



An instance of the result of the test code;

Plot: “test number + result+  $n$  values + calculation time”

- 1 0 // for  $n=0$ ,  $F_0$  is calculated in 1099 nanoseconds.
- 2 5 // for  $n=5$ ,  $F_5$  is calculated in 801 nanoseconds.
- 3 55 // for  $n=10$ ,  $F_{10}$  is calculated in 15000 nanoseconds.
- 4 610 // for  $n=15$ ,  $F_{15}$  is calculated in 129100 nanoseconds.
- 5 6765 // for  $n=20$ ,  $F_{20}$  is calculated in 140300 nanoseconds.
- 6 75025 // for  $n=25$ ,  $F_{25}$  is calculated in 623701 nanoseconds.
- 7 832040 // for  $n=30$ ,  $F_{30}$  is calculated in 3958399 nanoseconds.
- 8 9227465 // for  $n=35$ ,  $F_{35}$  is calculated in 44132200 nanoseconds.
- 9 102334155 // for  $n=40$ ,  $F_{40}$  is calculated in 489409600 nanoseconds.
- 10 1134903170 // for  $n=45$ ,  $F_{45}$  is calculated in 5393003601 nanoseconds.

11 12586269025 // for n=50 ,F\_50 is calculated in 59872278300 nanoseconds.

-----

1 0 // for n=0 ,F\_0 is calculated in 8399 nanoseconds.

2 5 // for n=5 ,F\_5 is calculated in 6901 nanoseconds.

3 55 // for n=10 ,F\_10 is calculated in 999 nanoseconds.

4 610 // for n=15 ,F\_15 is calculated in 5001 nanoseconds.

5 6765 // for n=20 ,F\_20 is calculated in 4399 nanoseconds.

6 75025 // for n=25 ,F\_25 is calculated in 3700 nanoseconds.

7 832040 // for n=30 ,F\_30 is calculated in 3201 nanoseconds.

8 9227465 // for n=35 ,F\_35 is calculated in 3600 nanoseconds.

9 102334155 // for n=40 ,F\_40 is calculated in 5100 nanoseconds.

10 1134903170 // for n=45 ,F\_45 is calculated in 3099 nanoseconds.

11 12586269025 // for n=50 ,F\_50 is calculated in 2000 nanoseconds.

As clearly seen in the results, recursion is way slower than while loop calculator since the recursive method call is overdone, and therefore, call stack usage is enormously increased. Java should store method parameters, local variables for each recursive method call to maintain the state of the method before that call. “Java isn’t performing tail call optimization either, so don’t count on it.” Hence, ignoring that Java doesn’t optimize Tail Recursion, loops such as while, do or for, must reasonably be faster compared to the recursion in this example.

The other linked problem is what happens if we increase  $n$  from 50 to a greater number, say 100. It has a probability of getting an error, which is stack overflow. Because the larger number we choose, the more recursion we do. Therefore, computers require more memory allocation for much more new stack frames

for example on `calcFibA()` method, lets say,  $n = 5$ ;

in this situation, function calls first `calcFibA(5)` and it returns `calcFibA(4) + calcFibA(3)`, and then, java need to call the methods one by one, therefore, it calculates `calcFibA(4)`, which is `calcFibA(3) + calcFibA(2)`.

So we have `calcFibA(3) + calcFibA(2) + calcFibA(3)`.

And then `calcFibA(3)` should be calculated, which is `calcFibA(2) + calcFibA(1)`.

Then the last `calcFibA(3)` will be calculated, which is again `calcFibA(2) + calcFibA(1)`.

As a result, we have ;

`calcFibA(5) = calcFibA(2) + calcFibA(1) + calcFibA(2) + calcFibA(2) + calcFibA(1)`.

Lastly, `calcFibA(2) = calcFibA(1) + calcFibA(1)`.

Therefore we have  $5 * (\text{calcFibA}(1)) = 5$

To conclude, every recursion need a new method call stack that is accumulated in memory, and it cause a work overload.