Language Oriented Programming

Iavor S. Diatchki, Galois Inc.

February 2019

Thesis

1. It is convenient to implement the sub-components of a system in *custom programming languages*, tailored to the needs of the component.
2. This is the essence of "monadic" programming.
3. What features does a host language need to support this style of software development?

Language Primitives

Expressions are pure:

- evaluate to values
- flexible evaluation order
- example: combinatorial circuits

Statements are effectful:

- have a notion of sequencing
- do this, then do that
- example: a recipe

Monads

A *monad* is a language that uses statements.

Notation

```
s :: L t
```

- `s` is a statement,
- in language `L`
- which produces a value of type `t`.

Example:

```
getchar() :: C int
```

Sequencing Statements

Combine statements to form more complex ones:

If:

- `s1 :: L a`
- `s2 :: L b`, with a free variable `x :: a`

Then:

```
do { x <- s1; s2 } :: L b
```

Promoting Expressions to Statements

If:

```
e :: a          -- `e` is an expression
```

Then:

```
pure e :: L a
```

In many languages this is implicit.

Monad Laws = Reasonable Behavior

The grouping of statements is not important:

```
do { y <- do { x <- s1; s2 }; s3 } =
do { x <- s1; do { y <- s2; s3 } } =          -- modulo nami
do { x <- s1; y <- s2; s3 }
```

Expression statements don't have effects:

```
do { x <- pure x; s } =
s                     =
do { x <- s; pure x }
```

Effects

- Monadic structure = bare minimum.
- We need statements that do something.

Example:

```
getGreeting :: IO String
getGreeting =
  do putStrLn "What is your name?"
     x <- getLine
     pure ("Hello, " ++ x)

main :: IO ()
main =
  do msg <- getGreeting
     putStrLn msg
```

Three Questions

1. How do we specify the features of a language?
2. How do we write programs in a language?
3. How do we execute programs in the language?

Modular Language Construction

Start with a language of *primitives*, and extended with desired *features*.

```
type MyPL =
  DeclareLanguage
    [ F3              -- Feature 3
    , F2              -- Feature 2
    , F1              -- Feature 1
    ] Prim            -- Language of primitives
```

Primitive language examples:

- `IO`: a language for interacting with the OS
- `Pure`: no primitive language

Common Features

Data effects (aka variables)

- `Val x t` adds an immutable variable
- `Mut x t` adds a mutable variable
- `Collector x t` adds a collector variable

Control effects

- `Throws t` add support for exceptions
- `Backtracks` add support for backtracking

Feature Dependencies

The order in which features are added to a language is important (sometimes):

- Data effects are *orthogonal*: order is not important.
- Control effects are not: order in feature list matters.

Rule:

Existing features take precedence over new features.

Example

```
type PL1 =
  DeclareLanguage
    [ Throws E
    , MutVar X T
    ] Pure
```

```
type PL2 =
  DeclareLanguage
    [ MutVar X T
    , Throws E
    ] Pure
```

How do exceptions affect changes to `X`?

- `PL1`: changes survive exceptions
- `PL2`: changes are rolled back on exception

Bigger Example

A language for a type-checker:

```
type TCLang =
  [ Throws TCError                  -- Critical errors
  , Val Env   (Map Name Type)       -- Types of free variables
  , Mut Subst (Map TVar Type)       -- Inferred types
  , Col Ctrs  (Set Ctr)             -- Collected constraints
  , Col Warns (Set Warn)            -- Warnings
  ] IO                              -- Interact with solvers
```

Writing Programs

- Need a common notation for similar features across multiple language (e.g. read a variable).
- Exact behavior is determined by the language.

```
readVal   :: HasVal x t m          => x -> m t
getMut    :: HasMut x t m          => x -> m t
setMut    :: HasMut x t m          => x -> t -> m ()
appendTo  :: HasCollector x t m => x -> t -> m ()
throw     :: Throws t m            => t -> m a
backtrack :: Backtracks m          => m a
orElse    :: Backtracks m          => m a -> m a -> m a
```

Running Programs

Each feature can be "compiled" away:

```
val         :: Language m => (x := t) -> Val x t m a -> m a

mut         :: Language m => (x := t) -> Mut x t m a -> m (a

collector   :: Language m => Col x t m a -> m (a, [t])

throws      :: Language m => Throws t m a -> m (Except t a)

backtracks :: Language m => Maybe Int -> Backtracks m a ->
```

Or, we can compile and run the whole program:

```
run :: Run m => m a -> ExeResult m a
```

Scoped Statements

Allow for "nested" statement execution.

```
letVal    :: LetVal x t m     => x -> t -> m a -> m a
collect   :: CanCollect x t m => x -> m a -> m (a, [t])
try       :: CanCatch t m     => m a -> m (Except t a)
findUpTo  :: CanSearch m      => Maybe Int -> m a -> m [a]
```

Quite useful, much trickier semantics.

Haskell as a Host Language

Haskell is a *great* language for experimenting with language design:

- Type system tracks language fragments
- Lazyness for custom control flow operators
- Functions for binders and modelling jumps
- Overloading for reusable notation

Drawbacks of Embedding in Haskell

- Performance can be difficult to reason about
- Embedded notation not as neat as custom syntax
- Potentially confusing type errors
  - although custom type errors do help

Idea

Experience with Haskell has identified a set of useful abstractions.

Could we design a language that supports this style of programming directly?