# A Generalization of Brown's Construction for the Degree/Diameter Problem

#### Yawara ISHIDA

August 3, 2015

#### Abstract

The degree/diameter problem is the problem of finding the largest possible number of vertices  $n_{\Delta,D}$  in a graph of given degree  $\Delta$  and diameter D. We consider the problem for the case of diameter D=2. William G Brown gave a lower bound of the order of  $(\Delta, 2)$ -graph. In this paper, we give a generalization of his construction and improve the lower bounds for the case of  $\Delta=306$  and  $\Delta=307$ . One is (306, 2)-graph with 88723 vertices, the other is (307, 2)-graph with 88724 vertices.

## 1 Introduction

A graph G = (V, E) consists of a set V of vertices and a set  $E \subset \{(v, w) \in V^2 | v \neq w\}$  of edges. If (v, w) is in E, it is said that v and w are adjacent, which is denoted by  $v \sim w$ . The order |G| of the graph is the number of vertices. The neighbors N(v) of the vertex v is a set of vertices which are adjacent to v. The degree  $\delta(v)$  of the vertex v is the number of neighbors |N(v)|. The degree  $\Delta(G)$  of the graph G is the maximum degree of vertices, namely  $\Delta(G) = \max\{\delta(v) | v \in V\}$ . The distance of the pair (v, w) of vertices is the shortest path length between v and w. The diameter D(G) of the graph is the maximum distance of all pairs of vertices.

The degree/diameter problem is the problem of finding the largest possible number  $n_{\Delta,D}$  of vertices in a graph of given degree  $\Delta$  and diameter D [3] [1]. Let G be a graph with degree  $\Delta$  ( $\Delta > 2$ ) and diameter D, then we have

$$|G| \le n_{\Delta,D} \le 1 + \Delta \sum_{k=1}^{D-1} (\Delta - 1)^k$$

The right hand side of the above equation is called *Moore bound*. On the other hand, a lower bound of  $n_{\Delta,D}$  for small degree and small diameter are available at http://combinatoricswiki.org. Especially for case of D=2 and large degree, there exists the general construction gives a lower bound of  $n_{\Delta,2}$ , which is called the *Brown's construction* [3]. Let  $F_q$  be the finite field, where q is a

power of a prime. We can construct the graph  $B(F_q)$  whose vertices are lines in  $F_q^3$  and two lines are adjacent if and only if they are orthogonal. It follows that

$$|B(F_q)| = q^2 + q + 1, \quad \Delta(B(F_q)) = q + 1, \quad D(B(F_q)) = 2.$$

The degree of each vertex of  $B(F_q)$  is q+1 or q. Among  $q^2+q+1$  vertices, q+1 vertices are of degree q and  $q^2$  vertices are of degree q+1. If q is a power of 2, there exists (q+1,2)-graph with  $q^2+q+2$  vertices [2]. In this paper, we generalize Brown's construction, in which we replace a field with a commutative ring, and search new records of the degree/diameter problem.

## 2 Generalized Brown's Construction

Let R be a commutative ring with unity.  $R^*$  denotes the set of invertible elements of R.  $R^3$  is naturally seen as R-module. The addition and R-action are defined by coordinate-wise. The  $inner\ product \cdot : R^3 \times R^3 \Rightarrow R$  is defined as follows

$$(v_1, v_2, v_3) \cdot (w_1, w_2, w_3) = v_1 w_1 + v_2 w_2 + v_3 w_3$$

 $\boldsymbol{v}$  and  $\boldsymbol{w}$  are *orthogonal* if and only if the inner product vanishes, namely  $\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} = 0$ . The *cross product*  $\times : R^3 \times R^3 \Rightarrow R$  is defined as follows

$$(v_1, v_2, v_3) \cdot (w_1, w_2, w_3) = (v_2w_3 - v_3w_2, v_3w_1 - v_1w_3, v_1w_2 - v_2w_1)$$

**Definition 1.** Let R be a commutative ring with unity. The vertex set V of the graph B(R) is

$$V = (R^3 \setminus \{ \boldsymbol{v} | \exists r \in R, r \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{0} \}) / \sim$$

where  $\mathbf{v} \sim \mathbf{w}$  if and only if there exists  $k \in \mathbb{R}^*$  such that  $k \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}$ . The two vertices  $[\mathbf{v}]$  and  $[\mathbf{w}]$  are adjacent if and only if  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$ .

The adjacency of the definition above is well-defined because the orthogonality does not depends on the selection of representatives. We call the above construction which gives a graph from a ring the  $generalized\ Brown's\ construction$ . It is clear that the new construction coincides with Brown's one when the ring R is a field.

**Lemma 1.** Let E be a Euclidean domain and u be a prime element in E. If  $E/(u^k)$  is a finite ring, then the degree of each vertex of  $B(E/(u^k))$  is  $\Delta$  or  $\Delta - 1$ , where  $(u^k)$  is the principal ideal generated by  $u^k$ .

Proof. It is clear that the degrees of vertices represented by (1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1) are same. Let  $\mathbf{v} = ([a],[b],[c])$  be a representative of any vertex where  $a,b,c \in E$ . If any element of [a],[b],[c] is not invertible in  $E/(u^k)$ , there exist natural numbers  $1 \leq l,m,n < k$  and some elements a',b',c' in E such that  $a = u^l a', b = u^m b', c = u^n c'$ .  $\mathbf{v}$  is not a representative of vertices, the equation  $[u^{\min(l,m,n)}] \cdot \mathbf{v} = ([0],[0],[0])$  holds. This is a contradiction. Therefore, at leatst one element of [a],[b],[c] is invertible. If [a] is invertible, there exists one-to-one

correspondence  $\overline{U}: N([(1,0,0)]) \to N([\boldsymbol{v}])$  such that for all  $[\boldsymbol{w}] \in N([1,0,0])$ ,  $\overline{U}([\boldsymbol{w}]) = [{}^t\!U^{-1}\boldsymbol{w}]$  where U is an invertible matrix defined as follows

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} [a] & 0 & 0 \\ [b] & 1 & 0 \\ [c] & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0$ , then  $\delta([\boldsymbol{v}]) = \delta([(1,0,0)] - 1$ . If not so,  $\delta([\boldsymbol{v}]) = \delta([(1,0,0)])$ . In the same way, if [b]/[c] is invertible, then  $\delta([\boldsymbol{v}])$  is  $\delta([(0,1,0)])/\delta([(0,0,1)])$  or  $\delta([(1,0,0)]/\delta([(0,0,1)]) - 1$ . Therefore, for all the vertex  $[\boldsymbol{v}]$ ,

$$\delta([\boldsymbol{v}]) = \delta([(1,0,0)]) = \Delta$$

**Lemma 2.** Let E be a Euclidean domain and I be an ideal of E. The diameter of B(E/I) is 2.

Proof. For any two distinct vertices represented by  $\mathbf{v} = ([v_1], [v_2], [v_3])$  and  $\mathbf{w} = ([w_1], [w_2], [w_3])$ , consider the cross product  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}$ . If  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $[v_i] \cdot \mathbf{w} = [w_i] \cdot \mathbf{v}$  for i = 1, 2, 3. There exists  $e \in E$  such that I = (e) because any Euclidean domain is a principal ideal domain. If  $\gcd(v_1, v_2, v_3, e)$  is not a unity, where  $\gcd$  is a greatest common divisor, there exists  $e' \neq 1$  in E such that e = de'.  $\mathbf{v}$  is not a representative because  $[e'] \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore d is a unity, namely  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ ,  $v_3$ , e are coprime. Then there exist  $a, b, c, d \in E$  such that  $av_1 + bv_2 + cv_3 + de = 1$  in E. Seeing this formula in E/I, we get  $[a][v_1] + [b][v_2] + [c][v_3] = [1]$ .

$$\mathbf{v} = [1] \cdot \mathbf{v} = ([a][v_1] + [b][v_2] + [c][v_3])\mathbf{v} = ([a][w_1] + [b][w_2] + [c][w_3])\mathbf{w}$$

means [v] = [w], which is a contradiction to that two vertices are distinct, then  $v \times w \neq 0$ . If  $v \times w$  is a representative of vertex,  $[v \times w]$  is adjacent to [v] and [w]. If  $v \times w = ([k_1], [k_2], [k_3])$  is not a representative of vertex,  $v \times w = [\gcd(k_1, k_2, k_3)] \cdot u$  and u is a representative of vertex. [u] is adjacent to [v] and [w].

**Theorem.** The following equations hold.

1. 
$$|B(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k})| = p^{2k} + p^{2k-1} + p^{2k-2}$$

2. 
$$\Delta(B(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k})) = p^k + p^{k-1}$$

3. 
$$D(\mathbb{Z}_{n^k}) = 2$$

*Proof.* It is straightforward to show the formula of the order of  $B(\mathbb{Z}_{n^k})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k})| &= & \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}|^3 - |\{mp|0 \le m < k\}|^3}{|\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}| - |\{mp|0 \le m < k\}|} \\ &= & \frac{(p^k)^3 - (p^{k-1})^3}{p^k - p^{k-1}} = p^{2k} + p^{2k-1} + p^{2k-2} \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1, it is only enough to show that the degree of the vertex represented by (1,0,0) satisfy the formula of the degree of  $B(\mathbb{Z}_{n^k})$ .

$$\Delta(\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p^k)) = \delta([(1,0,0)]) = \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}|^2 - |\{mp|0 \le m < k\}|^2}{|\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}| - |\{mp|0 \le m < k\}|}$$
$$= \frac{(p^k)^2 - (p^{k-1})^2}{p^k - p^{k-1}} = p^k + p^{k-1}$$

Using Lemma 2, we get  $D(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}_p^k))=2$ 

We search new records among graphs by generalized Brown's construction. Using the above theorem,  $B(\mathbb{Z}_{17^2})$  has degree 306 and diameter 2 and 88723 vertices. It is a new record of (306, 2) of the degree/diameter problem because it cannot be obtained from ordinary Brown's construction. The power of a prime less than 305 = 306 - 1 is  $293^1$  and the graph  $B(\mathbb{Z}_{293})$  obtained from ordinary Brown's construction of  $293^1$  has 294 = 293 + 1 degree and  $86143 = 293^2 + 293 + 1$  vertices. The old record of 306 = 294 + 12 is 86156 = 86143 + 12 obtained from  $B(\mathbb{Z}_{293})$  by duplicating vertices. In the same way, the graph obtained from  $B(\mathbb{Z}_{17^2})$  by duplicating any one vertex, whose order is 88724, is a new record of (307, 2) because the power of a prime less than 306 = 307 - 1 is  $293^1$ .

## 3 Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Ryosuke Mizuno, Nobuhito Tamaki, and Sakie Suzuki.

## References

- [1] William G Brown. On graphs that do not contain a thomsen graph. Canad. Math. Bull, 9(2):1–2, 1966.
- [2] Paul Erdös, Siemion Fajtlowicz, and Alan J. Hoffman. Maximum degree in graphs of diameter 2. *Networks*, 10(1):87–90, 1980.
- [3] M. Miller and J. Širáň. Moore graphs and beyond: a survey of the degree/diameter problem. The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, (DS14), 2005.