## Semiparametric Single Index Models

Yasuyuki Matsumura

November 27, 2024

Graduate School of Economics, Kyoto University

#### Introduction

A semiparametric single index model is given by

$$Y = g(X^T \beta_0) + u,$$

where

 $Y\in\mathbb{R}$ : a dependent variable,  $X\in\mathbb{R}^q: \text{a }q\times 1 \text{ explanatory vector,}$   $\beta_0\in\mathbb{R}^q: \text{a }q\times 1 \text{ vector of unknown parameters,}$   $u\in\mathbb{R}: \text{an error term which satisfies }\mathbb{E}(u\mid X)=0,$   $g(\cdot): \text{an unknown distribution function.}$ 

2

#### Introduction

- Even though x is a  $q \times 1$  vector,  $x^T \beta_0$  is a scalar of a single linear combination, which is called a single index.
- By the form of the single index model, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(Y \mid X) = g(X^T \beta_0),$$

which means that the conditional expectation of Y only depends on the vector X through a single index  $X^T\beta_0$ .

• The model is semiparametric when  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^q$  is estimated with the parametric methods and  $g(\cdot)$  with the nonparametric methods.

3

#### **Examples of Parametric Single Index Model**

• If  $g(\cdot)$  is the identity function, then the model turns out to be a linear regression model:

$$Y = g(X^T \beta_0) + u = X^T \beta_0 + u.$$

- If  $g(\cdot)$  is the CDF of Normal(0,1), then the model turns out to be a probit model.
  - See the textbook for further discussions on a probit model.
- If  $g(\cdot)$  is the CDF of logistic distribution, then the model turns out to be a logistic regression model.

#### **Identification Conditions**

#### **Identification Conditions**

Proposition 8.1 (Identification of a Single Index Model) -

For the semiparametric single index model  $Y=g(x^T\beta_0)+u$ , identification of  $\beta_0$  and  $g(\cdot)$  requires that

- (i) x should not contain a constant/an intercept, and must contain at least one continuous variable. Moreover,  $\|\beta_0\|=1$ .
- (ii)  $g(\cdot)$  is differentiable and is not a constant function on the support of  $x^T\beta_0$ .
- (iii) For the discrete components of x, varying the values of the discrete variables will not divide the support of  $x^T\beta_0$  into disjoint subsets.

#### Identification Condition (i)

- Note that the location and the scale of  $\beta_0$  are not identified.
- The vector x cannot include an intercept because the function  $g(\cdot)$  (which is to be estimated in nonparametric manners) includes any location and level shift.
  - That is,  $\beta_0$  cannot contain a location parameter.

#### Identification Condition (i)

- Some normalization criterion (scale restrictions) for  $\beta_0$  are needed.
  - One approach is to set  $\|\beta_0\|$ .
  - The second approach is to set one component of  $\beta_0$  to equal one. This approach requires that the variable corresponding to the component set to equal one is continuously distributed and has a non-zero coefficient.
  - Then, x must be dimension 2 or larger. If x is one-dimensional, then  $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^1$  is simply normalized to 1, and the model is the one-dimensional nonparametric regression  $E(Y \mid x) = g(x)$  with no semiparametric component.

## Identification Conditions (ii) and (iii)

- The function  $g(\cdot)$  cannot be a constant function and must be differentiable on the support of  $x^T \beta_0$ .
- x must contain at least one continuously distributed variable and this continuous variable must have non-zero coefficient.
  - If not,  $x^T\beta_0$  only takes a discrete set of values and it would be impossible to identify a continuous function  $g(\cdot)$  on this discrete support.

#### **Estimation: Ichimura's Method**

#### Ichimura's Method<sup>1</sup>

- Suppose that the functional form of  $g(\cdot)$  were known.
- Then we could estimate  $\beta_0$  by minimizing the least-squares criterion:

$$\sum_{i=1} \left[ Y_i - g(X_i^T \beta) \right]^2$$

with respect to  $\beta$ .

- We could think about replacing  $g(\cdot)$  with a nonparametric estimator  $\hat{g}(\cdot)$ .
- However, since g(z) is the conditional mean of  $Y_i$  given  $X_i^T\beta_0=z$ ,  $g(\cdot)$  depends on unknown  $\beta_0$ , so we cannot estimate  $g(\cdot)$  here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ichimura (1993).

• Nevertheless, for a fixed value of  $\beta$ , we can estimate

$$G(X_i^T\beta) := \mathbb{E}(Y_i \mid X_i^T\beta) = \mathbb{E}(g(X_i^T\beta) \mid X_i^T\beta).$$

- In general  $G(X_i^T \beta) \neq g(X_i^T \beta)$ .
- When  $\beta=\beta_0$ , it holds that  $G(X_i^T\beta_0)=g(X_i^T\beta_0).$

 $\bullet$  First, we estimate  $G(X_i^T\beta)$  with the leave-one-out NW estimator:

$$\hat{G}_{-i}(X_i^T \beta) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{-i}(Y_i \mid X_i^T \beta)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{j \neq i} Y_j K\left(\frac{X_j^T \beta - X_i^T \beta}{h}\right)}{\sum_{j \neq i} K\left(\frac{X_j^T \beta - X_i^T \beta}{h}\right)}.$$

• Second, using the leave-one-out NW estimator  $\hat{G}_{-i}(X_i^T\beta)$ , we estimate  $\beta$  with

$$\hat{\beta} := \arg\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ Y_i - \hat{G}_{-i}(X_i^T \beta) \right]^2 w(X_i) \mathbf{1}(X_i \in A_n)$$

$$:= \arg\min_{\beta} S_n(\beta),$$

which is called Ichimura's estimator (the WSLS estimator).

- $w(X_i)$  is a nonnegative weight function.
- $\mathbf{1}(X_i \in A_n)$  is a trimming function to trim out small values of  $\hat{p}(X_i^T\beta) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{j \neq i} K\left(\frac{X_j^T\beta X_i^T\beta}{h}\right)$ , so that we do not suffer the random denominator problem.
  - $A_{\delta} = \{x : p(x^T \beta) \ge \delta, \text{ for } \forall \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}.$
  - $A_n = \{x : ||x x^*|| \le 2h, \text{ for } \exists x^* \in A_\delta\}$ , which shrinks to  $A_\delta$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $h \to 0$ .

- Hardle, Hall and Ichimura (1993) suggest picking  $\beta$  and the bandwidth h jointly by minimization of  $S_n(\beta)$ .
- Further discussions on bandwidth selection follow in Section 8.4.

- Let  $\hat{\beta}$  denote the semiparametric estimator of  $\beta_0$  obtained from minimizing  $S_n(\beta)$ .
- To derive the asymptotic distribution of  $\hat{\beta}$ , the following conditions are needed:

Assumpttion 8.1

The set  $A_{\delta}$  is compact, and the weight function  $w(\cdot)$  is bounded and posotive on  $A_{\delta}$ . Define the set

$$D_z = \{z : z = x^T \beta, \beta \in \mathcal{B}, x \in A_\delta\}.$$

Letting  $p(\cdot)$  denote the PDF of  $z \in D_z$ ,  $p(\cdot)$  is bounded below by a positive constant for  $\forall z \in D_z$ 

#### Assumpttion 8.2

 $g(\cdot)$  and  $p(\cdot)$  are 3 times differentiable w.r.t.  $z=x^{\beta}$ . The third derivatives are Lipschitz continuous uniformly over  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $\forall z \in D_z$ .

Assumpution 8.3 -

The kernel function is a bounded second order kernel, which has bounded support; is twice differentiable; and its second derivative is Lipschitz continuous.

Assumpution 8.4 -

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(|Y^m|) < \infty \text{ for } ^\exists m \geq 3. \text{ var}(Y \mid x) \text{ is bounded and bounded} \\ \text{away from zero for } ^\forall x \in A_\delta. \ \frac{q \ln(h)}{nh^{3+\frac{3}{m-1}}} \to 0 \text{ and } nh^8 \to 0 \text{ as } \\ n \to \infty. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 8.1.** Under assumptions 8.1 through 8.4,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Normal}(0, \Omega_I),$$

with

$$\Omega_{I} = V^{-1} \Sigma V^{-1}, 
V = \mathbb{E}\{w(X_{i})(g_{i}^{(1)})^{2} 
\times (X_{i} - E_{A}(X_{i} \mid X_{i}^{T} \beta_{0}))(X_{i} - E_{A}(X_{i} \mid X_{i}^{T} \beta_{0}))^{T}\}, 
\Sigma = \mathbb{E}\{w(X_{i})\sigma^{2}(X_{i})(g_{i}^{(1)})^{2} 
\times (X_{i} - E_{A}(X_{i} \mid X_{i}^{T} \beta_{0}))(X_{i} - E_{A}(X_{i} \mid X_{i}^{T} \beta_{0}))^{T}\},$$

where

- $(g_i^{(1)}) = \frac{\partial g(v)}{\partial v} \mid_{v=X_i^T \beta_0}$ ,
- $\mathbb{E}_A(X_i \mid v) = \mathbb{E}(X_i \mid x_A^T \beta_0 = v),$
- $x_A$  has the distribution of  $X_i$  conditional on  $X_i \in A_{\delta}$ .

- See Ichimura (1993); and Hardle, Hall and Ichimura (1993) for the proof of **Theorem 8.1**.
  - Horowitz (2009) provides an excellent heuristic outline for proving Theorem 8.1, using only the familiar Taylor series methods, the standard LLN, and the Lindeberg-Levy CLT.
- We can consistently estimate Avar  $\left(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}-\beta)\right)=\Omega_I$  with its sample analogue.

#### **Proof of Theorem 8.1**

- The slides for the proof of Theorem 8.1 are associated with Horowitz (2009, Chapter 2).
- Recall that the least squares criterion is that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ Y_i - \hat{G}_{-i}(X_i^T \beta) \right]^2 w(X_i) \mathbf{1}(X_i \in A_n).$$

 Hardle, Hall and Ichimura (1993) show that this criterion is asymptotically equivalent to the following criterion:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ Y_i - \hat{G}_{-i}(X_i^T \beta) \right]^2 w(X_i),$$

which does not have the trimming function  $1(\cdot)$ .

#### **Proof of Theorem 8.1**

•

#### Optimal Weight under the Homoscedasticity Assumption

• We introduce the following homoscedasticity assumpution:

$$\mathbb{E}(u_i^2 \mid X_i) = \sigma^2.$$

- Under this assumptaion, the optimal choice of  $w(\cdot)$  is  $w(X_i) = 1$ .
- In this case,

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \hat{G}_{-i}(X_i^T \beta)^2) \mathbf{1}(X_i \in A_n)$$

is semiparametrically efficient in the sense that  $\Omega_I$  is the semiparametric variance lower bound (conditional on  $X \in A_{\delta}$ ).

### **Optimal Weight under Heteroscedasticity**

- In general,  $\mathbb{E}(u_i^2 \mid X_i) = \sigma^2(X_i)$ .
  - $\bullet$  The semiparametrically efficient estimator  $\hat{\beta}_{opt}$  has a complicated structure.
- An infeasible case: If one assues that  $\mathbb{E}(u_i^2 \mid X_i) = \sigma^2(X_i^T \beta_0)$ , that is, the conditional variance depends only on the single index  $X_i^T \beta_0$ , the choice of  $w(X_i) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2(X_i^T \beta_0)}$  can lead to a semiparametrically efficient estimation.

## Direct Semiparametric Estimators for $\beta$

**Bandwidth Selection** 

# Klein and Spady (1993)

Lewbel (2000)

Manski's (1975) Maximum Score

**Estimator** 

Horowitz's (1992) Smoothed

**Maximum Score Estimator** 

Han's (1987) Maximum Rank

**Estimator** 

**Multinomial Discrete Choice Models** 

Ai's (1997) Semiparametric

Maximum Likelihood Approach

## References

## References (1)

- Hardle, W, P. Hall and H. Ichimura (1993) "Optimal Smoothing in Single-Index Models," Annals of Statistics, 21, 157-178.
- Horowitz, J. L. (2009) Semiparametric and Nonparametric Methods in Econometrics, Springer.
- Ichimura, H. (1993) "Semiparametric Least Squares (SLS) and Weighted SLS Estimation of Single-Index Models," Journal of Econometrics, 3, 205-228.
- Li, Q. and J. S. Racine, (2007). *Nonparametric Econometrics:* Theory and Practice, Princeton University Press.
- 末石直也 (2024) 『データ駆動型回帰分析:計量経済学と機械学習の融合』日本評論社.
- 西山慶彦, 人見光太郎 (2023) 『ノン・セミパラメトリック 統計解析 (理論統計学教程: 数理統計の枠組み)』共立出版.

## References (2)

Useful references also include some lecture notes of the following topic courses:

- ECON 718 NonParametric Econometrics (Bruce Hansen, Spring 2009, University of Wisconsin-Madison),
- セミノンパラメトリック計量分析(末石直也, 2014 年度後期, 京都大学).