nm,n\sigma^2\right)$ random variable, so

$$\mathbb{E}\left[S_N^2|N\right] = (Nm)^2 + N\sigma^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Var}(S_N|N) = \mathbb{E}\left[S_N^2|N\right] - \left(\mathbb{E}\left[S_N|N\right]\right)^2$$
$$= (Nm)^2 + N\sigma^2 - (Nm)^2$$
$$= N\sigma^2.$$

(ii) (5pts) Use the result of Problem 2 to compute $Var(S_N)$.

Solution:

$$Var(S_N) = Var(\mathbb{E}[S_N|N]) + \mathbb{E}[Var(S_N|N)]$$

$$= Var(mN) + \mathbb{E}[N\sigma^2]$$

$$= m^2 Var(N) + \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}[N]$$

$$= m^2 \lambda + \sigma^2 \lambda$$

Problem 4. (10pts) Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{P})$, let $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. Gaussian N(0,1) random variables on Ω . Denote $S_n := \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$ for each $n \geq 1$.

(i) (4pts) Set $T_n := \frac{S_n}{n}$. Determine, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $F_{T_n}(x)$, the DF of T_n . Does $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{T_n}(x)$ exists? If so, is the limit a DF? If so, to what distribution does it belong? **Solution:** T_n has $N\left(0,\frac{1}{n}\right)$ distribution, so

$$F_{T_n}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{nt^2}{2}} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{n}x} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds$$

$$\begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

The limit itself is not a DF. But if X is the Dirac random variable at 0, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}F_{T_{n}}\left(x\right)=F_{X}\left(x\right)\quad\forall\text{ continuous point }x\text{ of }F_{X}.$$

So, $T_n \to X$ in distribution.

(ii) (3pts) Set $R_n := \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}$. Determine, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $F_{R_n}(x)$, the DF of R_n . Does $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{R_n}(x)$ exists? If so, is the limit a DF? If so, to what distribution does it belong?

Solution: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, R_n is an N(0,1) random variable. So the limit of $F_{R_n}(x)$ certainly exists and the limit is the DF of the N(0,1) distribution.

(iii) (3pts) Determine the MGFs of T_n and R_n , i.e, $M_{T_n}(s)$ and $M_{R_n}(s)$ whenever they exist, and study $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_{T_n}(s)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_{R_n}$. Do they lead to the same conclusion as you found in (i) and (ii)?

Solution: For every $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $M_{T_n}(s) = e^{\frac{s^2}{2n}} \to 1$, and the MGF of X the Dirac random variable at 0 is constantly 1, so $T_n \to X$ in distribution. For every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $M_{R_n}(s) = e^{\frac{s^2}{2}}$, which again certainly implies that R_n converges to an N(0,1) random variable in distribution.

Problem 5. (10pts) Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{P})$, let $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of random variables on Ω . Assume that $X_n \to c$ in distribution for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that $X_n \to c$ in probability.

Proof: $X_n \to c$ in distribution, so $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{c\}$,

$$F_{X_n}(x) \to \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x < c, \\ 1, & \text{if } x > c. \end{cases}$$

For every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - c| > \epsilon) = \mathbb{P}(X_n > c + \epsilon) + \mathbb{P}(X < c - \epsilon)$$

$$\leq 1 - F_{X_n}(c + \epsilon) + F_{X_n}(c - \epsilon)$$

$$\to 1 - 1 + 0 = 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Problem 6. (10pts) Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{P})$, let $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of random variables on Ω . Assume that $X_n \to c$ in probability for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be any continuous and bounded function.

(i) (5pts) Show that $g(X_n) \to g(c)$ in probability.

Proof: Fix arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, because g is continuous at c, $\exists \delta > 0$, such that

$$|g(y) - g(c)| \le \epsilon$$
 whenever $|y - c| \le \delta$.

Therefore, if $|g(X_n) - g(c)| > \epsilon$, then it must be that $|X_n - c| > \delta$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|g\left(X_{n}\right)-g\left(c\right)\right|>\epsilon\right)\leq\mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{n}-c\right|>\delta\right)\to0.$$

So $X_n \to c$ in probability.

Remark: As one can see from this proof, in order for the conclusion to hold, one only needs g to be continuous at c (no need for g being continuous everywhere and bounded).

(ii) (5pts) Show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_n\right)\right] = g\left(c\right)$.

Proof: Since g is bounded, $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |g(x)| := A < \infty$. For arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, since $g(X_n) \to g(c)$ in probability as shown in (i), when n is sufficiently large, $\mathbb{P}(|g(X_n) - g(c)| > \epsilon) \le \frac{\epsilon}{2A}$. Therefore,

$$|\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{n}\right) - g\left(c\right)\right]| \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(X_{n}\right) - g\left(c\right)\right| \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\left|g\left(X_{n}\right) - g\left(c\right)\right| \leq \epsilon\right\}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left|g\left(X_{n}\right) - g\left(c\right)\right| \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\left|g\left(X_{n}\right) - g\left(c\right)\right| > \epsilon\right\}}\right]$$

$$\leq \epsilon + 2A\mathbb{P}\left(\left|g\left(X_{n}\right) - g\left(c\right)\right| > \epsilon\right)$$

$$\leq \epsilon + 2A\frac{\epsilon}{2A} = 2\epsilon.$$

This is sufficient to imply that $\mathbb{E}[g(X_n)]$ tends to g(c) as $n \to \infty$.

Problem 7. (10pts) Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{P})$, let $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables on Ω with the common distribution being the uniform distribution on (0,1). Set

$$Z_n := \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^{1/n}$$
 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Show that $Z_n \to a$ in probability for some constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and determine the value of a. **Proof:** Set $Y_i := \ln X_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{Y_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is i.i.d. random variables with

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y_1\right] = \int_0^1 \ln x dx = -1.$$

Applying the WLLN to $\{Y_i\}$ leads to

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i}{n} \to -1$$
 in probability.

By the result of Problem 6 (i) (by taking the function g to be $g(x) = e^x$), we have that

$$Z_n = e^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{n}} \to e^{-1}$$
 in probability.

So a = 1/e.

Problem 8. (10pts) Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{P})$, let $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables on Ω with finite second moment, i.e., $\mathbb{E}\left[X_1^2\right] = a^2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $\mathbb{E}\left[X_1\right] = m$. For each $n \geq 1$, set

$$T_n := \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^n iX_i.$$

Show that $T_n \to m$ in probability.

Proof: First notice that

$$\mathbb{E}[T_n] = \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^n im = m.$$

$$\text{Var}(T_n) = \left(\frac{2}{n(n+1)}\right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 \text{Var}(X_1)$$

$$= \frac{4 \sum_{i=1}^n i^2}{n^2 (n+1)^2} (a^2 - m^2).$$

So, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{n}-m\right|>\epsilon\right) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(T_{n}\right)}{\epsilon^{2}} = \frac{4\left(a^{2}-m^{2}\right)}{\epsilon^{2}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}i^{2}}{n^{2}\left(n+1\right)^{2}} = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

which leads to that $T_n \to m$ in probability.

Problem 9. (10pts) Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{P})$, let $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables on Ω with $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Var}(X_1) = \sigma^2 > 0$, and $\{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be another sequence of i.i.d. random variables on Ω with $\mathbb{E}[Y_1] = \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ $(\mu \neq 0)$ and $\text{Var}(Y_1) = \gamma^2 > 0$. For each $n \geq 1$, set $\overline{S_n} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, and $\overline{T_n} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j$, and finally

$$Z_n := \frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\overline{S_n} - m \right)}{\overline{T_n}}.$$

Apply the WLLN and the CLT (Central Limit Theorem) to show that $Z_n \to Z$ in distribution for some random variable Z, and determine the distribution of Z. **Proof:** First, by the WLLN, we know that

$$\overline{T_n} \to \mu$$
 in probability

and hence

$$\frac{1}{\overline{T_n}} \to \frac{1}{\mu}$$
 in probability

On the other hand, by the CLT

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{S_n} - m\right)}{\sigma} = \frac{S_n - mn}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \to Y \text{ in distribution}$$

where Y is an N(0,1) random variable. So, by Slutsky's theorem,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\overline{S_n}-m\right)}{\sigma}\frac{1}{\overline{T_n}} \to \frac{Y}{\mu}$$
 in distribution.

Finally,

$$Z_n = \sigma \frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\overline{S_n} - m\right)}{\sigma} \frac{1}{\overline{T_n}} \to Z = \frac{\sigma}{\mu} Y$$
 in distribution

where Z is an $N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2}\right)$ random variable.

Problem 10. (10pts) Apply the CLT to i.i.d. Poisson random variables to show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{-nt} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(nt)^k}{k!} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t > 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } t = 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } 0 < t < 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let t > 0 be fixed. Let $\{X_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be i.i.d. Poisson P(t) random variables, $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then S_n is a P(nt) random variable and hence

$$e^{-nt} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(nt)^k}{k!} = \mathbb{P}\left(S_n \le n\right).$$

By the CLT, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n - nt}{\sqrt{nt}} \le x\right) \to \Phi\left(x\right)$$

where Φ the DF of the N(0,1) distribution. Therefore, when n is sufficiently large,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} \leq n\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_{n} - nt}{\sqrt{nt}} \leq \frac{n\left(1 - t\right)}{\sqrt{nt}}\right) \approx \Phi\left(\frac{n\left(1 - t\right)}{\sqrt{nt}}\right) = \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(1 - t\right)}{\sqrt{t}}\right).$$

When t > 1, $\sqrt{n} (1-t) \to -\infty$ and hence $\Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(1-t)}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \to 0$; when t = 1, $\Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(1-t)}{\sqrt{t}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}$ for all n; when 0 < t < 1, $\sqrt{n} (1-t) \to +\infty$ and hence $\Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(1-t)}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \to 1$. Thus we have proven the desired conclusion.