



Figure 4. Effects of VIP activation are strongest in layer 4.

A. Mean sound modulation index during laser-on and laser-off trials, across cortical layers. VIP activation significantly suppressed modulation of neural activity by sound in layer 4, but not other layers. L2/3 laser-off 0.50 ± 0.03 laser-on 0.51 ± 0.03 , $n = 20$; L4 laser-off 0.46 ± 0.03 , laser-on 0.29 ± 0.06 , $n = 40$; L5 laser-off 0.50 ± 0.02 , laser-on 0.49 ± 0.02 , $n = 178$; L6 laser-off 0.65 ± 0.08 , laser-on 0.45 ± 0.17 , $n = 6$; $\chi^2(3,240) = 14.42$, $p = 0.0024$, post-hoc signed-rank for L4 (MI laser-on vs laser-off) $p = 0.0014$; $r = 0.36$).

B. The effect of VIP activation on sound modulation in layer 4 was driven by evoked activity in narrow-spiking neurons. Laser effect is the difference in evoked activity between laser-on and laser-off trials, normalized to each cell's peak laser-off firing rate. Evoked activity in layer 4 narrow-spiking cells was significantly suppressed by VIP activation (NS $\chi^2(3,73) = 10.06$, $p = 0.0141$; post-hoc rank-sum for L4 laser effect < 0 : $p = 0.0161$; L4 NS vs. RS cells: $p = 0.0230$).

C. Laser effect for spontaneous activity in regular-spiking neurons was similar across all cortical layers, but for narrow-spiking cells was suppressed in L4 (NS: $\chi^2(3,73) = 8.8$, $p = 0.03$).

D. Depth distribution of cells that were either suppressed or disinhibited by VIP activation, for evoked activity. Peak density of disinhibited cells was in layer 5; suppressed cells showed an additional peak in layer 4 (arrow). We defined L4 as 381 - 525 μm .

E. Depth distributions of suppressed and disinhibited cells for spontaneous activity were similar to each other. Peak densities were in layer 5.