

MATH 73: Linear Algebra

Connor Neely, Spring 2023

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Vectors | 2 |
| 1.1 | The Geometry and Algebra of Vectors | 2 |
| 1.2 | The Dot Product | 3 |
| 2 | Systems of Linear Equations | 6 |
| 2.1 | Systems of Linear Equations | 6 |
| 2.2 | Solving Linear Systems | 6 |
| 2.3 | Spanning Sets and Linear Independence | 8 |
| 3 | Matrices | 10 |
| 3.1 | Matrix Operations | 10 |
| 3.2 | Matrix Algebra | 12 |
| 3.3 | The Inverse of a Matrix | 13 |
| 3.4 | Subspaces, Basis, Dimension, and Rank | 15 |
| 4 | Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors | 19 |
| 4.1 | Determinants | 19 |
| 4.2 | Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors | 21 |
| 4.3 | Similarity and Diagonalization | 22 |
| 5 | Orthogonality | 25 |
| 5.1 | Orthogonality in \mathbb{R}^n | 25 |
| 5.2 | Orthogonal Complements and Projections | 27 |
| 5.3 | The Gram-Schmidt Process and the QR Factorization | 28 |
| 6 | Vector Spaces | 29 |
| 6.1 | Vector Spaces and Subspaces | 29 |
| 6.2 | Linear Independence, Basis, and Dimension | 30 |
| 6.3 | Change of Basis | 32 |
| 6.4 | Linear Transformations | 33 |
| 6.5 | Kernel and Range | 34 |
| 6.6 | The Matrix of a Linear Transformation | 36 |
| 7 | Distance and Approximation | 38 |
| 7.1 | Inner Product Spaces | 38 |
| 7.2 | Least Squares Approximation | 39 |
| 7.3 | The Singular Value Decomposition | 40 |
| A | The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Algebra | 42 |

1 Vectors

1.1 The Geometry and Algebra of Vectors

One of the fundamental objects of linear algebra is the vector. Vectors can take a variety of forms; for now, we will consider the simplest type, defined below.

Definition: Vectors in \mathbb{R}^n

\mathbb{R}^n is the set of all ordered n -tuples of real numbers. These tuples are called vectors, and they are written in the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

A vector all of whose components are zero is called the zero vector $\mathbf{0}$.

Rather than considering vectors in two- or three-dimensional space, as was the case in previous courses, we now think of vectors as objects in n -dimensional space. However, we define addition and scalar multiplication in the same way.

Definition: Vector operations

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and let c be a scalar. Then the sum of \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is defined componentwise:

$$\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 + v_1 \\ u_2 + v_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n + v_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, scalar multiplication between c and \mathbf{v} is defined componentwise:

$$c\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} cv_1 \\ cv_2 \\ \vdots \\ cv_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since we've defined these two operations in familiar ways, it might not be surprising that many of their properties are also familiar.

Theorem 1.1: Algebraic properties of vectors

Let \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and let c and d be scalars. Then

- (a) $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$.
- (b) $(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w})$.
- (c) $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{u}$.
- (d) $\mathbf{u} + (-\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$.
- (e) $c(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = c\mathbf{u} + c\mathbf{v}$.

$$(f) (c + d)\mathbf{u} = c\mathbf{u} + d\mathbf{u}.$$

$$(g) c(d\mathbf{u}) = (cd)\mathbf{u}.$$

$$(h) 1\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}.$$

Familiar properties aside, there's one very important thing we can do with these operations. We can combine vectors in a very particular way to create a new vector.

Definition: Linear combination

A vector \mathbf{v} is a linear combination of vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ if there are scalars c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k such that

$$\mathbf{v} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k.$$

The scalars c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k are called the coefficients of the linear combination.

The notion of the linear combination leads us to some powerful results—we will investigate these later.

1.2 The Dot Product

We now define a new vector operation. This time, we'll take a pair of two vectors and associate it with a scalar in the way defined below.

Definition: Dot product

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . The dot product of \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is defined by

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = u_1v_1 + u_2v_2 + \dots + u_nv_n.$$

The dot product turns out to have some very natural properties, many of which are reminiscent of the multiplication of real numbers.

Theorem 1.2: Algebraic properties of the dot product

Let \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and let c be a scalar. Then

$$(a) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}.$$

$$(b) \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}.$$

$$(c) (c\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v} = c(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}).$$

$$(d) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ if and only if } \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}.$$

The real importance of the dot product is that it us to define things like length in higher dimensions.

Definition: Length

The length (or norm) of a vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^n is the nonnegative scalar $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ defined by

$$\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}} = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + \dots + v_n^2}.$$

Vectors that have a length of 1 are, in general, nice to work with. These vectors are especially nice when they describe the typical coordinate axes.

Definition: Unit vector

A vector of length 1 is called a unit vector. The standard unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^n are

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad \mathbf{e}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Given how natural the notion of length is, a couple of its basic properties might be expected.

Theorem 1.3: Properties of the norm

Let \mathbf{v} be a vector in \mathbb{R}^n and let c be a scalar. Then

- (a) $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.
- (b) $\|c\mathbf{v}\| = |c|\|\mathbf{v}\|$.

Now, we have a pair of perhaps less expected but still very important inequalities.

Theorem 1.4: Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

For all vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$|\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

Theorem 1.5: Triangle inequality

For all vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| + \|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

Moving along, not only does the dot product allow us to define the length of a vector, but it also allows us to define such other geometric concepts as the distance or angle between two vectors.

Definition: Distance

The distance between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^n is defined by

$$d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|.$$

Definition: Angle

For nonzero vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|}.$$

We might expect that two vectors are perpendicular to each other if the angle between them is $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Given how we've defined this angle, we can use the dot product to determine whether two vectors are perpendicular to each other.

Definition: Orthogonality

Two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are orthogonal (or perpendicular) to each other if $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$.

If we imagine that two orthogonal vectors are the two legs of a right triangle, we get a familiar result.

Theorem 1.6: Pythagorean theorem

For all vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2$$

if and only if \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are orthogonal.

Finally, we can imagine what happens when one vector \mathbf{v} casts a “shadow” onto another vector \mathbf{u} .

Definition: Projection onto a vector

If \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 and $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$, then the projection of \mathbf{v} onto \mathbf{u} is the vector defined by

$$\text{proj}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{v} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|^2} \right) \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|}.$$

2 Systems of Linear Equations

2.1 Systems of Linear Equations

We now turn our attention to systems of simultaneous linear equations. (Vectors will make a return later.) We must first define what, exactly, a linear equation is, and what it means to solve one.

Definition: Linear equation

A linear equation in the n variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is an equation that can be written in the form

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n = b$$

where the coefficients a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and the constant term b are constants. A solution of this equation is a vector $[s_1 \ s_2 \ \dots \ s_n]$ whose components satisfy the equation when we substitute $x_i = s_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Now that we've defined linear equations, we can define what a system of linear equations is.

Definition: System of linear equations

A system of linear equations (or a linear system) is a finite set of linear equations, each with the same variables. A solution of a linear system is a vector that is simultaneously a solution of each equation in the system; the solution set of a linear system is the set of all solutions of the system.

Finally, we establish some terminology regarding the solutions to a linear system.

Definition: Consistent system

A linear system is consistent if it has at least one solution. A system with no solutions is inconsistent.

2.2 Solving Linear Systems

Our general technique for solving systems of linear equations will be to gradually simplify the system to the point where the solution is easy to find. We first give a condition for this simplification.

Definition: Equivalent systems

Two linear systems are equivalent if they have the same solution set.

Now, when we're working with a linear system, all that really matters are the coefficients and constants of the linear system. Extracting these numbers and arranging them in a grid makes solution a bit less cumbersome. It also allows us to analyze linear systems in a more sophisticated way, which we'll see later.

Definition: Matrix of a linear system

A linear system's coefficient matrix contains the coefficients of the variables. A system's augmented matrix consists of its coefficient matrix, along with an extra column containing the system's constant terms.

There's a couple of ways we can define the "simplest" form of a system's matrix, coefficient or augmented.

The first of these is given below.

Definition: Row echelon form

A matrix is in row echelon form (REF) if it satisfies the following properties.

1. Any rows consisting entirely of zeros are at the bottom.
2. In each nonzero row, the first nonzero entry (called the leading entry) is in a column to the left of any leading entries below it.

Based on how each row of a system's matrix represents one of the equations in the system, we get a few rules governing how we can convert a matrix into a row echelon form.

Definition: Elementary row operations

The following elementary row operations (EROs) can be performed on a matrix.

1. Interchange two rows.
2. Multiply a row by a nonzero constant.
3. Add a multiple of a row to another row.

The process of applying elementary row operations to bring a matrix into REF is called row reduction.

These rules help us reason what it means for two matrices to represent equivalent systems.

Definition: Row equivalent matrices

Two matrices are row equivalent if there is a series of EROs that converts one into the other.

We don't need to find such a series every time we want to determine whether two matrices are row equivalent. Rather, we can use the following condition.

Theorem 2.1: Condition for row equivalence

Matrices A and B are row equivalent if and only if they can be reduced to the same row echelon form.

All this gives us a powerful way to solve linear systems. The algorithm is described below.

Definition: Gaussian elimination

The process of Gaussian elimination is as follows.

1. Write the augmented matrix of the linear system.
2. Use elementary row operations to reduce the augmented matrix to row echelon form.
3. Using back substitution, solve the equivalent system that corresponds to the row-reduced matrix.

In many cases, a linear system will have infinitely many solutions. When this happens, the system's solution set is defined in terms of a certain number of parameters.

Definition: Leading and free variables

The leading variables of a linear system correspond to the leading entries of its augmented matrix. The other variables are the free variables.

Theorem 2.2: Number of free variables from REF

Let A be the coefficient matrix of a linear system with n variables. If the system is consistent, then

$$\# \text{ free variables} = n - (\# \text{ nonzero rows in REF}).$$

So far, we have been working only with a matrix's row echelon forms. A certain class of row echelon forms is particularly useful in our study of systems.

Definition: Reduced row echelon form

A matrix is in reduced row echelon form (RREF) if it satisfies the following properties.

1. It is in row echelon form.
2. The leading entry in each nonzero row is a 1.
3. Each column containing a leading 1 has zeros everywhere else.

One advantage that comes with working with a reduced row echelon form is that it is unique. Not only that, but reducing a matrix to its RREF gives us an immediate solution to the corresponding linear system.

Definition: Gauss-Jordan elimination

The process of Gauss-Jordan elimination is the same as that of Gaussian elimination, but the matrix is converted into its reduced row echelon form rather than a row echelon form.

Given either of these simplified forms for a matrix, if the corresponding system takes a certain form, it is easy to tell whether or not a system will have infinitely many solutions.

Definition: Homogeneous system of linear equations

A system of linear equations is homogeneous if the constant term in each equation is zero.

Theorem 2.3: Solutions of a homogeneous system

A homogeneous linear system of m linear equations in n variables has infinitely many solutions if $m < n$.

2.3 Spanning Sets and Linear Independence

The study of vectors frequently intersects with that of matrices. This section lists out some of the introductory definitions and results of this intersection, developing important theory about both objects. We begin with a condition for the consistency of a linear system.

Theorem 2.4: Condition for consistency

A linear system with augmented matrix $[A \mid \mathbf{b}]$ is consistent if and only if \mathbf{b} is a linear combination of the columns of A .

We'll continue with the idea of linear combinations, using it to define a couple of important concepts. The first of these gives a name to the vectors that a set of vectors can "reach" via a linear combination.

Definition: Span

If $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , then the set of all linear combinations of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ is called the span of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ and is denoted by $\text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ or $\text{span}(S)$. If $\text{span}(S) = \mathbb{R}^n$, then the S is called a spanning set for \mathbb{R}^n .

Building off of this, the next definition and the following theorem together define what it means for a vector to be entirely “separate” from another set of vectors.

Definition: Linear dependence

A set of vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ is linearly dependent if there are scalars c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k , at least one of which is not zero, such that

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}.$$

A set of vectors that is not linearly dependent is called linearly independent.

Theorem 2.5: Condition for linear dependence

Vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ in \mathbb{R}^n are linearly dependent if and only if at least one of the vectors can be expressed as a linear combination of the others.

With this, we can now draw a couple of new connections between linear systems, matrices, and sets of vectors. The first theorem works with a matrix's column vectors, while the second works with row vectors.

Theorem 2.6: Condition for linearly independent columns

Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and let A be the $n \times m$ matrix with these vectors as its columns. Then $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ are linearly dependent if and only if the homogeneous linear system with augmented matrix $[A \mid \mathbf{0}]$ has a nontrivial solution.

Theorem 2.7: Condition for linearly independent rows

Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and let A be the $m \times n$ matrix with these vectors as its rows. Then $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ are linearly dependent if and only if the row echelon form of A has less than m nonzero rows.

Finally, we have a powerful sufficient (but not necessary!) condition for linear dependence.

Theorem 2.8: Condition for linear dependence

Any set of m vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is linearly dependent if $m > n$.

3 Matrices

3.1 Matrix Operations

Now we turn our attention to matrices in their own right, rather than as a means to understand vectors and linear equations.

Definition: Matrix

A matrix is a rectangular array of numbers called the entries, or elements, of the matrix. A matrix all of whose entries are zero is called the zero matrix O .

There are several different kinds of matrices, all of which have their own special properties. Here are some of the simpler forms.

Definition: Diagonal matrices

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix.

- If $m = n$, then A is called a square matrix.
- A square matrix whose nondiagonal entries are all zero is called a diagonal matrix.
- A diagonal matrix all of whose diagonal entries are the same is called a scalar matrix.
- If the scalar on the diagonal is 1, the scalar matrix is called an identity matrix.

Like vectors, matrices can be added and scaled.

Definition: Matrix addition

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ and B_{ij} be $m \times n$ matrices. Then their sum is defined componentwise:

$$A + B = [a_{ij} + b_{ij}].$$

Definition: Scalar multiplication of matrices

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix and let c be a scalar. Then the scalar multiple is defined componentwise:

$$cA = [ca_{ij}].$$

Matrices can also be multiplied with each other, albeit in an at-first unintuitive way. The idea is that a matrix can be thought of as a function whose chief purpose is to transform vectors.

Suppose we want to multiply $A\mathbf{x}$, where A is a matrix and \mathbf{x} is a vector. We can treat A as some kind of function that maps one vector to another. The first column of A tells us what happens to the first component x_1 , the second column determines the second component, and so on. If \mathbf{a}_i is the i th column of A , then the resulting vector is the linear combination

$$A\mathbf{x} = x_1\mathbf{a}_1 + x_2\mathbf{a}_2 + \cdots + x_n\mathbf{a}_n.$$

When we multiply matrices, we're essentially determining the overall effect of multiple transformations. This motivates the below definition of the matrix product.

Definition: Matrix multiplication

If A is an $m \times n$ matrix and B is an $n \times r$ matrix, then the product $C = AB$ is an $m \times r$ matrix. The (i, j) entry of the product is computed as follows:

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj}.$$

The matrix-column and row-matrix representations of the product are, respectively,

$$AB = [Ab_1 \quad Ab_2 \quad \cdots \quad Ab_r] \quad \text{and} \quad AB = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 B \\ \mathbf{A}_2 B \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_m B \end{bmatrix}.$$

Sometimes it will be useful to use matrix multiplication to extract a particular row or column from a matrix. It's pretty easy to do using standard unit vectors.

Theorem 3.1: Extracting a row or column

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix, and let e_i and e_j be row and column versions of standard unit vectors. Then

- $e_i A$ is the i th row of A and
- $A e_j$ is the j th column of A .

We'll define two more operations we can perform on matrices. The first is repeated multiplication, which is written as an exponent.

Definition: Matrix exponentiation

If A is a square matrices, then $A^n = AA \cdots A$, where there are n factors in this product.

We'll also find it helpful to define something completely new and different: flipping a matrix over its main diagonal.

Definition: Transpose of a matrix

The transpose of an $m \times n$ matrix A is the $n \times m$ matrix A^T obtained by interchanging the rows and columns of A . That is, the i th column of A^T is the i th row of A for all i , or $(A^T)_{ij} = A_{ji}$ for all i, j .

This allows us to define another special, usually non-diagonal type of matrix that exhibit symmetry with respect to transposition.

Definition: Symmetric matrix

A square matrix A is symmetric if $A^T = A$. That is, A is symmetric if and only if $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$ for all i and j .

Note that all diagonal matrices are symmetric.

Using these matrix operations, we can define one last operation between vectors. It's minor in the context of this course, but still sometimes useful.

Definition: Outer product

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n , respectively. Then their outer product is defined as $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T$.

3.2 Matrix Algebra

We use the definitions from the previous section to derive some important properties for matrix algebra. We begin with the basic operations of addition and scalar multiplication.

Theorem 3.2: Properties of matrix addition and scalar multiplication

Let A , B , and C be matrices of the same size and let c and d be scalars. Then

- (a) $A + B = B + A$
- (b) $(A + B) + C = A + (B + C)$
- (c) $A + O = A$
- (d) $A + (-A) = O$
- (e) $c(A + B) = cA + cB$
- (f) $(c + d)A = cA + dA$
- (g) $c(dA) = (cd)A$
- (h) $1A = A$

(Note the similarities to the properties of vector addition and scalar multiplication!) Next, we analyze matrix multiplication.

Theorem 3.3: Properties of matrix multiplication

Let A , B , and C be matrices (such that all indicated operations are defined) and let k be a scalar. Then

- (a) $A(BC) = (AB)C$
- (b) $A(B + C) = AB + AC$
- (c) $(A + B)C = AC + BC$
- (d) $k(AB) = (kA)B = A(kB)$
- (e) $I_m A = A = A I_n$

Most of these properties are familiar, but notice that we didn't include the commutative property anywhere. That's because matrix multiplication isn't commutative! It isn't generally true that $AB = BA$.

Finally, let's talk about matrix transposition.

Theorem 3.4: Properties of matrix transposition

Let A and B be matrices (such that all indicated operations are defined) and let k be a scalar. Then

- (a) $(A^T)^T = A$
- (b) $(A + B)^T = A^T + B^T$
- (c) $(kA)^T = k(A^T)$
- (d) $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$
- (e) $(A^r)^T = (A^T)^r$

We can use some of these to show what happens when we add or multiply a matrix by its own transpose.

Theorem 3.5: Adding or multiplying by a transpose

We have two relationships.

- (a) If A is a square matrix, then $A + A^T$ is a symmetric matrix.
- (b) For any matrix A , AA^T and $A^T A$ are symmetric matrices.

3.3 The Inverse of a Matrix

The additive inverse of a matrix is obvious. Our attention now turns to deriving the multiplicative inverse of a matrix.

Definition: Inverse of a matrix

If A is a square matrix, an inverse of A is a matrix A^{-1} of the same size with the property that

$$AA^{-1} = I = A^{-1}A.$$

If such an A^{-1} exists, then A is called invertible.

Just like with scalars, each invertible matrix can only have one inverse.

Theorem 3.6: Uniqueness of the matrix inverse

If A is an invertible matrix, then its inverse is unique.

Since we can use matrix inverses to “undo” the effects of matrix multiplication, we can use them to solve certain equations involving matrices.

Theorem 3.7: Unique solution of a linear system

If A is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix, then the system of linear equations given by $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has the unique solution $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ for any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

This is great, but how do we actually compute these matrix inverses? We'll arrive at a general method shortly, but for now, the two-by-two case is relatively straightforward.

Theorem 3.8: Inverse of a two-by-two matrix

If $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$, then A is invertible if $ad - bc \neq 0$, in which case

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $ad - bc = 0$, then A is not invertible.

To begin our search for an inverse matrix algorithm, we'll list out some significant properties of inverses.

Theorem 3.9: Properties of matrix inverses

If A and B are invertible matrices of the same size, then the following are true:

- (a) A^{-1} is invertible and $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$.
- (b) If c is a nonzero scalar, then cA is invertible and $(cA)^{-1} = \frac{1}{c}A^{-1}$.

- (c) AB is invertible and $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$.
- (d) A^T is invertible and $(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$.
- (e) A^n is invertible for all nonnegative integers n and $(A^n)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^n$.

As a side note, property (e) allows us to define negative integer powers of a matrix.

Definition: Negative powers of a matrix

If a is an invertible matrix and n is a positive integer, then A^{-n} is defined by

$$A^{-n} = (A^{-1})^n = (A^n)^{-1}.$$

We're now in a position to introduce a new type of matrix that will lead us to the inverse algorithm.

Definition: Elementary matrix

An elementary matrix is any matrix that can be obtained by performing an elementary row operation on an identity matrix.

Elementary matrices are useful because they are representations of the EROs performed on them. In this way, performing an ERO on a matrix is equivalent to multiplying by the corresponding elementary matrix.

Theorem 3.10: Multiplication by an elementary matrix

Let E be the elementary matrix obtained by performing an elementary row operation on I_n . If the same elementary row operation is performed on an $n \times r$ matrix A , the result is the same as the product EA .

For any ERO we might apply to a matrix, we can also undo it with the opposite ERO. The elementary-matrix analog of this fact is described in terms of inverses.

Theorem 3.11: Inverse of an elementary matrix

Each elementary matrix is invertible, and its inverse is an elementary matrix of the same type.

This allows us to begin formulating what is arguably the most important theorem of this course: the fundamental theorem of linear algebra (FTLA).

Theorem 3.12: Additions to the FTLA

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) A is invertible.
- (b) $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a unique solution for every $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (c) $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ has only the trivial solution.
- (d) The reduced row echelon form of A is I_n .
- (e) A is a product of elementary matrices.

For the full theorem, see Appendix A.

This is a powerful theorem! It gives us everything we need to prove these last two very important theorems, the first a stepping stone for the next.

Theorem 3.13: Condition for matrix invertibility

Let A be a square matrix. If B is a square matrix such that either $AB = I$ or $BA = I$, then A is invertible and $B = A^{-1}$.

Theorem 3.14: Computing the inverse of a matrix

Let A be a square matrix. If a series of elementary row operations reduces A to I , then the same series of elementary row operations transforms I into A^{-1} .

Therefore, in order to invert a matrix, we simply reduce it to the identity matrix while simultaneously performing the same sequence of row operations on the identity matrix.

3.4 Subspaces, Basis, Dimension, and Rank

Now, we'll introduce the ideas of spaces and subspaces, and we'll see how they relate to matrices.

Definition: Subspace

A subspace of \mathbb{R}^n is any collection S of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n such that:

- The zero vector $\mathbf{0}$ is in S .
- S is closed under addition.
- S is closed under scalar multiplication.

A very simple example of a subspace is the set of all vectors that can be reached by just a few vectors.

Theorem 3.15: Span as a subspace

Let S be a set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Then $\text{span}(S)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

In this section, we will focus on three particular examples of subspaces, two of which are constructed by taking the span of some vectors.

Definition: Row space and column space

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix.

- The row space $\text{row}(A)$ of A is the subspace of \mathbb{R}^n spanned by the rows of A .
- The column space $\text{col}(A)$ of A is the subspace of \mathbb{R}^m spanned by the columns of A .

Drawing a connection to something we already know, we can note that a matrix's row space is invariant under elementary row operations. (The column space is also invariant under "column" operations, but that's less relevant to us).

Theorem 3.16: Row spaces of row equivalent matrices

Let B be any matrix that is row equivalent to a matrix A . Then $\text{row}(B) = \text{row}(A)$.

As for the third space of interest, we should also know that the solution set of a homogeneous linear system is a subspace. This subspace, like the row and column spaces, has a special name.

Theorem 3.17: Solution space of a homogeneous linear system

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix and let S be the set of solutions of the homogeneous linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. Then S is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition: Null space

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. The null space $\text{null}(A)$ of A is the subspace of A consisting of solutions of the homogeneous linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.

Now, given any two solutions \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 to the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, their difference $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1$ solves the associated homogeneous linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. If \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 are distinct, then $\mathbf{x}_0 \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\text{null}(A)$ is nontrivial. Since $\mathbf{x}_1 + c\mathbf{x}_0$ solves the linear system for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the system must have infinitely many solutions. This discussion is summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.18: Number of solutions to a linear system

Let A be a matrix whose entries are real numbers. For any system of linear equations $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, exactly one of the following is true:

- (a) There is no solution.
- (b) There is a unique solution.
- (c) There are infinitely many solutions.

Let's move our discussion along with a new definition.

Definition: Basis of a subspace

A basis for a subspace S of \mathbb{R}^n is a set of vectors in S that

- spans S and
- is linearly independent.

A basis is the minimum information required to completely describe a subspace, so there's a lot of value in knowing it. Thankfully, for each subspace we've discussed, there is a straightforward way to find a basis.

Definition: Determining bases for row, column, and null spaces

To find bases for the row, column, and null spaces of a matrix A :

1. Find the reduced row echelon form R of A .
2. $\text{row}(A)$: Use the nonzero row vectors of R .
3. $\text{col}(A)$: Use the column vectors of A that correspond to the columns of R with the leading 1s.
4. $\text{null}(A)$: Solve the linear system $R\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and write the solution as a linear combination of vectors times free variables.

No subspace (except for the trivial space $\{\mathbf{0}\}$) has a unique basis. However, every possible basis for a subspace will have the same number of vectors, making this number an important intrinsic property of subspaces.

Theorem 3.19: Sizes of distinct bases

Let S be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . Then any two bases for S have the same number of vectors.

Definition: Dimension

If S is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , then the number of vectors in a basis for S is called the dimension of S , denoted by $\dim(S)$.

The dimensions of the row and column space do not change under the influence of elementary row operations. Therefore, since in RREF the dimensions of the row and column space are the same, this is also true for the non-RREF matrix.

Theorem 3.20: Row and column space dimensions

The row and column spaces of a matrix A have the same dimension.

This quantity, the dimension of the row and column space, also gets a name.

Definition: Rank

The rank $\text{rank}(A)$ of a matrix A is the dimension of its row and column space.

When we take the transpose of a matrix, its row and column spaces simply get swapped. The following, then, should not be surprising.

Theorem 3.21: Rank of a transpose

For any matrix A , $\text{rank}(A^T) = \text{rank}(A)$.

Just as the dimension of the row and column space has a name, so too does the dimension of the null space.

Definition: Nullity

The nullity $\text{nullity}(A)$ of a matrix A is the dimension of its null space.

Roughly, the nullity quantifies how many vectors are mapped to $\mathbf{0}$ by the matrix, and the rank quantifies how many vectors are not mapped to $\mathbf{0}$. It should not be surprising, then, that these quantities add up to the total “amount” of vectors being acted upon.

Theorem 3.22: Rank theorem

If A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then

$$\text{rank}(A) + \text{nullity}(A) = n.$$

At this point, we can add a bit more to the fundamental theorem.

Theorem 3.23: Additions to the FTLA

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) A is invertible.
- \vdots
- (f) $\text{rank}(A) = n$
- (g) $\text{nullity}(A) = 0$
- (h) The column vectors of A are linearly independent.
- (i) The column vectors of A span \mathbb{R}^n .

- (j) The column vectors of A form a basis for \mathbb{R}^n .
- (k) The row vectors of A are linearly independent.
- (l) The row vectors of A span \mathbb{R}^n .
- (m) The row vectors of A form a basis for \mathbb{R}^n .

For the full theorem, see Appendix A.

The following is a small application of both the FTLA and the rank theorem.

Theorem 3.24: Rank and invertibility of $A^T A$

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. Then:

- (a) $\text{rank}(A^T A) = \text{rank}(A)$
- (b) The $n \times n$ matrix $A^T A$ is invertible if and only if $\text{rank}(A) = n$

There's one more very important reason why we're concerned with bases. They allow us to uniquely represent vectors in a particular subspace.

Theorem 3.25: Unique representation of a vector

Let S be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and let \mathcal{B} be a basis for S . For every vector \mathbf{v} in S , there is exactly one way to write \mathbf{v} as a linear combination of the basis vectors in \mathcal{B} .

Eventually, we'll use this idea to construct arbitrary coordinate systems for subspaces, all based around the bases of those subspaces. More on that later.

4 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

4.1 Determinants

We have already encountered determinants in our study of inverse matrices, albeit not by name. Here, we generalize the determinant to square matrices of any size. These first two definitions together define the determinant recursively.

Definition: Cofactor

Let A be a square matrix, and let A_{ij} be the submatrix of A with its i th row and j th column deleted. Then the quantity

$$C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det A_{ij}$$

is called the (i, j) -cofactor of A . (The quantity $\det A_{ij}$ is called the (i, j) -minor of A .)

Definition: Determinant

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, where $n \geq 2$. Then the determinant of A is the scalar

$$\det A = |A| = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} C_{1j}.$$

Though this definition gives us a way to compute determinants via a “cofactor expansion” along the top row of a matrix, we can actually apply the algorithm to any row or column we’d like. This gives us a lot of flexibility and can possibly save us some work.

Theorem 4.1: Computing determinants

The determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix A , where $n \geq 2$, can be computed in two ways:

$$\begin{aligned} \det A &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} C_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} C_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

There are other cases involving special types of matrices in which finding determinants is especially easy.

Theorem 4.2: Determinant of a triangular matrix

The determinant of a triangular matrix is the product of the entries on its main diagonal.

Sometimes it will be useful to use row reduction as an alternative to cofactor expansion. We do this by taking advantage of the following rules.

Theorem 4.3: Determinants via row reduction

Let A be a square matrix.

- (a) If A has a zero row, then $\det A = 0$.

- (b) If B is obtained by interchanging two rows of A , then $\det B = -\det A$.
- (c) If A has two identical rows, then $\det A = 0$.
- (d) If B is obtained by multiplying a row of A by k , then $\det B = k \det A$.
- (e) If A , B , and C are identical except the i th row of C is the sum of the i th rows of A and B , then $\det C = \det A + \det B$.
- (f) If B is obtained by adding a multiple of one row of A to another row, then $\det B = \det A$.

We'll use these properties to draw a connection between determinants and invertibility. Elementary matrices will serve as our bridge.

Theorem 4.4: Determinant of an elementary matrix

Let E be an $n \times n$ elementary matrix,

- (a) If E results from interchanging two rows of I_n , then $\det E = -1$.
- (b) If E results from multiplying one row of I_n by k , then $\det E = k$.
- (c) If E results from adding a multiple of one row of I_n to another row, then $\det E = 1$.

This allows us to succinctly summarize parts (b), (d), and (f) of Theorem 4.3 in another theorem.

Lemma 4.5: Determinant after an ERO

Let B be a square matrix and let E be an elementary matrix of the same size. Then

$$\det(EB) = (\det E)(\det B).$$

Finally, with the power of the fundamental theorem, we get the following.

Theorem 4.6: Condition for invertibility

A square matrix A is invertible if and only if $\det A \neq 0$.

Many matrix operations come with their own ways to calculate the determinants of their resulting matrices. We'll consider scalar multiplication, matrix multiplication, inverses, and transposes; we exclude addition because there is no clear relationship between $\det(A + B)$ and the individual determinants of A and B .

Theorem 4.7: Determinant after scalar multiplication

If A is an $n \times n$ matrix, then

$$\det kA = k^n \det A.$$

Theorem 4.8: Determinant after matrix multiplication

If A and B are square matrices of the same size, then

$$\det(AB) = (\det A)(\det B).$$

Theorem 4.9: Determinant after inversion

If A is invertible, then

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det A}.$$

Theorem 4.10: Determinant after transposition

For any square matrix A ,

$$\det A = \det A^T.$$

4.2 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

We now begin investigating one of the most central problems to linear algebra: the eigenvalue problem.

Definition: Eigen-stuff

Let A be a square matrix. A scalar λ is called an eigenvalue of A if there is a nonzero vector \mathbf{x} such that $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$. Such a vector \mathbf{x} is called an eigenvector of A corresponding to λ . The set of all eigenvectors corresponding to λ , including the zero vector, is called the eigenspace of λ and is denoted by E_λ .

The study of eigenvectors is the study of vectors whose directions are invariant under a matrix transformation. We now discuss how to compute them.

From the above definition, (λ, \mathbf{x}) is an “eigenpair” of A if they satisfy the equation

$$(A - \lambda I)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.$$

This gives us an easy way to immediately compute the eigenvalues of A .

Theorem 4.11: Computing eigenvalues

The eigenvalues of a square matrix A are precisely the solutions λ of the equation

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0.$$

This determinant gives us a polynomial in λ . Computing the eigenvalues of a matrix, therefore, is equivalent to finding the roots of this polynomial.

Definition: Characteristic polynomial

The polynomial in λ resulting from the expansion of $\det(A - \lambda I)$ is called the characteristic polynomial $c_A(\lambda)$ of A . The equation $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ is called the characteristic equation of A .

Once we have our eigenvalues, we use them in the equation $(A - \lambda I)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ to determine the eigenvectors and, thus, eigenspaces.

Theorem 4.12: Computing eigenvectors

The eigenspace of a matrix A corresponding to the eigenvalue λ is precisely $\text{null}(A - \lambda I)$.

The remainder of this chapter will be dedicated to investigating this eigenstuff, both in their own right and in applications. Firstly, just like with determinants, when our matrix is triangular, our job turns out to be easy.

Theorem 4.13: Eigenvalues of a triangular matrix

The eigenvalues of a triangular matrix are the entries on its main diagonal.

Similarly, the eigenvalues of a matrix raised to a power relate to those of the original matrix.

Theorem 4.14: Eigenvalues after exponentiation

Let A be a square matrix with an eigen-pair (λ, \mathbf{x}) .

- (a) For any positive n , (λ^n, \mathbf{x}) is an eigen-pair of A^n .
- (b) If A is invertible, then $(1/\lambda, \mathbf{x})$ is an eigen-pair of A^{-1} .
- (c) If A is invertible, then for any integer n , (λ^n, \mathbf{x}) is an eigen-pair of A^n .

We can now draw a connection to invertible matrices. Just like with determinants, for a matrix to be invertible, its eigenvalues must not be zero.

Theorem 4.15: Condition for invertibility

A square matrix is invertible if and only if it does not have 0 as an eigenvalue.

With this, we can add two more statements to our fundamental theorem.

Theorem 4.16: Additions to the FTLA

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The following statements are equivalent.

- (a) A is invertible.
- \vdots
- (n) $\det A \neq 0$.
- (o) 0 is not an eigenvalue of A .

For the full theorem, see Appendix A.

We can use the properties discussed to far to show what happens when we multiply a vector by the same matrix multiple time in succession. We take advantage of the case in which the vector can be represented as a linear combination of eigenvectors.

Theorem 4.17: Repeated matrix transformations

Suppose the $n \times n$ matrix A has eigenpairs $(\lambda_1, \mathbf{x}_1), (\lambda_2, \mathbf{x}_2), \dots, (\lambda_m, \mathbf{x}_m)$. If \mathbf{x} is a vector in \mathbb{R}^n that can be expressed as a linear combination of these eigenvectors, then for every integer k ,

$$A^k \mathbf{x} = c_1 \lambda_1^k \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^k \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_m \lambda_m^k \mathbf{v}_m.$$

We won't always be able to apply this, though, since it's possible that \mathbf{x} is not a linear combination of eigenvectors. As a step toward seeing when we can apply the theorem, we have the following.

Theorem 4.18: Condition for linearly independent eigenvectors

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ be distinct eigenvalues of A with corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$. Then these eigenvectors are linearly independent.

4.3 Similarity and Diagonalization

We've already considered a couple of different ways to transform a matrix, namely Gaussian and Gauss-Jordan elimination. Here, we consider another type of transformation that preserves eigenvalues and other key properties.

Definition: Similar matrices

Let A and B be square matrices of the same size. We say that A is similar to B if there is an invertible matrix P such that $P^{-1}AP = B$. If A is similar to B , we write $A \sim B$.

Similarity can be interpreted as a kind of equivalence between matrices. We thus call similarity an equivalence relation, meaning it has the following familiar properties.

Theorem 4.19: Similarity as an equivalence relation

Let A , B , and C be square matrices of the same size. Then:

- (a) $A \sim B$.
- (b) If $A \sim B$, then $B \sim A$.
- (c) If $A \sim B$ and $B \sim C$, then $A \sim C$.

Objects that are related via an equivalence relation usually share important properties. This is true of similar matrices, and some of these properties are below.

Theorem 4.20: Shared properties of similar matrices

Let A and B be square matrices of the same size with $A \sim B$. Then:

- (a) $\det A = \det B$.
- (b) A is invertible if and only if B is invertible.
- (c) A and B have the same rank.
- (d) A and B have the same characteristic polynomial.
- (e) A and B have the same eigenvalues.
- (f) $A^m \sim B^m$ for all integers $m \geq 0$.
- (g) If A is invertible, then $A^m \sim B^m$ for all integers m .

The best possible situation is when a square matrix is similar to a diagonal matrix, because these are really nice to work with.

Definition: Diagonalizable matrix

A square matrix A is diagonalizable if there is a diagonal matrix D such that A is similar to D —that is, if there is an invertible matrix P such that $P^{-1}AP = D$.

The idea of diagonalization is intimately related to eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Not only do they give us a condition for diagonalizability, but they help us do the actual diagonalization.

Theorem 4.21: Condition and method for diagonalization

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then A is diagonalizable if and only if A has n linearly independent eigenvectors.

More precisely, there exist an invertible matrix P and a diagonal matrix D such that $P^{-1}AP = D$ if and only if the columns of P are n linearly independent eigenvectors of A and the diagonal entries of D are the eigenvalues of A corresponding to the eigenvectors in P in the same order.

Usually, we would have to verify that P is invertible before using it to find a matrix similar to A . The next theorem shows that this is not necessary for diagonalization problems.

Theorem 4.22: Basis eigenvectors are linearly independent

Let A be a square matrix and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ be distinct eigenvalues of A . If \mathcal{B}_i is a basis for the eigenspace E_{λ_i} , then $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{B}_i$ is linearly independent.

This shows that linear independence is preserved when the bases of different eigenspaces are combined. So when we have n different eigenspaces, each of dimension one, we automatically have a diagonalizable matrix.

Theorem 4.23: Distinct eigenvalues imply diagonalizability

If A is an $n \times n$ matrix with n distinct eigenvalues, then A is diagonalizable.

When this theorem isn't applicable, there's still a way we can use eigenvalues to determine diagonalizability. It requires a preliminary definition.

Definition: Algebraic and geometric multiplicity

The algebraic multiplicity of an eigenvalue λ is its multiplicity as a root of the characteristic polynomial. The geometric multiplicity of the eigenvalue is $\dim(E_{\lambda})$.

Lemma 4.24: Diagonalizability from multiplicities

If A is a square matrix, then the geometric multiplicity of each eigenvalue is less than or equal to its algebraic multiplicity.

We summarize the different ways to check for diagonalizability in one final theorem.

Theorem 4.25: Conditions for diagonalizability

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The following statements are equivalent.

- (a) A is diagonalizable.
- (b) The union \mathcal{B} of the bases of the eigenspaces of A contains n vectors.
- (c) The algebraic multiplicity of each eigenvalue equals its geometric multiplicity.

5 Orthogonality

5.1 Orthogonality in \mathbb{R}^n

Here, we extend the notion of orthogonality from pairs of vectors to sets of vectors.

Definition: Orthogonal set of vectors

A set of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ in \mathbb{R}^n is called an orthogonal set if all pairs of distinct vectors in the set are orthogonal.

There are many advantages to working with orthogonal sets. One of these is that orthogonal sets are necessarily linearly independent, meaning they make for convenient bases for subspaces.

Theorem 5.1: Orthogonal sets are linearly independent

If $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is an orthogonal set of nonzero vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , then these vectors are linearly independent.

For this reason, orthogonal sets often make a convenient choice of basis for subspaces.

Definition: Orthogonal basis

An orthogonal basis for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n is a basis of W that is an orthogonal set.

Of course, any vector in W can be written as a linear combination of these orthogonal vectors. Another convenience that comes with orthogonal sets is that the coefficients of this linear combination are easy to find.

Theorem 5.2: Coefficients of an orthogonal linear combination

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ be an orthogonal basis for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n and let \mathbf{w} be any vector in W . Then the unique scalars c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k such that

$$\mathbf{w} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k \mathbf{v}_k$$

are given by

$$c_i = \frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i}{\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Notice that, in the case of an orthogonal basis, we simply project the vector onto each of the basis vectors to find the coefficients of the linear combination. Things are even more convenient if our orthogonal set consists entirely of unit vectors.

Definition: Orthonormal set

A set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is an orthonormal set if it is an orthogonal set of unit vectors. An orthonormal basis for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n is a basis of W that is an orthonormal set.

Theorem 5.3: Coefficients of an orthonormal linear combination

Let $\{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n and let \mathbf{w} be any vector in W . Then

$$\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1)\mathbf{q}_1 + (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2)\mathbf{q}_2 + \cdots + (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{q}_k)\mathbf{q}_k,$$

and this representation is unique.

When a matrix has columns that form an orthonormal set, they turn out to have many very nice properties. The rest of this section is dedicated to examining these.

Notice that we can think of matrix multiplication as a grid of dot products between row and column. When the row and column are orthogonal to each other, they'll "cancel out." It turns out that when we multiply a matrix with its transpose, it happens in such a way that it leaves behind only the identity matrix.

Theorem 5.4: Transpose of a matrix with orthonormal columns

The columns of an $m \times n$ matrix Q form an orthonormal set if and only if $Q^T Q = I_n$.

Clearly, for square choices of Q , the transpose Q^T is the inverse of Q . This is a powerful result, rewritten below below.

Definition: Orthogonal matrix

A square matrix Q whose columns form an orthonormal set is called an orthogonal matrix.

Theorem 5.5: Inverse of an orthogonal matrix

A square matrix Q is orthogonal if and only if $Q^{-1} = Q^T$.

Perhaps expectedly, orthogonal matrices have some convenient properties. One of the most important ones is that they preserve the lengths of the vectors they transform—that is, they are isometric.

Theorem 5.6: Orthogonal matrices are isometric

Let Q be a $n \times n$ matrix. The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) Q is orthogonal.
- (b) $\|Q\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\|$ for every \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^n .
- (c) $Q\mathbf{x} \cdot Q\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ for every \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in \mathbb{R}^n .

We'll finish off with a couple of theorems elaborating on some properties of orthogonal matrices.

Theorem 5.7: Orthogonal matrices have orthonormal rows

If Q is an orthogonal matrix, then its rows form an orthonormal set.

Theorem 5.8: Properties of orthogonal matrices

Let Q be an orthogonal matrix.

- (a) Q^{-1} is orthogonal.
- (b) $\det Q = \pm 1$.
- (c) If λ is an eigenvalue of Q , then $|\lambda| = 1$.
- (d) If Q_1 and Q_2 are orthogonal matrices of the same size, then so is $Q_1 Q_2$.

5.2 Orthogonal Complements and Projections

Now we generalize two more ideas: the vector normal to a plane, and the projection of one vector onto another. We begin with the first of these.

Definition: Orthogonal complement

Let W be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . We say that a vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^n is orthogonal to W if \mathbf{v} is orthogonal to every vector in W . The set of all vectors that are orthogonal to W is called the orthogonal complement of W , denoted W^\perp .

The orthogonal complement of a subspace has some fairly intuitive properties.

Theorem 5.9: Properties of orthogonal complements

Let W be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

- (a) W^\perp is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .
- (b) $(W^\perp)^\perp = W$.
- (c) $W \cap W^\perp = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.
- (d) If $\text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k)$, then \mathbf{v} is in W^\perp if and only if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Using orthogonal complements, we can make describe some fundamental relationships between the subspaces associated with a matrix. To relate the row space to the null space, notice that for $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ to be true, we must have $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ for every row \mathbf{r} in A ; therefore, all rows in A are orthogonal to vectors in $\text{null}(A)$. A similar line of reasoning relates $\text{col}(A)$ to $\text{null}(A^T)$.

Theorem 5.10: Fundamental spaces and orthogonality

Let A be a matrix. Then $(\text{row}(A))^\perp = \text{null}(A)$ and $(\text{col}(A))^\perp = \text{null}(A^T)$.

Now, we'll move on to generalizing projections.

Definition: Orthogonal projection onto a space

Let W be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and let $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ be an orthogonal basis for W . For any vector \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^n , the orthogonal projection of \mathbf{v} onto W is defined as

$$\text{proj}_W(\mathbf{v}) = \text{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_1}(\mathbf{v}) + \text{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_2}(\mathbf{v}) + \dots + \text{proj}_{\mathbf{u}_k}(\mathbf{v}).$$

The component of \mathbf{v} orthogonal to W is the vector

$$\text{perp}_W(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} - \text{proj}_W(\mathbf{v}).$$

We can use orthogonal projections to decompose a vector into orthogonal components.

Theorem 5.11: Orthogonal decomposition

Let W be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and let \mathbf{v} be a vector in \mathbb{R}^n . Then there are unique vectors \mathbf{w} in W and \mathbf{w}^\perp in W^\perp such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^\perp$.

Lastly, we have a relationship between the dimensions of a subspace and its orthogonal complement.

Theorem 5.12: Dimension of orthogonal subspace

If W is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$\dim W + \dim W^\perp = n.$$

Note that the rank theorem is a corollary to this theorem.

5.3 The Gram-Schmidt Process and the QR Factorization

Given how useful orthogonal bases have proven to be, it might also be useful to know how to construct one from a non-orthogonal basis. We do this using a series of projections.

Theorem 5.13: Gram-Schmidt process

Let $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k\}$ be a basis for a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^n , let $W_i = \text{span}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i)$, and define the following:

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{x}_1$$

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \text{perp}_{W_1}(\mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{x}_2 - \text{proj}_{\mathbf{v}_1} \mathbf{x}_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{v}_k = \text{perp}_{W_{k-1}}(\mathbf{x}_k) = \mathbf{x}_k - \text{proj}_{\mathbf{v}_1} \mathbf{x}_k - \text{proj}_{\mathbf{v}_2} \mathbf{x}_k - \dots - \text{proj}_{\mathbf{v}_{k-1}} \mathbf{x}_k$$

Then for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i\}$ is an orthogonal basis for W_i . In particular, $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is an orthogonal basis for W .

We can use this to decompose any invertible square matrix into an orthogonal matrix and a triangular matrix.

Theorem 5.14: QR factorization

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix with linearly independent columns. Then A can be factored as $A = QR$, where Q is an $m \times n$ matrix with orthonormal columns and R is an invertible upper triangular matrix.

6 Vector Spaces

6.1 Vector Spaces and Subspaces

So far, we have treated vectors as ordered tuples of real numbers. However, there are plenty of other objects (like matrices) that behave similarly. We use the familiar properties of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n to motivate a more general definition for a vector, one that encapsulates this characteristic behavior.

Definition: Vector space

Let V be a set on which two operations, called addition and scalar multiplication, have been defined. If \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are in V , the sum of \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is denoted by $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$. If c is a scalar, the scalar multiple of \mathbf{u} by c is denoted by $c\mathbf{u}$. If the following axioms hold for all \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} in V and for all scalars c and d , then V is called a vector space and its elements are called vectors.

1. $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ is in V .
2. $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$
3. $(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w})$
4. There exists an element $\mathbf{0}$ in V , called a zero vector, such that $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{u}$.
5. For each \mathbf{u} in V , there is an element $-\mathbf{u}$ in V such that $\mathbf{u} + (-\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$.
6. $c\mathbf{u}$ is in V .
7. $c(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = c\mathbf{u} + c\mathbf{v}$
8. $(c + d)\mathbf{u} = c\mathbf{u} + d\mathbf{u}$
9. $c(d\mathbf{u}) = (cd)\mathbf{u}$
10. $1\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}$

This definition allows us to describe other familiar properties and constructs. The rest of this section is dedicated to these—I'll just list them off.

Theorem 6.1: Basic properties of vector spaces

Let V be a vector space, \mathbf{u} a vector in V , and c a scalar.

- (a) $0\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$
- (b) $c\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$
- (c) $(-1)\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{u}$
- (d) If $c\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$, then $c = 0$ or $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$

Definition: Vector subtraction

Let V be a vector space, and let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in V . The difference between \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} , denoted by $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}$, is defined as $\mathbf{u} + (-\mathbf{v})$.

Definition: Linear combination

Let V be a vector space, let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ be vectors in V , and let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be scalars. Then $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n$ is a linear combination of these vectors.

Definition: Subspace

A subset W of a vector space V is called a subspace of V if W is itself a vector space with the same scalars, addition, and scalar multiplication as V .

Theorem 6.2: Conditions for a subspace

Let V be a vector space and let W be a nonempty subset of V . Then W is a subspace of V if and only if the following conditions hold.

- (a) If \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are in W , then $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ is in W .
- (b) If \mathbf{u} is in W and c is a scalar, then $c\mathbf{u}$ is in W .

Definition: Span

If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a set of vectors in a vector space V , then the set of all linear combinations of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ is called the span of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ and is denoted by $\text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ or $\text{span}(S)$. If $V = \text{span}(S)$, then S is called a spanning set for V and V is said to be spanned by S .

Theorem 6.3: Span as a subspace

Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ be vectors in a vector space V .

- (a) $\text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ is a subspace of V .
- (b) $\text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ is the smallest subspace of V that contains $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$.

6.2 Linear Independence, Basis, and Dimension

We'll continue to generalize many of the definitions and properties in \mathbb{R}^n to all vector spaces. In most cases, the theorems (and their proofs) are identical, just replacing \mathbb{R}^n with V . We begin with linear independence.

Definition: Linear dependence

A set of vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ in a vector space V is linearly dependent if there are scalars c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k , at least one of which is not zero, such that

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_k\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{0}.$$

A set of vectors that is not linearly dependent is said to be linearly independent.

Theorem 6.4: Condition for linear dependence

A set of vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ in a vector space V is linearly dependent if and only if at least one of the vectors can be expressed as a linear combination of the others.

With linear independence defined, we can move onto basis.

Definition: Basis

A subset \mathcal{B} of a vector space V is a basis for V if

1. \mathcal{B} spans V and
2. \mathcal{B} is linearly independent.

Theorem 6.5: Unique representation of a vector

Let V be a vector space and let \mathcal{B} be a basis for V . For every vector \mathbf{v} in V , there is exactly one way to write \mathbf{v} as a linear combination of the basis vectors in \mathcal{B} .

Now we introduce a new concept, one that we alluded to earlier. Every basis for a vector space also provides a new coordinate system for that vector space. This coordinate system is defined as follows.

Definition: Coordinate vector

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ be a basis for a vector space V . Let \mathbf{v} be a vector in V , and write $\mathbf{v} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n$. Then c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n are called the coordinates of \mathbf{v} with respect to \mathcal{B} , and the column vector

$$[\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the coordinate vector of \mathbf{v} with respect to \mathcal{B} .

There is a couple of useful things that arise from the use of coordinate systems. First is a corollary to the previous theorem: coordinate vectors preserve linear combinations.

Theorem 6.6: Coordinates preserve linear combinations

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ be a basis for a vector space V . Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in V and let c be a scalar. Then

- (a) $[\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [\mathbf{u}]_{\mathcal{B}} + [\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$.
- (b) $[c\mathbf{u}]_{\mathcal{B}} = c[\mathbf{u}]_{\mathcal{B}}$

Secondly, coordinates allow us to transfer information from a general vector space to \mathbb{R}^n , a space that we have already studied thoroughly. We will explore this idea in detail in the next section. For now, though, we can see that a set's linear independence (or dependence) carries over to the set's \mathbb{R}^n counterpart.

Theorem 6.7: Coordinates preserve of linear independence

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ be a basis for a vector space V and let $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k$ be vectors in V . Then $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ is linearly independent in V if and only if $\{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathcal{B}}, [\mathbf{u}_2]_{\mathcal{B}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_k]_{\mathcal{B}}\}$ is linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^n .

Now, having defined basis, we can generalize notions of dimension. We begin with some motivating theorems, both of which establish the size of a basis as an important quantity.

Theorem 6.8: Necessary conditions for span and linear independence

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ be a basis for a vector space V .

- (a) Any set of more than n vectors in V must be linearly dependent.

(b) Any set of fewer than n vectors in V cannot span V .

Theorem 6.9: Sizes of distinct bases

If a vector space V has a basis with n vectors, then every basis for V has exactly n vectors.

Now that we've established that every basis for a vector space contains the same number of vectors, we can define dimension as an intrinsic property of vector spaces.

Definition: Dimension

A vector space V is called finite-dimensional if it has a basis containing finitely many vectors. The dimension of V , denoted by $\dim(V)$, is the number of vectors in a basis for V . The dimension of the zero vector space $\{0\}$ is defined to be zero. A vector space that has no finite basis is called infinite-dimensional.

Knowing the dimension of a vector space provides us with much information about V . Some of this information is described in the next two theorems.

Theorem 6.10: Dimension, span, and linear independence

Let V be a vector space with $\dim(V) = n$. Then:

- (a) Any linearly independent set in V contains at most n vectors.
- (b) Any spanning set for V contains at least n vectors.
- (c) Any linearly independent set of exactly n vectors in V is a basis for V .
- (d) Any spanning set of exactly n vectors in V is a basis for V .
- (e) Any linearly independent set in V can be extended to a basis for V .
- (f) Any spanning set for V can be reduced to a basis for V .

Theorem 6.11: Dimension of a subspace

Let W be a subspace of a finite-dimensional vector space V . Then:

- (a) W is finite-dimensional and $\dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$.
- (b) $\dim(W) = \dim(V)$ if and only if $W = V$.

6.3 Change of Basis

Equipped with a working knowledge of coordinate systems in general vector spaces, we can now investigate how to convert between coordinate systems within a vector space. Most of our work here will center around a matrix that does this for us.

Definition: Change-of-basis matrix

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ and \mathcal{C} be bases for a vector space V . The square matrix whose columns are the coordinate vectors $[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathcal{C}}, [\mathbf{u}_2]_{\mathcal{C}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathcal{C}}$ of the vectors in \mathcal{B} with respect to \mathcal{C} is denoted by $P_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}}$ and is called the change-of-basis matrix from \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{C} . That is,

$$P_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}} = [[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathcal{C}}, [\mathbf{u}_2]_{\mathcal{C}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathcal{C}}].$$

If we multiply a vector written with respect to \mathcal{B} by this change-of-basis matrix, we get the same vector written with respect to \mathcal{C} . The change-of-basis matrix has this property and others, as described in the next

theorem.

Theorem 6.12: Properties of the change-of-basis matrix

Let \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} be bases for a vector space V and let $P_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}}$ be the change-of-basis matrix from \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{C} . Then

- (a) $P_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}}[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{C}}$ for all \mathbf{x} in V .
- (b) $P_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}}$ is the unique matrix P with the above property.
- (c) $P_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}}$ is invertible and $(P_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}})^{-1} = P_{\mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}}$.

There is another way we can compute the change of basis matrix, this time via row reduction. It is similar to how we compute the inverse of a matrix via row reduction.

Theorem 6.13: Change-of-basis matrix via row reduction

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ be bases for a vector space V . Also let $B = [[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathcal{E}} \cdots [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathcal{E}}]$ and $C = [[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathcal{E}} \cdots [\mathbf{v}_n]_{\mathcal{E}}]$, where \mathcal{E} is any basis for V . Then row reduction applied to the $n \times 2n$ augmented matrix $[C \mid B]$ produces

$$[C \mid B] \rightarrow [I \mid P_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}}].$$

6.4 Linear Transformations

Matrices can be interpreted as a transformation between two Euclidean vector spaces. Here, we extend this concept to linear transformations between arbitrary vector spaces.

Definition: Linear transformation

A linear transformation from a vector space V to a vector space W is a mapping $T : V \rightarrow W$ such that, for all \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in V and for all scalars c ,

- 1. $T(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{u}) + T(\mathbf{v})$.
- 2. $T(c\mathbf{u}) = cT(\mathbf{u})$.

This is equivalent to saying that T is a linear transformation if and only if it preserves all linear combinations.

The zero matrix and the identity matrix also generalize.

Definition: Zero and identity matrices

The zero transformation $T_0 : V \rightarrow W$ maps every vector in V to the zero vector in W . The identity transformation $I : V \rightarrow V$ maps every vector in V to itself.

Many of the properties of linear transformations are familiar. Some of these are listed below.

Theorem 6.14: Properties of linear transformations

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. Then:

- (a) $T(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$.
- (b) $T(-\mathbf{v}) = -T(\mathbf{v})$ for all \mathbf{v} in V .
- (c) $T(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{u}) - T(\mathbf{v})$ for all \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} in V .

The most important property of a linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ is that T is completely determined by

its effect on a basis for V .

Theorem 6.15: Linear transformation using a basis

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation and let $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ be a spanning set for V . Then $T(\mathcal{B}) = \{T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_n)\}$ spans the range of T .

Analogous to matrix multiplication, we can compose linear transformations. The result is a new linear transformation that “skips” over the intermediate space.

Definition: Composition of linear transformations

If $T : U \rightarrow V$ and $S : V \rightarrow W$ are linear transformations, then the composition of S with T is the mapping $S \circ T : U \rightarrow W$, defined by

$$(S \circ T)(\mathbf{u}) = S(T(\mathbf{u}))$$

where \mathbf{u} is in U .

Theorem 6.16: Compositions of linear transformations are linear

If $T : U \rightarrow V$ and $S : V \rightarrow W$ are linear transformations, then $S \circ T : U \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation.

Lastly, we draw one more generalization from matrices having to do with inverses.

Definition: Inverse transformation

A linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ is invertible if there is a linear transformation $T^{-1} : W \rightarrow V$ such that

$$T^{-1} \circ T = I_V$$

$$T \circ T^{-1} = I_W$$

In this case, T^{-1} is called an inverse for T .

Theorem 6.17: Condition for invertibility

If T is an invertible linear transformation, then its inverse is unique.

6.5 Kernel and Range

This section generalizes the notions of a matrix's null space and column space.

Definition: Kernel and range

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. The kernel of T is the set of vectors in V defined by

$$\ker(T) = \{\mathbf{v} \in V : T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

The range of T is the set of vectors in W defined by

$$\text{range}(T) = \{T(\mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{v} \in V\}.$$

Like the null and column spaces of a matrix are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n , the kernel and range of a linear transformation are subspaces of the domain and codomain.

Theorem 6.18: Kernel and range as subspaces

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. Then:

- (a) $\ker(T)$ is a subspace of V .
- (b) $\text{range}(T)$ is a subspace of W .

Like before, we assign names to the dimensions of these subspaces and draw a connection between them.

Definition: Rank and nullity

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation. The rank of T is $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(\text{range}(T))$ and the nullity of T is $\text{nullity}(T) = \dim(\ker(T))$.

Theorem 6.19: Rank theorem

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation where V is finite-dimensional. Then

$$\text{rank}(T) + \text{nullity}(T) = \dim V.$$

Now, we introduce some new vocabulary that will help us better describe the input and output spaces of a transformation.

Definition: One-to-one and onto

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation.

- T is one-to-one if, for all \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} in V , $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{v} \implies T(\mathbf{u}) \neq T(\mathbf{v})$.
- T is onto if $\text{range}(T) = W$.

There is a very simple criterion for determining whether a linear transformation is one-to-one.

Theorem 6.20: Condition for one-to-one

A linear transformation T is one-to-one if and only if $\ker(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$

If T maps between two vector spaces of equal dimension, this also gives us a way to determine whether T is onto.

Theorem 6.21: Condition for onto

Let $\dim V = \dim W$. Then a linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ is one-to-one if and only if it is onto.

In the previous section, we found that linear transformations, in a sense, preserve spanning sets. We now provide conditions under which a linear transformation will preserve linear independence and, thus, bases.

Theorem 6.22: One-to-one transformations preserve linear independence

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a one-to-one linear transformation. If S is a linearly independent set in V , then $T(S)$ is a linearly independent set in W .

Corollary 6.23: Condition for the preservation of bases

Let $\dim V = \dim W$. Then a one-to-one linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ maps a basis for V to a basis for W .

We can use all this to describe which linear transformations $T : V \rightarrow W$ are invertible.

Theorem 6.24: Condition for invertibility

A linear transformation T is invertible if and only if it is one-to-one and onto.

To finish the section, we concretely define what it means for two vectors to be “essentially the same.”

Definition: Isomorphism

A linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ is called an isomorphism if it is one-to-one and onto. If V and W are two vector spaces such that there is an isomorphism from V to W , then we say that V is isomorphic to W and we write $V \cong W$.

There is a very easy way to check if two spaces are isomorphic, as this final theorem shows.

Theorem 6.25: Condition for isomorphism

Let V and W be two finite-dimensional vector spaces (over the same field of scalars). Then V is isomorphic to W if and only if $\dim V = \dim W$.

6.6 The Matrix of a Linear Transformation

We can exploit the fact that all n -dimensional vector spaces are isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n to represent linear transformations as matrices. Rather than transform directly between vector spaces, we will transform between spaces of *coordinate* vectors, as the first theorem of this section shows.

Theorem 6.26: Matrix of a linear transformation

Let V and W be two finite-dimensional vector spaces with bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , respectively, where $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$. If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation, then the $m \times n$ matrix A defined by

$$A = [[T(\mathbf{v}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} \mid [T(\mathbf{v}_2)]_{\mathcal{C}} \mid \cdots \mid [T(\mathbf{v}_n)]_{\mathcal{C}}]$$

satisfies

$$A[\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [T(\mathbf{v})]_{\mathcal{C}}$$

for every vector \mathbf{v} in V .

In the above theorem, the matrix A is called the matrix of T with respect to the bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} ; it is sometimes denoted by $[T]_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}}$.

We can make some intuitive statements about the matrices of composite and inverse linear transformations. These are our next two theorems.

Theorem 6.27: Composition of matrix transformations

Let U , V , and W be finite-dimensional vector spaces with bases \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{C} , and \mathcal{D} , respectively. Let $T : U \rightarrow V$ and $S : V \rightarrow W$ be linear transformations. Then

$$[S \circ T]_{\mathcal{D} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}} = [S]_{\mathcal{D} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}} [T]_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}}.$$

Theorem 6.28: Inverse of a matrix transformation

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation between n -dimensional vector spaces V and W and let \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} be bases for V and W , respectively. Then T is invertible if and only if the matrix $[T]_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}}$ is

invertible. In this case,

$$([T]_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}})^{-1} = [T^{-1}]_{\mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}}.$$

We can draw a relationship between the potential matrices representing a linear transformation from a vector space to itself. Namely, we can use the change-of-basis matrix between two bases to show that all of the possible matrices are similar to each other. This is stated more clearly in the following theorem.

Theorem 6.29: Matrix transformations are similar

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space with bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} and let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation. Then

$$[T]_{\mathcal{C}} = P^{-1}[T]_{\mathcal{B}}P$$

where P is the change-of-basis matrix from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{B} .

This naturally leads to the notion of diagonalization.

Definition: Diagonalizable linear transformation

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space and let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation. Then T is called diagonalizable if there is a basis \mathcal{C} for V such that the matrix $[T]_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a diagonal matrix.

We can now make some new additions to the Fundamental Theorem.

Theorem 6.30: Additions to the FTLA

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix and let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation whose matrix $[T]_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}}$ with respect to bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} of V and W , respectively, is A . The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) A is invertible.
- (b) A is one-to-one.
- (c) A is onto.
- (d) A is invertible.
- (e) T is invertible.
- (f) T is one-to-one.
- (g) T is onto.
- (h) $\ker(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.
- (i) $\text{range}(T) = W$.

For the full theorem, see Appendix A.

7 Distance and Approximation

7.1 Inner Product Spaces

We begin this chapter by continuing our generalization of Euclidean vector spaces. Here, we define the inner product as a generalization of the dot product.

Definition: Inner product

An inner product on a vector space V is an operation that assigns to every pair of vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$ a real number $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ such that the following properties hold for all vectors \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} in V and all scalars c :

- (a) $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle$.
- (b) $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$.
- (c) $\langle c\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = c \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$.
- (d) $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$.

A vector space with an inner product is called an inner product space.

Theorem 7.1: Properties of the inner product

Let \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} , and \mathbf{w} be vectors in an inner product space V and let c be a scalar.

- (a) $\langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$.
- (b) $\langle \mathbf{u}, c\mathbf{v} \rangle = c \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$.
- (c) $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{0} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$.

We can now define such notions as length, distance, and orthogonality in abstract vector spaces. The remainder of this section will be dedicated to rehashing many of the related results from Euclidean spaces.

Definition: Geometry in inner product spaces

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in an inner product space V .

1. The length (or norm) of \mathbf{v} is $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$. (A unit vector is a vector of length 1.)
2. The distance between \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|$.
3. \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are orthogonal if $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$.

Theorem 7.2: Pythagorean theorem

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in an inner product space V . Then \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are orthogonal if and only if

$$\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2.$$

Definition: Orthogonal projection

Let $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ be an orthogonal basis for a subspace W of an inner product space V , and let \mathbf{v} be

a vector in V . Then the orthogonal projection $\text{proj}_W(\mathbf{v})$ of \mathbf{v} onto W is

$$\text{proj}_W(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle} \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_k \rangle} \mathbf{u}_k.$$

The component of \mathbf{v} orthogonal to W is the vector

$$\text{perp}_W(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} - \text{proj}_W(\mathbf{v})$$

Theorem 7.3: Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in an inner product space V . Then

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|,$$

with equality holding if and only if \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are scalar multiples of each other.

Theorem 7.4: Triangle inequality

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors in an inner product space V . Then

$$\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| + \|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

7.2 Least Squares Approximation

This section is motivated by the search for a “line of best fit” for a given set of data. We begin by speaking strictly in terms of vectors.

Definition: Best approximation of a vector

If W is a subspace of a normed vector space V and if \mathbf{v} is a vector in V , then the best approximation to \mathbf{v} in W is the vector $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ in W such that

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \bar{\mathbf{v}}\| < \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|$$

for every vector \mathbf{w} in W different from $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$.

Provided that V is equipped with an inner product, our problem has a simple solution.

Theorem 7.5: Best approximation theorem

If W is a finite-dimensional subspace of an inner product space V and if \mathbf{v} is a vector in V , then $\text{proj}_W(\mathbf{v})$ is the best approximation to \mathbf{v} in W .

We can use this pair of ideas to determine approximate solutions to inconsistent linear systems.

Definition: Least squares solution

If A is an $m \times n$ matrix and \mathbf{b} is in \mathbb{R}^m , a least squares solution of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is a vector $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|\mathbf{b} - A\bar{\mathbf{x}}\| \leq \|\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}\|$$

for all \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^n .

Note that $\mathbf{b} - A\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \text{perp}_{\text{col}(A)}(\mathbf{b})$. Therefore, $\mathbf{b} - A\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is in $(\text{col}(A))^\perp = \text{null}(A^T)$, and we have the following.

Theorem 7.6: Least squares theorem

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix and let \mathbf{b} be in \mathbb{R}^m . Then $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ always has at least one least squares solution $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$. Moreover:

- (a) $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is a least squares solution of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ if and only if $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is a solution of the normal equations $A^T A \bar{\mathbf{x}} = A^T \mathbf{b}$.
- (b) A has linearly independent columns if and only if $A^T A$ is invertible. In this case, the least squares solution of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is unique and is given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{b}.$$

Suppose we have a set of points of the form (x_i, y_i) , and we want to find a line of best fit $y = a + bx$ through them. To do this, we use the above theorem to find a least-squares solution to the linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Computing $A^T A$ may take some time, and solving the resulting system of equations may take even longer. However, if we have a QR factorization of A , our job is made much easier.

Theorem 7.7: Least squares via QR factorization

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix with linearly independent columns and let \mathbf{b} be in \mathbb{R}^m . If $A = QR$ is a QR factorization of A , then the unique least square solution $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = R^{-1} Q^T \mathbf{b}.$$

7.3 The Singular Value Decomposition

In this final section, we will briefly investigate one last defined decomposition. These have to do with the singular values of a matrix, defined below.

Definition: Singular values

If A is an $m \times n$ matrix, the singular values of A are the square roots of the eigenvalues of $A^T A$ and are denoted by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$. It is conventional to arrange the singular values so that $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n$.

If the eigenvalues of a matrix have to do with a lack of motion under a linear transformation, the singular values of a matrix quantifies the maximum and minimum motions of space under a linear transformation. We can use these singular values, along with the matrix's eigenvectors, to construct a relatively straightforward decomposition.

Theorem 7.8: Singular value decomposition

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix with singular values $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > 0$ and $\sigma_{r+1} = \sigma_{r+2} = \dots = \sigma_n = 0$. Then there exist an $m \times m$ orthogonal matrix U , an $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix V , and an $m \times n$ matrix Σ such that

$$A = U \Sigma V^T.$$

The matrix Σ contains the ordered singular values of A along its main diagonal. The matrices other V

and U are of the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} V &= [\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{v}_n] \\ U &= [\mathbf{u}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{u}_m] \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ are the eigenvectors of $A^T A$, $\mathbf{u}_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} A \mathbf{v}_i$ for $i \leq r$, and $\mathbf{u}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$ are vectors added to the set $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r\}$ to make it a basis for \mathbb{R}^m .

We can now make one last addition to the Fundamental Theorem.

Theorem 7.9: Additions to the FTLA

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix and let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation whose matrix $[T]_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}}$ with respect to bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} of V and W , respectively, is A . The following statements are equivalent:

(a) A is invertible.

\vdots

(u) 0 is not a singular value of A .

For the full theorem, see Appendix A.

A The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Algebra

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix and let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation whose matrix $[T]_{\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}}$ with respect to bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} of V and W , respectively, is A . The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) A is invertible.
- (b) $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a unique solution for every $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (c) $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ has only the trivial solution.
- (d) The reduced row echelon form of A is I_n .
- (e) A is a product of elementary matrices.
- (f) $\text{rank}(A) = n$
- (g) $\text{nullity}(A) = 0$
- (h) The column vectors of A are linearly independent.
- (i) The column vectors of A span \mathbb{R}^n .
- (j) The column vectors of A form a basis for \mathbb{R}^n .
- (k) The row vectors of A are linearly independent.
- (l) The row vectors of A span \mathbb{R}^n .
- (m) The row vectors of A form a basis for \mathbb{R}^n .
- (n) $\det A \neq 0$
- (o) 0 is not an eigenvalue of A .
- (p) T is invertible.
- (q) T is one-to-one.
- (r) T is onto.
- (s) $\ker(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.
- (t) $\text{range}(T) = W$.
- (u) 0 is not a singular value of A .