

## Two Endogenous Growth Models

Focus: how technological progress / knowledge  $A(t)$  is generated and how this changes long-run growth.

### Endogenous Growth Model (Knowledge Accumulation with Exogenous R&D Shares)

**Core idea.** Interpret Solow's  $A(t)$  as *knowledge* and specify a technology for producing new knowledge. A fixed share of resources is allocated to R&D (exogenous allocation), so the model explains  $\dot{A}$  but does not fully microfound the R&D share.

**Baseline setup (illustrative, no-capital version).**

- Labor is split: production uses  $(1 - a_L)L(t)$  and R&D uses  $a_L L(t)$ , where  $a_L \in (0, 1)$  is exogenous.
- Output (final good):

$$Y(t) = A(t) (1 - a_L)L(t).$$

- Knowledge production:

$$\dot{A}(t) = B [a_L L(t)]^\gamma A(t)^\theta, \quad B > 0, \gamma > 0.$$

- Knowledge growth rate:

$$g_A(t) \equiv \frac{\dot{A}(t)}{A(t)} = B [a_L L(t)]^\gamma A(t)^{\theta-1}.$$

**Balanced-growth implications (key regimes).**

- If  $\theta < 1$ ,  $g_A(t)$  converges to a constant; long-run growth is tied to population growth:

$$g_A^* = \frac{\gamma}{1-\theta} n \quad \text{when} \quad \frac{\dot{L}}{L} = n.$$

In this case,  $a_L$  mainly affects *levels* (transition and scale), not the asymptotic growth rate.

- If  $\theta = 1$ , then  $g_A(t) = B[a_L L(t)]^\gamma$ ; with  $n = 0$ , growth is constant immediately.
- If  $\theta > 1$ , knowledge growth can accelerate over time (non-constant growth rates).

**Generalization with capital (typical extended form).**

- Output with resource splits:

$$Y(t) = [(1 - a_K)K(t)]^\alpha [A(t)(1 - a_L)L(t)]^{1-\alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

- Knowledge production:

$$\dot{A}(t) = B [a_K K(t)]^\beta [a_L L(t)]^\gamma A(t)^\theta.$$

- Capital accumulation (often in the notes  $\delta = 0$  for simplicity):

$$\dot{K}(t) = sY(t) - \delta K(t).$$

**Takeaway.** This model endogenizes technology by specifying  $\dot{A}$ , but keeps the R&D allocation rules  $(a_L, a_K)$  exogenous.

# Romer Model (Patents, Variety Expansion, and Endogenous R&D Labor)

**Core idea.** Endogenize the *incentive* to conduct R&D. Ideas are patented (property rights), intermediate-goods producers earn monopoly profits, and free entry into R&D pins down the equilibrium amount of R&D labor. Growth arises from expansion in the number of varieties  $A(t)$ .

**Structure.**

- $A(t)$  is the mass/number of patented varieties.
- Final-good production aggregates intermediate inputs  $\{y(i, t)\}_{i \in [0, A(t)]}$  with CES:

$$Y(t) = \left( \int_0^{A(t)} y(i, t)^\phi di \right)^{1/\phi}, \quad 0 < \phi < 1.$$

- Each intermediate good  $i$  is produced using labor one-for-one:

$$y(i, t) = L(i, t).$$

- Labor market clearing (R&D plus production labor equals total labor  $\bar{L}$ ):

$$L_A(t) + \int_0^{A(t)} L(i, t) di = \bar{L} \iff L_A(t) + A(t)y(t) = \bar{L},$$

under symmetry  $y(i, t) = y(t)$ .

**Monopoly pricing (markup).**

- Intermediate producers set prices; with CES demand, optimal pricing implies a constant markup over the wage:

$$p(i, t) = \frac{1}{\phi} W(t).$$

**Knowledge accumulation (variety expansion).**

- New varieties are produced by R&D labor:

$$\dot{A}(t) = B L_A(t) A(t), \quad B > 0.$$

Thus, the growth rate of varieties is

$$\frac{\dot{A}(t)}{A(t)} = B L_A(t).$$

**Households and Euler equation.**

- With log utility (representative household):

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} \ln C(t) dt,$$

the Euler equation is

$$\frac{\dot{C}(t)}{C(t)} = r(t) - \rho.$$

**Free entry into R&D and equilibrium R&D labor.**

- Entrepreneurs enter R&D until the value of a new patent equals its creation cost, implying an interior equilibrium of the form

$$L_A = \max \left\{ (1 - \phi) \bar{L} - \frac{\phi \rho}{B}, 0 \right\}.$$

- Then balanced growth features constant growth rates:

$$\frac{\dot{A}}{A} = BL_A, \quad \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} = \frac{\dot{C}}{C} = \frac{\dot{W}}{W} = \frac{1-\phi}{\phi} BL_A,$$

and a constant interest rate consistent with household optimality.

**Efficiency benchmark (planner vs market).**

- The decentralized equilibrium can underinvest in R&D relative to the planner due to monopoly distortions / externalities; a common comparison is

$$L_A^{\text{EQ}} = (1 - \phi) L_A^{\text{OPT}},$$

so equilibrium R&D labor is below the socially optimal level.

**Takeaway.** The model provides a market mechanism that pins down  $L_A$  and generates sustained growth through idea accumulation with patent-driven incentives.

**Side-by-side comparison (what to remember)**

Feature	Endogenous Growth Model	Romer Model
What is $A(t)$ ?	Knowledge / effectiveness of labor	Number (mass) of patented varieties
How does $A$ grow?	$\dot{A} = B(\text{R\&D inputs}) \cdot A^\theta$	$\dot{A} = BL_A A$
R&D allocation	Exogenous shares ( $a_L, a_K$ given)	Endogenous $L_A$ from profits + free entry
Source of sustained growth	Knowledge accumulation; regime depends on $\theta$	Variety expansion with patent incentives
Welfare / efficiency	Typically focused on growth mechanics; allocation taken as given	Equilibrium can underinvest in R&D vs planner