Language Oriented Programming

lavor S. Diatchki, Galois Inc.

February 2019

Thesis

- It is convenient to implement the sub-components of a system in custom programming languages, tailored to the needs of the component.
- 2. This is the essence of "monadic" programming.
- 3. What features does a host language need to support this style of software development?

Language Primitives

Expressions are pure:

- evaluate to values
- flexible evaluation order
- example: combinatorial circuits

Statements are effectful:

- have a notion of sequencing
- do this, then do that
- example: a recipe

Monads

A \emph{monad} is a language that uses statements.

Notation

```
s :: L t
```

- ▶ s is a statement,
- ▶ in language L
- ▶ which produces a value of type t.

Example:

```
getchar() :: C int
```

Sequencing Statements

Combine statements to form more complex ones:

lf:

```
▶ s1 :: L a
```

s2 :: L b, with a free variable x :: a

Then:

```
do { x <- s1; s2 } :: L b
```

Promoting Expressions to Statements

In many languages this is implicit.

Monad Laws = Reasonable Behavior

The grouping of statements is not important:

```
do { y <- do { x <- s1; s2 }; s3 } = do { x <- s1; do { y <- s2; s3 } } = do { x <- s1; y <- s2; s3 }
```

Expression statements don't have effects:

-- modulo nami:

Effects

- Monadic structure = bare minimum.
- We need statements that do something.

Example:

```
getGreeting :: IO String
getGreeting =
  do putStrLn "What is your name?"
    x <- getLine
    pure ("Hello, " ++ x)

main :: IO ()
main =
  do msg <- getGreeting
    putStrLn msg</pre>
```

Three Questions

- 1. How do we specify the features of a language?
- 2. How do we write programs in a language?
- 3. How do we execute programs in the language?

Modular Language Construction

Start with a language of *primitives*, and extended with desired *features*.

Primitive language examples:

- IO: a language for interacting with the OS
- Pure: no primitive language

Common Features

Data effects (aka variables)

- ▶ Val x t adds an immutable variable
- ► Mut x t adds a mutable variable
- Collector x t adds a collector variable

Control effects

- ► Throws t add support for exceptions
- Backtracks add support for backtracking

Feature Dependencies

The order in which features are added to a language is important (sometimes):

- Data effects are orthogonal: order is not important.
- ► Control effects are not: order in feature list matters.

Rule:

Existing features take precedence over new features.

Example

How do exceptions affect changes to x?

- PL1: changes survive exceptions
- ▶ PL2: changes are rolled back on exception

Writing Programs

- ▶ Need a common notation for similar features across multiple language (e.g. read a variable).
- Exact behavior is determined by the language.

orElse :: Backtracks m

```
readVal :: HasVal x t m => x -> m t

getMut :: HasMut x t m => x -> m t

setMut :: HasMut x t m => x -> t -> m ()

appendTo :: HasCollector x t m => x -> t -> m ()

throw :: Throws t m => t -> m a

backtrack :: Backtracks m => m a
```

=> m a -> m a -> m a

Running Programs

Each feature can be "compiled" away:

```
val :: Language m => (x := t) -> Val x t m a -> m a
mut :: Language m => (x := t) -> Mut x t m a -> m (a
collector :: Language m => Col x t m a -> m (a, [t])
throws :: Language m => Throws t m a -> m (Except t a)
backtracks :: Language m => Maybe Int -> Backtracks m a ->
```

Or, we can compile and run the whole program:

```
run :: Run m => m a -> ExeResult m a
```

Scoped Statements

Allow for "nested" statement execution.

```
collect :: CanCollect x t m => x -> m a -> m (a, [t])
try :: CanCatch t m => m a -> m (Except t a)
findUpTo :: CanSearch m => Maybe Int -> m a -> m [a]
```

letVal :: LetVal x t m => x := t -> m a -> m a

Quite useful, much trickier semantics.

Bigger Example

A language for a type-checker:

Haskell as a Host Language

Haskell is a great language for experimenting with language design:

- ► Type system tracks language fragments
- ► Lazyness for custom control flow operators
- Functions for binders and modelling jumps
- Overloading for reusable notation

Drawbacks of Embedding in Haskell

- ▶ Performance can be difficult to reason about
- Embedded notation not as neat as custom syntax
- Potentially confusing type errors
 - although custom type errors do help



Experience with Haskell has identified a set of useful abstractions.

Could we design a language that supports this style of programming directly?