

Language Oriented Programming

lavor S. Diatchki

February 2019

Thesis

1. It is convenient to implement the sub-components of a system in *custom programming languages*, tailored to the needs of the component.
2. This is the essence of “monadic” programming.
3. What features does a host language need to support this style of software development?

Language Primitives

Expressions are pure:

- ▶ evaluate to values
- ▶ flexible evaluation order
- ▶ example: combinatorial circuits

Statements are effectful:

- ▶ have a notion of sequencing
- ▶ do this, then do that
- ▶ example: a recipe

Monads

A *monad* is a language that uses statements.

Notation

$s : L \ t$

- ▶ s is a statement,
- ▶ in language L
- ▶ which produces a value of type t .

Example:

`getchar() : C int`

Sequencing Statements

Combine statements to form more complex ones:

If:

- ▶ $s1 : L\ a$
- ▶ $s2 : L\ b$, with a free variable $x : a$

Then:

$\text{do } \{ x \leftarrow s1; s2 \} : L\ b$

Promoting Expressions to Statements

If:

`e : a` *-- `e` is an expression*

Then:

`pure e : L a`

In many languages this is implicit.

Monad Laws = Reasonable Behavior

The grouping of statements is not important:

```
do { y <- do { x <- s1; s2 }; s3 } =  
do { x <- s1; do { y <- s2; s3 } } =  
do { x <- s1; y <- s2; s3 }
```

-- modulo naming

Expression statements don't have effects:

```
do { x <- pure x; s } =  
s  
do { x <- s; pure x }
```


Effects

- ▶ Monadic structure = bare minimum.
- ▶ We need statements that do something.

Example:

```
getGreeting :: IO String
getGreeting =
  do putStrLn "What is your name?"
     x <- getLine
     pure ("Hello, " ++ x)
```

```
main :: IO ()
main =
  do msg <- getGreeting
     putStrLn msg
```

Classes of Effects

- ▶ Data effects (aka “variables”)
 - ▶ Read-only variables (e.g., configuration)
 - ▶ Mutable variables
 - ▶ Write-only variables (aka “collectors”, e.g., logs)
- ▶ Control effects
 - ▶ Exceptions (early termination)
 - ▶ Backtracking (search)
 - ▶ Continuations (coroutines)