

# Artificial Intelligence Practical File – Detailed Explanations

## Experiment 1: Implement Tic-Tac-Toe using A\* Algorithm

**Aim:** To implement intelligent move prediction in Tic-Tac-Toe using the A\* search algorithm.

### Theory:

Tic-Tac-Toe is a two-player zero-sum game. A\* algorithm can be applied by representing each board configuration as a state and evaluating the best move using heuristic evaluation. A\* uses the cost function:  $f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$  Where: •  $g(n)$ : cost from start state to current state •  $h(n)$ : heuristic estimating future winning chances For Tic-Tac-Toe, heuristic can be based on: • number of potential winning lines open • number of X or O in each row/column/diagonal • number of blocks preventing the opponent By expanding possible moves and selecting the minimum  $f(n)$ , A\* intelligently chooses the best move.

### Algorithm:

1. Initialize an empty Tic-Tac-Toe board
2. Define a heuristic  $h(n)$  based on scoring patterns
3. For every possible move:
  - a. Generate successor board state
  - b. Compute  $g(n)$  as depth of move
  - c. Evaluate  $h(n)$
  - d. Compute  $f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$
4. Pick the move with lowest  $f(n)$
5. Repeat until terminal state reached

**Conclusion:** A\* successfully chooses optimal moves, making the Tic-Tac-Toe agent highly intelligent.

## Experiment 2: 3 Missionaries and 3 Cannibals Problem using A\*

**Aim:** To solve the classic state-space problem ensuring safety using A\*.

### Theory:

This problem involves transporting 3 missionaries and 3 cannibals across a river. Constraints: • Cannibals must never outnumber missionaries • Boat can carry max two persons • All moves must be legal A\* explores combinations using heuristic:  $h(n) = \text{number\_of\_people\_left\_on\_initial\_side} / \text{boat\_capacity}$  State representation:  $(M_{\text{left}}, C_{\text{left}}, \text{boat\_side})$  Illegal states are immediately discarded. A\* guarantees the shortest valid sequence of crossings.

### Algorithm:

1. Define the start state  $(3,3,\text{left})$
2. Define goal state  $(0,0,\text{right})$
3. Generate all legal successor states
4. For every successor compute:  
 $g(n) = \text{cost so far}$

$h(n) = \text{people remaining} / 2$

$f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$

5. Expand the lowest  $f(n)$

6. Stop when reaching goal

**Conclusion:** A\* provides the most efficient strategy while ensuring safety constraints are always met.

## Experiment 3: 8-Puzzle Problem using A\*

**Aim:** To solve the 8-puzzle using heuristic-based search.

### Theory:

The 8-puzzle consists of 8 numbered tiles and one blank space. Heuristics used: **1. Misplaced Tiles Count** **2. Manhattan Distance** (sum of distances of each tile from its goal location) A\* is ideal because it finds the optimal least-cost path to solve the scrambled puzzle. Each move shifts the blank tile, generating new states.

### Algorithm:

1. Input initial puzzle configuration
2. Represent blank moves as successor states
3. For every state calculate:  
 $h_1(n)$ : misplaced tiles  
OR  
 $h_2(n)$ : Manhattan Distance
4. Compute  $f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$
5. Expand the node with lowest  $f(n)$
6. Stop when goal configuration is reached

**Conclusion:** A\* efficiently solves the puzzle using powerful heuristics ensuring optimality.

## Experiment 4: Implement Expert System

**Aim:** To design a rule-based expert system that mimics human reasoning.

### Theory:

Expert systems use knowledge bases and inference engines. Components: • Knowledge base (rules, facts) • Inference engine (forward/backward chaining) • Working memory Process: IF condition THEN action rules define decisions. The expert system evaluates user input and matches rules to produce results.

### Algorithm:

1. Define rules in IF-THEN format
2. Accept user input
3. Store input in working memory
4. Apply inference mechanism
5. Match rules and generate output

**Conclusion:** The expert system successfully demonstrates intelligent decision-making using rule-based reasoning.

## Experiment 5: Implement Alpha-Beta Pruning

**Aim:** To optimize minimax search in adversarial games such as chess/tic-tac-toe.

### Theory:

Minimax evaluates game states assuming two agents: MAX and MIN. Alpha-Beta pruning eliminates branches that cannot influence the final decision. •  $\alpha$  = best score MAX can guarantee •  $\beta$  = best score MIN can guarantee If  $\beta \leq \alpha$ , prune remaining branches.

### Algorithm:

1. Apply minimax to root node
2. At each node track  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$
3. If  $\alpha \geq \beta$  prune branch
4. Expand only useful branches
5. Return best scoring move

**Conclusion:** Alpha-Beta pruning reduces computation drastically while preserving optimality.

## Experiment 6: Develop Elementary Chatbot

**Aim:** To create a basic conversational agent using pattern-matching.

### Theory:

Chatbots rely on pattern-response rules: Example: User: "hi" Bot: "Hello! How can I help you?" Pattern-matching methods: • Keyword recognition • Template matching • Rule-based NLU System stores predefined input-output mappings.

### Algorithm:

1. Define a set of patterns
2. Accept user input
3. Match with closest pattern
4. Generate predefined response
5. Continue till exit

**Conclusion:** The chatbot can carry simple conversations and demonstrates AI interaction basics.

## Experiment 7: Goal-Stack Planning for Blocks World

**Aim:** To plan actions needed to achieve a final arrangement of blocks.

### Theory:

Blocks World is a classic planning problem involving stacking blocks in a desired configuration. Goal-Stack Planning: • Push final goal onto stack • Decompose into subgoals • Apply operators (pickup, putdown, unstack, stack) • Maintain state integrity

**Algorithm:**

1. Define initial state and goal state
2. Push goal onto stack
3. Pop goal → create subgoals
4. Apply operators in correct sequence
5. Continue until stack empty

**Conclusion:** This method manages planning systematically, achieving efficient block rearrangement.

## Experiment 8: Hill Climbing using Heuristic Search

**Aim:** To implement gradient-based local optimization.

**Theory:**

Hill Climbing selects the best immediate move based on heuristic scoring. Types:  
• Simple hill climbing  
• Steepest ascent  
• Stochastic hill climbing  
Limitation: May get stuck in local maxima or plateaus.

**Algorithm:**

1. Start at initial state
2. Evaluate neighbors
3. Move to the neighbor with best heuristic value
4. If no better neighbor exists → stop

**Conclusion:** Hill climbing is useful for optimization, although it may not always find global optimum.