Moreover, the truth values of a statement is T when it is true and F when it is false. A statement can be simple or compound. A simple statement consists of one and only one declarative statement (one subject and one predicate).

For example:

p: Earth is round, is a simple statement.

A compound statement consists of two or more than two statements.

For example:

p: 2 + 2 = 4 and 5 + 7 < 13, is a compound statement consisting of two simple statements

$$q: 2 + 2 = 4$$

$$r: 5 + 7 < 13.$$

### 5.3.1 Negation of a Statement

Associated with every statement is another statement called its *negation*. In other words, the statement having meaning contradictory to the given statement p is called the negation of p and is denoted by p or p.

price: p or p is a statement "not p". It can also be expressed as

$$\neg p =$$
It is not the case that  $p$  is

OR

= It is false that p is

Thus, if p is true then  $\sim p$  is false and if p is false then  $\sim p$  is true.

For example:

Consider

then

$$p: 2 + 2 = 4$$

$$\neg p$$
:  $2/+2 \neq 4$ 

OR

OR

OR

OR

OR

OR

 $\neg p$ : It is false that 2 + 2 = 4.

The truth values of a statement can be enlisted in a table called Truth value. So, the Truth table of p and  $\neg p$  is given below:

p	$\neg p$
T	F
F	T

# 5.3.2 Conjunction (^)

If p and q are statements, the conjunction of p and q is the compound statement "p and q", denoted by  $p \wedge q$ . The connective and is denoted by the symbol  $\wedge$ .

The truth value of  $p \wedge q$  is True when both p and q are true otherwise it is false. The truth table of  $p \wedge q$  is given below.

**Truth Table** 

p	q	$p \wedge q$
T	T	T
Т	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

For example: p: 2 + 2 = 4

q: God exists

So the conjunction of p and q is

 $p \wedge q$ : 2 + 2 = 4 and God exists.

#### 5.3.3 Disjunction (v)

If p and q are statements, the disjunction of p and q is the compound statement "p or q", denoted by  $p \vee q$ . The connective **OR** is denoted by symbol  $p \vee q$ .

The truth value of  $p \vee q$  is True (T) when at least one of p or q is true; otherwise false (F). The truth table for  $p \vee q$  is given below:

**Truth Table** 

p .	q	$p \lor q$
T	T	T
Ţ	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

For example:

٠.

p: 2+2=5

q: God exists

 $p \vee q$ : 2 + 2 = 5 or God exists.

# 5.3.4 "Exclusisve OR"

Let p and q be propositions. The exclusive OR of p and q, denoted by  $p \oplus q$ , is the proposition that is true when exactly one of p and q is true and is false otherwise. The truth table for exclusive or is given below:

**Truth Table** 

p	q	$p \oplus q$
Т	T	F
Т	F	Т
F	T	T
F	F	F

#### 5.3.5 Implication or Conditional Statement

If p and q be two statements, the implication of p and q, denoted by  $p \Rightarrow q$ , is a statement "If p then q". The symbol " $\Rightarrow$ " is used for "If, then".

For example:

Consider p: 2+2=4

q: pigs can fly.

Therefore, the implication  $p \Rightarrow q$  is "If 2 + 2 = 4, then pigs can fly".

Here the statement p is called **Hypothesis** or antecedent or Assumption whereas q is called **Conclusion** or **Consequent**.

The truth value of  $p \Rightarrow q$  is F only when p is true and q is false; otherwise it is always True (T). Thus the conditional statement  $p \Rightarrow q$  is false only when Hypothesis is True and the conclusion is false.

The truth table of  $p \Rightarrow q$  is given as follows:

**Truth Table** 

p	$\overline{q}$	$p \Rightarrow q$
T	T	Т
Т	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

The proposition  $p \Rightarrow q$  may be expressed as:

p implies q

if p then q

p only if q

p is a sufficient condition for q, q is a necessary condition for p, q if p, q follows from p,

q provided p,

q whenever p.

#### Converse and Inverse 5.3.6

If  $p \Rightarrow q$  is an implication, then the converse of  $p \Rightarrow q$  is the implication  $q \Rightarrow p$  and the inverse of  $p \Rightarrow q$  is  $\neg p \Rightarrow \neg q$  whereas the contrapositive of  $p \Rightarrow q$  is the implication  $\sim q \Rightarrow \sim p$ .

## **Double Implication or Bi-conditional**

If p and q be statements, then bi-conditional of p and q, denoted by  $p \Leftrightarrow q$ , is a statement

"p If and only if q"

OR

"If p then q and if q then p".

The truth value of  $p \Leftrightarrow q$  is T when either both p and q are True or both are false. The truth table of  $p \Leftrightarrow q$  is given as follows:

T	ru	th	Ta	bl	e
-				~	

p	q	$p \Rightarrow q$	$q \Rightarrow p$	$p \Leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T	T	Т
T	F	F	Т	F
F	T	Т	F	F
F	F	T	Т	T

# LOGICALLY EQUIVALENCE

Two statements are said to be logically equivalent if both have the identical truth values truth values.

# WORKED OUT EXAMPLE: LOTS

Example 2. Which of the following sentences are statements? Give reasons for your answer

- (i) 2+3=5.
- (ii) The sum of all interior angles of a triangle is 180°.
- (iii) The sun will come out tomorrow.

- (iv) Delhi is capital of India.
- (v)  $\sqrt{2}$  is an irrational number.

#### Solution:

- (i) is a statement that happens to be true.
- (ii) is a statement; it has already been mathematically established that sum of the interior angles of a triangle is 180°.
- (iii) is a statement since it is either true or false but not both, although we would have to wait until tomorrow to find out if it is true or
- (iv) is a statement.
- (v) is a statement.

### Example 3. Negate the following statements:

- (i) 2+5>7.
- (ii) Pigs can fly.
- (iii) Both the diagonals of a rectangle have the same length.
- (iv)  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational.

Solution: The Negation of given statements are as follows:

- (i) 2+5 > 7.
- (ii) Pigs cannot fly.
- (iii) It is false that both the diagonals of a rectangle have same length.

#### OR

It is not the case that both the diagonals of a rectangle have same length.

(iv)  $\sqrt{2}$  is not rational.

Example 4. Combine the given below statements using conjunction:

(i) p: 2+2=4

- q: God exists
- (ii) p: It is snowing

q: 5+7<9

(iii) p: Pigs can fly

q: It is raining.

#### Solution:

- (i)  $p \wedge q$ : 2 + 2 = 4 and God exists.
- (ii)  $p \wedge q$ : It is snowing and 5 + 7 < 9
- (iii)  $p \wedge q$ : Pigs can fly and it is raining.

Example 5. Combine the given below statements using disjunction.

- (i) p: Delhi is capital of India
- $q: \sqrt{2}$  is rational q: It will snow tomorrow
- (ii) p: It will rain tomorrow
- (iii) p: I will drive my car

## Solution:

- (i)  $p \lor q$ : Delhi is capital of India or  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational.
- (ii)  $p \vee q$ : It will rain tomorrow or It will snow tomorrow.
- (iii)  $p \vee q$ : I will drive my car or I will be late.

Example 6. Write the truth value of the following propositions:

(i) 2 + 3 = 7

(ii) 7 + 8 < 10

- (iii) Punjab is capital of USA
- (iv) God exists and 2 + 2 = 4
- (v) 2 is a positive integer or  $\frac{5}{7}$  is a rational number.

#### Solution:

(i) False

(ii) False

- (iii) False
- (iv) Let p: God exists

q: 2 + 2 = 4

So the given statement can be written in symbolic form as  $p \wedge q$ . Here p is True and q is also True so  $p \wedge q$  is also True.

(v) Let p: 2 is a positive integer

q:  $\frac{5}{7}$  is a rational number.

So the given statement can be written in symbolic form as  $p \lor q$ . Now p is True and q is also True. So the truth value of  $p \lor q$  is True.

Example 7. Write each of the following in terms of p, q, r and logical connectives.

- (i) He is tall and handsome.
- (ii) It is raining and he is not driving.
- (iii) I am not in good mood and I am not going to movie.
- (iv) It is false to say that pigs can fly.
- (v) He is eating either apple or banana.
- (vi) If he drives 60 m.p.h then he will reach on time. Solution:
  - (i) Let p: He is tall.

q: He is handsome

Thus, he is tall and handsome can be written as  $p \wedge q$ .

Scanned with CamScanner

(ii) Let p: It is raining.

q: He is not driving.

 $\therefore$  It is raining and he is not driving can be expressed as  $p \land q$ 

(iii) Let p: I am in good mood

q: I am going to movie

Therefore  $\neg p$ : I am not in good mood

 $\neg q$ : I am not going to movie

 $\therefore \neg p \land \neg q = I$  am not in good mood and I am not going to movie

(iv) Let p: pigs can fly

 $\therefore$  It is false to say that pigs can fly =  $\neg p$ 

(v) Let p: He is eating apple

q: He is eating banana

 $\therefore$  He is eating apple or banana =  $p \lor q$ 

(vi) Let p: He drives 60 m.p.h.

q: He will reach on time.

So the given statement "If he drives 60 m.p.h. then he will reach on time" can be expressed in symbolic form as "If p then q" so the symbolic form is  $p \Rightarrow q$ .

Example 8. Write the truth value of the following statements:

- (i) If 2 + 1 = 3 then pigs can fly.
- (ii) If God exists then 12 + 5 > 8.
- (iii) If earth is not round, then honesty is not the best policy.

#### Solution:

(i) Let p: 2 + 1 = 3

q: pigs can fly

So the given statement can be expressed as  $p \Rightarrow q$ .

Here p is True and q is False. We know that the truh value of  $p \Rightarrow q$  is F when p is true and q is false. Hence, the statement "If 2 + 1 = 3 then pigs can fly" has truth F.

(ii) Let p: God exists

q: 12 + 5 > 8.

So, the given statement can be expressed as  $p \Rightarrow q$ . Here p is True and q is also True. We know that the truth value of  $p \Rightarrow q$  is T when both p and q are true. Hence, the truth value of "If God exists, then 12 + 5 > 8" has truth value T.

# 5.6 ALGEBRA OF PROPOSITIONS

# Laws of Logic

1. Idempotent Laws

$$p \lor p \equiv p$$

$$p \wedge p \equiv p$$

2. Commutative Laws

$$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$$

$$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$$

3. Associative Laws

$$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$$
  $(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$ 

$$(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$$

4. Distributive Laws

$$p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r) \quad p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$$

5. Law of Double Complimentation (Negation)

$$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$$

6. De Morgan's Laws

$$\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$$

$$\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

7. Negation Laws

$$p \lor \neg p \equiv T$$

$$p \land \neg p \equiv F$$

$$\neg T \equiv F$$

$$\neg F \equiv T$$

8. Identity Laws

$$p \wedge T \equiv p$$

$$p \vee F \equiv p$$

9. Absorption Laws

$$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$$

$$p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$$

10. Law of contrapositive

$$p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$$

11. Others

$$P \vee T = T$$

$$p \wedge c = c$$

Example 24. Find a logical expression equivalent to  $\neg(p \Rightarrow q)$  which is free from implication.

Solution: We already have established in earlier example

$$p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$$

$$\neg (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv \neg (\neg p \lor q)$$
$$\equiv \neg (\neg p) \land \neg q$$

Since R.H.S. is free from implication, therefore  $\neg(p \Rightarrow q) \equiv p \land \neg q$ .