

Math 318: Assignment 5 Solutions

Problem 1

Let s be the truth assignment $s(p) = 1$, $s(q) = 1$, $s(r) = 0$. Then we have $\tilde{s}(p \wedge q) = 1$, $\tilde{s}((\neg p) \vee q) = 1$ and $\tilde{s}(p \vee r) = 1$. However, since $\tilde{s}(r) = 0$ as well, we have $\Gamma \not\models r$. Thus by the soundness theorem, we have $\Gamma \not\vdash r$. \square

Problem 2

Since $(\neg p) \wedge q = \neg(p \vee \neg q) = \neg(q \rightarrow p)$, we have that for any truth assignment s , $\tilde{s}(q \rightarrow p) \neq \tilde{s}((\neg p) \wedge q)$. Thus Γ is not satisfiable, so by the completeness theorem, Γ is inconsistent. Thus Γ proves anything, and in particular $\Gamma \vdash p$. \square

Formal deduction preamble

Recall from class that we have the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. $\vdash \phi \rightarrow \phi$ for every ϕ .

Lemma 2. $\{\phi\} \vdash \psi \rightarrow \phi$ for every ϕ and ψ .

We will also use the following:

Lemma 3. If $\Gamma \vdash \phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$, then $\Gamma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \chi)$.

Proof. A formal proof from Γ is as follows:

- | | | |
|-----|--|-----------------------|
| (1) | $(\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \chi))$ | (A2) |
| (2) | $\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$ | (given) |
| (3) | $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \chi)$ | (MP with (1) and (2)) |

\square

Below, when we reference a lemma or a previous problem, we really are inserting the formal proof.

Problem 3

A formal proof is as follows:

- | | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|
| (1) | $((\neg p) \rightarrow (\neg q)) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$ | (A3) |
| (2) | $((\neg p) \rightarrow (\neg q)) \rightarrow q \rightarrow ((\neg p) \rightarrow (\neg q)) \rightarrow p$ | (Lemma 3 with (1)) |

Problem 4

Part (a)

Let $\Gamma = \{\alpha \rightarrow \beta, \beta \rightarrow \gamma\}$. A formal proof from Γ is as follows:

- | | | |
|-----|--|---|
| (1) | $\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)$ | (Lemma 2 with $\beta \rightarrow \gamma \in \Gamma$) |
| (2) | $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)$ | (Lemma 3 with (1)) |
| (3) | $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ | ($\alpha \rightarrow \beta \in \Gamma$) |
| (4) | $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ | (MP with (2) and (3)) |

Part (b)

Let $\Gamma = \{\alpha \rightarrow \beta\}$. A formal proof from Γ is as follows:

- | | | |
|-----|--|---|
| (1) | $(\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))$ | (A1) |
| (2) | $(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)) \rightarrow ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$ | (A2) |
| (3) | $(\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$ | (Problem 4a with (1) and (2)) |
| (4) | $((\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)) \rightarrow ((\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$ | (Lemma 3 with (3)) |
| (5) | $(\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ | (Lemma 2 with $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \in \Gamma$) |
| (6) | $(\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)$ | (MP with (4) and (5)) |

Part (c)

This one is similar to the previous one. A formal proof is as follows:

- | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------------------|
| (1) | $(\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))$ | (A1) |
| (2) | $(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)) \rightarrow ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$ | (A2) |
| (3) | $(\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$ | (Problem 4a with (1) and (2)) |
| (4) | $((\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)) \rightarrow ((\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$ | (Lemma 3 with (3)) |
| (5) | $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow ((\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta))$ | (A1) |
| (6) | $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow ((\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$ | (Problem 4a with (4) and (5)) |

Problem 5

A formal proof is as follows:

- | | | |
|-----|---|-------------------------------|
| (1) | $\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow (\neg\neg\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\alpha)$ | (A1) |
| (2) | $(\neg\neg\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\alpha) \rightarrow (\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\neg\alpha)$ | (A3) |
| (3) | $\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow (\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\neg\alpha)$ | (Problem 4a with (1) and (2)) |
| (4) | $(\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\neg\alpha) \rightarrow (\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)$ | (A3) |
| (5) | $\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow (\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)$ | (Problem 4a with (3) and (4)) |
| (6) | $(\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\alpha) \rightarrow (\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)$ | (Lemma 3 with (5)) |
| (7) | $\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\alpha$ | (Lemma 1) |
| (8) | $\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ | (MP with (6) and (7)) |

Problem 6

A formal proof is as follows:

- | | | |
|-----|---|--------------------------------|
| (1) | $\neg\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\alpha$ | (Problem 5 with $\neg\alpha$) |
| (2) | $(\neg\neg\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\alpha) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\alpha)$ | (A3) |
| (3) | $\alpha \rightarrow \neg\neg\alpha$ | (MP with (1) and (2)) |