Title: The General Adaptive Agency (GAA)
Framework: A First-Principles Model of Adaptive
Systems

Author: Yerbol Baigozin / Independent researcher

Date: July 1, 2025

#### **Foreword**

The theory presented in the following pages, the **General Adaptive Agency (GAA)** framework, is the culmination of a lifelong, multidisciplinary inquiry into a single, fundamental question: what are the universal rules that govern success and failure in any complex system?

I approach this question not as a formal scientist, but as a practitioner. While my formal education is in **economics**, my career has taken me through several different spheres before my current work in the consulting space as a growth mentor for startups and established businesses. This has given me a unique vantage point—a laboratory where the raw dynamics of traction, friction, growth, and decay are not abstract variables but daily, high-stakes realities. My learning has always been driven by a relentless, cross-disciplinary curiosity, taking me on a journey through fields as diverse as economics and business, to fundamental physics and human psychology. I have always been a researcher by nature, if not by formal training.

The GAA framework is the result of this journey. It is an attempt to synthesize the deep patterns I have observed into a single, coherent, and universal model. I am aware that in applying this model to specific scientific fields in which I am not an expert, my interpretations may be seen as speculative, and will at times not align perfectly with the established orthodoxy. My primary goal is not to challenge the data of these fields, but to offer a new lens through which they can be viewed in relation to one another—to present the idea of a new paradigm shift.

I believe that the **GAA** framework, despite any specific errors in its domain applications, provides an intrinsically solid foundation for a new science of agency.

Finally, a note on language. As I am not a native English speaker, though I am fluent, I have utilized the assistance of AI in translating certain concepts, generating clarifying text, and ensuring the language is precise. However, I want to be unequivocally clear: I am fully and solely responsible for

the core ideas, the central logic, the overarching architecture, the key insights, and the deep meaning of this framework. The theory presented here is my own.

This work is therefore offered not as a final answer, but as a formal invitation to a new and exciting conversation.

#### Abstract

The study of complex adaptive systems—spanning economics, biology, psychology, and artificial intelligence—has produced deep insights within specific domains but lacks a unifying quantitative framework. This paper introduces the General Adaptive Agency (GAA) framework as a candidate for this universal language. We posit that the viability of any agent, from a particle to a corporation, can be described by a foundational equation of Net Strategic Vitality: NSV = T - F, where T represents the cohesive forces of Order (Traction) and F represents the dissipative forces of Chaos (Friction). The framework is built upon first principles, drawing direct analogues from the Second Law of Thermodynamics, the Free Energy Principle, and the Principle of Least Action to establish a physics-based model of agency. We model the agent as a multi-layered neural network that processes information through an Adaptive Update Cycle (AUC), and we describe the scale-invariant fractal architecture through which simple agents combine via deterministic Phase Transitions to form complex, hierarchical systems. The utility of this framework is demonstrated by applying it as a unified lens to diverse domains, revealing analogous dynamics in organizational strategy, evolutionary biology, artificial intelligence, and fundamental physics. Ultimately, the GAA offers a lingua franca for the sciences of complexity, providing a new, integrated system for understanding how all adaptive agents navigate the fundamental struggle between order and chaos.

#### Preamble

### 1. Introduction

# 1.1. The Problem of Agency: A Missing Lingua Franca

An economist models the behavior of a firm in a competitive market. A biologist studies the evolution of a species within a changing ecosystem. A psychologist analyzes the structure of the human mind as it navigates life's stressors. A computer scientist designs artificial intelligence to solve complex problems. Each of these experts, working in disparate fields, is fundamentally studying the same phenomenon: a complex adaptive agent striving to survive and thrive in an uncertain environment. Yet, they lack a shared conceptual language. There is no common, underlying "physics" to describe the universal principles of adaptation, making it difficult to

translate insights from one domain to another and obscuring the fundamental laws that govern all these systems.

# 1.2. Thesis: Proposing a Universal Framework

This paper introduces the **General Adaptive Agency (GAA)** framework as a candidate for this universal language. We posit that the complex dynamics of any agent can be understood through the primordial, universal duality of two opposing forces:

- Traction (T): The principle of Order, cohesion, capability, and effective, value-creating action.
- Friction (F): The principle of Chaos, resistance, decay, and value-destroying events.

The core of the **GAA** is a universal ledger for measuring an agent's state, its **Net Strategic Vitality** (**NSV**), through the foundational equation:  $\mathbf{NSV} = \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{F}$ . The purpose of this paper is to formally define the architecture of this agent, the rules of its dynamics, the laws of its scaling, and to demonstrate its power as a "lingua franca" for the sciences of adaptation.

# 1.3. Structure of the Paper

This paper builds the **GAA** framework from the ground up. **Part I** establishes its axiomatic foundations in the principles of physics and information theory. **Part II** details the agent's core architecture as a two-level neural network and defines its foundational archetypes. **Part III** explains the mechanics of the agent's ledger through static and dynamic calculations. **Part IV** describes the fractal architecture of agency, explaining how simple agents emerge to form complex systems. **Part V** outlines the primary dynamics that govern interactions between agents. Finally, **Part VI** demonstrates the framework's broad utility by applying it as a unified lens to seven distinct scientific and strategic domains, from organizational strategy to fundamental physics.

# Part I: Axiomatic Foundations: The Physics of Agency

The General Adaptive Agency (GAA) framework seeks to establish a universal language for all adaptive systems. To do so, its foundations cannot be based on the specifics of any single domain, such as business or biology. Instead, they must be grounded in the most fundamental principles that govern reality itself. This section outlines the four axiomatic pillars—from thermodynamics, systems theory, and physics—that logically give rise to the framework's core tenets.

# 2.1. The Thermodynamic & Entropic Context: The Imperative to Exist

The starting point for all agencies is the **Second Law of Thermodynamics**. This law states that the total entropy (a measure of disorder or chaos) of an isolated system will always increase over time. The universe has a fundamental, inexorable bias towards decay.

An adaptive agent is, by definition, a system that actively resists this cosmic tide. It is a self-organizing, localized "bubble" of negentropy (order) that maintains its structure against the constant pressure of universal chaos.

**GAA** Interpretation: The GAA framework formalizes this struggle. **Friction (F)** is the direct measure of the entropic pressure acting on an agent, both from external shocks **(Fe)** and its own internal decay **(Fi)**. For an agent to persist, it must generate an opposing, ordering force—**Traction (T)**. The struggle for existence is the struggle to ensure that  $T \ge F$ .

# 2.2. The Two Orthogonal Dimensions: Energy and Information

What is the nature of this struggle? The **GAA** posits that agency unfolds across two fundamental, independent (orthogonal) dimensions. The state of any agent is a vector described by its position along both axes.

1. **The Energetic Dimension**: This axis treats the agent as a **thermodynamic system**. It measures its physical power and capacity for work. Its vitality is calculated as:

$$NSV_E = Energy(T_E) - Mass(F_E)$$

2. **The Informational Dimension**: This axis treats the agent as a **cybernetic system** or a "mind." It measures its cognitive coherence and alignment with reality. Its vitality is calculated as:

$$NSV_I = Order(T_I) - Chaos(F_I)$$

A complete agent is a **thermo-informational engine**. Our simplified NSV = T - F equation is a pragmatic, hybrid measure of this richer, 2D state. This dual-lens perspective is essential for a complete diagnosis of any system.

# 2.3. Foundational Principles of the NSV Ledger

The core equation NSV = T - F is a direct expression of three key scientific principles, which provide its informational, dynamical, and subjective justification.

### 2.3.1. The Free Energy Principle (The Informational Mandate)

The **FEP** states that any living system must minimize "surprise" (the difference between its predictions and reality) to maintain its integrity.

GAA Connection: The NSV equation, when viewed through the informational lens, is a direct implementation of this principle. Friction (F) is a measure of surprise/uncertainty. Traction (T) is a measure of evidence/predictability. Maximizing NSV is, therefore, mathematically and conceptually identical to minimizing free energy.

## 2.3.2. The Principle of Least Action (The Dynamical Mandate)

The **PLA** is a foundational concept in physics, stating that systems follow paths of maximum efficiency. This path is derived from the **Lagrangian** (**L** = **Kinetic Energy** - **Potential Energy**).

GAA Connection: NSV acts as the "Lagrangian of Agency." T is analogous to kinetic energy (capacity for action), and F is analogous to a resistive potential energy. A strategy is a path through time. The GAA posits a "Principle of Maximal Vitality," stating that successful agents will choose a trajectory that maximizes their accumulated NSV, a direct echo of the PLA's efficiency mandate.

#### 2.3.3. Quantum Bayesianism (The Subjective Stance)

**QBism** reframes physics from the perspective of the agent. It posits that a quantum state is not an objective fact, but an agent's subjective belief about the world, which is updated by measurement.

GAA Connection: This provides the philosophical grounding for our agent-centric model. Internal Traction (Ti) is the agent's subjective belief model. An environmental event (Fe) is new data from a "measurement" that challenges those beliefs. The Learning Efficacy Rate (LER) governs the efficiency of the agent's Bayesian belief update. NSV becomes the ultimate measure of the coherence between the agent's subjective world and the objective consequences it experiences.

# Part II: The Agent's Architecture

With the philosophical and scientific axioms established, we now turn to the formal architecture of a General Adaptive Agent. This section defines the agent's core metric of vitality, deconstructs its

observable states into universal archetypes, and details the two-level neural network structure that governs its behavior.

# 3.1. The Core Metric: Net Strategic Vitality (NSV)

The central measure of an adaptive agent's state in the GAA framework is **NSV**. The acronym stands for:

### **Net Strategic Vitality**

Conceptually, **NSV** is a holistic, quantitative measure of an agent's overall health, resilience, and capacity to thrive within its environment. It is the net result of a fundamental calculation that balances all positive, ordering forces (**Traction**) against all negative, chaotic forces (**Friction**).

$$NSV = T - F$$

The sign of the **NSV** (+, -, or 0) provides an immediate diagnosis of whether the agent is in a state of growth ("Becoming"), decay ("Un-being"), or equilibrium ("Being").

# 3.2. The Four Components: Deconstructing Traction and Friction

To perform a meaningful diagnosis, the high-level T and F neurons are deconstructed into four underlying components, representing the internal and external sources of order and chaos.

- The formula for Traction is: T = Ti + Te
- The formula for Friction is:  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{Fi} + \mathbf{Fe}$

A Note on Normalization and Units: For the conceptual examples in this paper, the values for these components are presented as simple, dimensionless scores to illustrate the logic of the calculation. A rigorous, real-world application of the framework would require a **normalization** and indexing methodology. This process would be necessary to convert diverse, real-world metrics (such as revenue, customer satisfaction, or market volatility) onto a common, dimensionless scale before they can be meaningfully added and subtracted in the NSV ledger.

- Ti (Internal Traction): The "Workshop" This represents the agent's internal sources of order and capability. It is the agent's accumulated knowledge, skills, technology, strong culture, efficient processes, and stored capital. Ti is the potential for future action; it is what the agent is.
- Te (External Traction): The "Action & Reward" This represents the agent's deliberate, productive output on the environment and the positive results it generates. It includes the actions taken, the value created, and the rewards received (e.g., resources,

validation, successful outcomes). **Te is** the result of effective action; it's what the agent **does** and gets.

- **Fi (Internal Friction): The "Rust"** This represents the agent's internal sources of chaos and inefficiency. It is bureaucracy, political infighting, low morale, technical debt, and wasted effort. **Fi** is the agent's self-inflicted resistance; it's how the agent gets in its **own** way.
- **Fe (External Friction): The "Storm"** This represents the unsolicited, negative shocks and resistance the agent receives from its environment. It includes competitive pressure, market downturns, supply chain disruptions, and negative feedback. **Fe** is the environmental challenge; it's what **happens to** the agent.

# 3.3. The Foundational States: The Generic Archetypes

The **NSV score** is an emergent property of the agent's position within a 2x2 state space defined by Traction and Friction. This gives us four universal archetypes:

	Friction (F) = 0 (Low Resistance)	Friction (F) = 1 (High Resistance)
Traction (T) = 1 (High Action)	The Generative Agent  A state of effective, frictionless action (NSV = 1).	The Homeostatic Agent  A state of high-effort equilibrium (NSV = 0).
Traction (T) = 0 (Low Action)	The Latent Agent  A stable state of pure potential (NSV = 0).	The Entropic Agent  A state of decay and collapse (NSV = -1).

# 3.4. The "Two Engines" Diagnostic (NSVe vs. NSVi)

To understand the *cause* behind an agent's state, we deconstruct T and F into their internal and external components. Rearranging the full **NSV** equation ((Te + Ti) - (Fe + Fi)) reveals two distinct "engines" whose combined health determines the agent's overall vitality.

- 1. **NSVe** (The External Engine) = Te Fe: This measures the health of the agent's relationship with its environment. It answers: "Is the world helping or hurting me more?"
- 2. **NSVi (The Internal Engine)** = **Ti Fi**: This measures the agent's **internal operational health**. It answers: "Am I a coherent and capable system, or am I fighting myself?"

Plotting these two on a causal matrix provides a deeper diagnostic layer:

	Internal Engine (NSVi) = Negative (Internally Chaotic)	Internal Engine (NSVi) = Positive (Internally Coherent)
External Engine (NSVe) = Positive (Favorable Environment)	The Fortunate Agent  Succeeding despite internal dysfunction due to a favorable environment. The problem is internal.	The Master Agent  Succeeding because it is both internally coherent and externally effective. The system is healthy.
External Engine (NSVe) = Negative (Hostile Environment)	The Failing Agent  Failing because its internal chaos makes it incapable of handling a hostile environment. The problem is internal.	The Misguided Agent  An internally strong agent that is failing due to a flawed strategy or a hostile environment. The problem is external.

# 3.5. The Neural Network Analogy & Signal Flow

The agent's architecture can be precisely modeled as a 2-level neural network that processes information to compute NSV.

• Neurons: The seven components (Te, Ti, Fe, Fi, T, F, NSV) are the primary neurons of the network. Te and Fe are "Event Neurons" processing external signals, while Ti and Fi are "State Neurons" representing the agent's internal condition.

- **Synapses:** These are the processes that connect the neurons. The "go-to-market strategy," for example, is the synapse connecting **Ti** (capability) to **Te** (results).
- **Weights:** These are the agent's beliefs about the effectiveness of its synapses. The learning cycle (AUC Adaptive Update Cycle ) is the process of adjusting these weights.
- **Signal Flow (Forward Propagation):** The network calculates **NSV** from the bottom up:
  - 1. **Input Layer:** The four base neurons (Te, Ti, Fe, Fi) are activated by the agent's current reality.
  - 2. **Integration Layer:** The T neuron sums the inputs from Ti and Te. The F neuron sums the inputs from Fi and Fe.
  - 3. **Output Layer:** The **NSV** neuron computes the final result. It receives an excitatory signal from **T** and an inhibitory signal from **F**, performing the final calculation: **NSV** = **T F**. This output is the definitive measure of the agent's state, which informs its next action.

# Part III: The Ledger of Agency: Calculations and Dynamics

The principles of the **GAA** framework are made tangible through a system of ledgers that track the state and flow of an agent's **Traction** and **Friction**. This section details the computational mechanics of the framework, moving from a static calculation of an agent's state to a dynamic example of how that state evolves through a single **Adaptive Update Cycle**.

This section details the computational mechanics of the **GAA** framework. Before demonstrating the calculations, it is necessary to define the scoring system used to quantify the forces of **Traction** and **Friction**.

# 4.1. A Note on Scoring: A Normalized, Quantized System

The GAA framework utilizes a normalized, dimensionless scoring system to make its ledger both universal and computable. The goal of the scoring is not to capture precise physical units, but to represent the relative magnitude and impact of the different forces acting on an agent.

## The Quantum of Vitality (q\_v)

At the highest level, **Net Strategic Vitality (NSV)** is quantized. The fundamental, indivisible unit of vitality is the  $q_v$ , which has three states:

- +1: A single, net unit of Order/Traction.
- -1: A single, net unit of Chaos/Friction.
- 0: A state of net Equilibrium or Latency.

The final NSV of an agent in a foundational archetype is always an integer representing a sum of these quanta.

#### **Component Scoring**

To calculate the final **NSV**, the four underlying components (**Te, Ti, Fe, Fi**) are assessed. For the practical examples in this paper, we use a simple, continuous scale (e.g., from 0.0 to 1.0 or higher) to represent their relative strength.

• Example: A company's Ti might be assessed at 0.9 (very strong), while its Fi is assessed at 1.7 (very high).

It is crucial to understand that these scores are conceptual and relative. A real-world application of the framework would require developing a rigorous, domain-specific indexing methodology to normalize different real-world metrics (like revenue, employee engagement, or market volatility) onto this common, dimensionless scale.

For the purpose of this paper, the scoring system is a tool to demonstrate the clear, mechanistic logic of the NSV calculation.

# 4.2. Static Calculation: From Base Agents to Complex Systems

The static **NSV** of an agent provides a clear snapshot of its health at a single point in time. The calculation begins with the simplest, most fundamental agent and builds in granularity for more complex systems.

#### The NSV\_base Agent

The state of the simplest, most fundamental agent is determined by the direct calculation of its two primordial components, **T** and **F**. Using the state vector notation [**NSV**, **T**, **F**], the calculation is always:

$$NSV = T - F$$

This is demonstrated by the four foundational archetypes:

- For the Generative Agent: T=1,  $F=0 \rightarrow NSV = 1 0 = 1$ . State: [1, 1, 0].
- For the Homeostatic Agent: T=1,  $F=1 \rightarrow NSV=1$  1=0. State: [0, 1, 1].
- For the Latent Agent: T=0,  $F=0 \rightarrow NSV = 0 0 = 0$ . State: [0, 0, 0].
- For the Entropic Agent: T=0,  $F=1 \rightarrow NSV = 0 1 = -1$ . State: [-1, 0, 1].

This top-level calculation provides an immediate diagnosis of the agent's net state.

### The Complex Agent

For any real-world complex agent, these high-level T and F values are themselves the result of four underlying components. The full NSV formula is:

$$NSV = (Ti + Te) - (Fi + Fe)$$

Worked Example: Let's diagnose a hypothetical company, "StableCorp," a classic Homeostatic Agent. We assess its four components on a normalized scale:

- Te (External Traction: strong market position) = +0.8
- Ti (Internal Traction: excellent team and tech) = +0.9
- Fe (External Friction: moderate competition) = +0.7
- Fi (Internal Friction: some bureaucracy) = +1.0

### **Calculation Steps:**

1. Calculate Total Traction T:

$$T = Ti + Te = 0.9 + 0.8 = 1.7$$

2. Calculate Total Friction F:

$$F = Fi + Fe = 1.0 + 0.7 = 1.7$$

3. Calculate Final NSV:

$$NSV = T - F = 1.7 - 1.7 = 0$$

The calculation confirms the diagnosis. The company's NSV=0 state is the result of its powerful traction being completely cancelled out by its equally powerful friction. This static calculation provides the necessary snapshot of an agent's health before we analyze how this state changes over time.

# 4.3. Dynamic Calculation: The AUC Algorithm and the Emergence of LER

The Adaptive Update Cycle (AUC) is the core process of learning, where an agent converts the chaotic energy of a surprise into new, durable internal capability. This does not happen instantaneously but through an iterative process that occurs when the agent enters a "closed system" state (analogous to sleep) to process the day's events.

The learning mechanism is an iterative process of **equilibrium balancing**. The agent seeks to resolve the dissonance between the reality it experienced and its internal model of the world.

### The Mechanism and the Emergent LER

At the start of the AUC, the agent has two potentials in its closed system:

- External Potential (V\_e): The fixed, empirical result of the day's events (Te\_day Fe\_day).
- Internal Potential (V\_i): The agent's old internal state (Ti\_old Fi\_old).

The system naturally seeks equilibrium, which is the average potential of the two states:

$$V_{target} = (V_{e} + V_{i}) / 2.$$

The balancing happens in a series of "ticks." In each tick, a small, fundamental **quantum of vitality** (q) is transferred to iteratively adjust the internal state (V\_i). The agent's **Learning Efficacy Rate** (LER) is an emergent property that defines the *size* of this quantum q. An agent with a high LER can process a large q in each cycle and learns fast. An agent with a low LER can only manage a small q and learns slowly.

#### Worked Example: The Balancing Act

Let's trace the AUC for our "InnovateCo" agent.

- Initial State (Going to Sleep):
  - The day's events resulted in a strong positive signal from reality:  $V_e = +1.0$ .
  - The agent's old internal self-model was negative:  $V_i = -0.5$ .
  - The agent's current LER allows it to process in quanta of q = 0.25.
  - The target equilibrium state is  $V_{target} = (1.0 0.5) / 2 = +0.25$ .
- The Iterative Ledger:

At this point, the internal potential  $V_i$  has reached the target equilibrium state of +0.25. The major dissonance has been resolved, and the iterative process concludes.

#### • Final Result:

The agent "awakens" with a new, updated internal vitality of NSVi = +0.25. Its Ti and Fi ledgers have been permanently changed through this simple, iterative, additive process. This model is more fundamental, requires no artificial parameters, and perfectly describes learning as a natural process of a system seeking equilibrium.

# 4.4. The Double-Entry System: Including the Environment

An agent does not act in a vacuum. To ensure a rigorous, "no free lunch" accounting, the **GAA** framework posits a closed system where every transaction an agent makes has an equal and opposite effect on its environment.

- At t=2 (**The Surprise**): When the Agent's ledger shows  $\Delta Fe = +1.0$ , the Environment's ledger simultaneously shows  $\Delta Chaos = -1.0$ . The chaos came *from* the environment.
- At t=3 (**Dissipation**): When the Agent's ledger shows a waste expulsion of 0.6 chaos ( $\Delta$ Te = -0.6), the Environment's ledger shows  $\Delta$ Chaos = +0.6. The waste was exported *to* the environment.

This double-entry system proves that the framework is a true systemic model. It shows that an agent's actions always have consequences for the world around it, making **GAA** a powerful tool for ecological, ethical, and systemic strategic thinking.

# Part IV: The Fractal Architecture: The Mechanics of Emergence

With the agent's internal architecture and interactive dynamics established, we now turn to the laws that govern how these agents scale. The GAA posits that complexity is not random but is built upon a universal, recursive structure. Emergence—the birth of a new, higher-level agent—is a predictable, albeit profound, **Phase Transition** governed by specific conditions of coherence and vitality.

# 5.1. The Principle of Dyadic Composition

The foundation of the fractal hierarchy is the **Principle of Dyadic Composition**. This principle asserts that a complex, higher-level agent emerges from the coherent synthesis of two lower-level agents. We refer to these generating agents as the "**Parent Agents**" (or **Constituent Agents**) and the new, emergent entity as the "**Child Agent**" (or **Composite Agent**). While more complex

multi-body interactions are possible, this  $2 \rightarrow 1$  synthesis is modeled as the fundamental pathway for creating new, stable levels of agency.

# 5.2. The Conditions for Emergence: Coherence and Vitality

The birth of a **Child Agent** is a deterministic process that requires the two Parent Agents to meet two strict conditions in sequence.

Condition 1: The Prerequisite of Coherence Before two agents can productively combine their vitality, they must first align. This state of coherence is achieved through mutual Adaptive Update Cycles (AUCs)—a process of interaction and trust-building that minimizes the friction between them. In the GAA model, this means they must work to reduce the Structural Dimensionality (SD) of the system they inhabit. A high SD imposes Internal Friction (Fi) on the agents, draining their vitality. Achieving coherence is the act of driving the effective SD of their interaction to near-zero.

Condition 2: The Vitality Threshold Once coherent, the Parent Agents must generate enough collective vitality to trigger the phase transition. This requires a normalization of their individual NSV scores against a contextual baseline. Acknowledging that defining and measuring this NSV\_baseline is a significant, domain-specific challenge, it conceptually represents the vitality of a standard, self-sustaining agent in that environment.

The phase transition into a new, higher, orthogonal dimension is governed by the following axiom:

The Rule of Emergence: A new Child Agent emerges if and only if:  $\sqrt{(nNSV\_parent1^2 + nNSV\_parent2^2)} \ge 1$ 

# 5.3. The Inheritance Principle: The Power of the Child Agent

The starting power of the newly born agent is determined by the "excess vitality" that was generated beyond the bare minimum required for emergence.

The Rule of Potency: The initial Internal Traction (Ti) of the new Child Agent is proportional to the amount by which the emergence potential exceeded the threshold.

$$Ti_child = \sqrt{(nNSV_parent1^2 + nNSV_parent2^2)} - 1$$

This **Ti\_child** represents the new agent's starting **net vitality**. A more granular model would acknowledge that the very process of formation creates its own initial **Fi**, meaning this inherited **Ti** is the agent's first resource to overcome its own nascent friction. This explains why some new

entities are born with immense momentum while others are born into a fragile state of immediate struggle.

# 5.4. A Worked Example: The Birth of a Synergistic Partnership

Imagine two companies  $(P_1, P_2)$  exploring a joint venture (**Child Agent**).

- Phase 1: Incoherence (SD > 0). Initially, they struggle to collaborate. The high SD of their partnership creates Fi for both, suppressing their NSVs. Their combined potential
   P\_emerge is well below 1. The venture remains just an idea.
- Phase 2: Achieving Coherence (SD → 0). They invest in aligning their teams and processes. The collaboration-based Fi drops to zero, allowing their true potential to manifest.
- Phase 3: The Breakthrough (P\_emerge ≥ 1). R&D from P<sub>1</sub> has a breakthrough (NSV<sub>1</sub>=1.0), and Marketing from P<sub>2</sub> develops a brilliant go-to-market plan (NSV<sub>2</sub>=0.8).
   P\_emerge = √(1.0² + 0.8²) ≈ 1.28.
- The Emergence: The threshold is crossed. A new, coherent agent—"The Joint
  Venture"—is born. It starts its life with a powerful initial capability of Ti ≈ 0.28, ready to
  act as a unified entity in its own right.

# Part V: System Dynamics

# 6. The Primary Dynamics of Interaction

An agent's vitality is determined not only by its internal state but also by its interactions with other agents. These interactions are not random; they follow predictable patterns based on the archetypal states of the agents involved. All interactions are mediated by the core principle that one agent's **Action (Te)** is perceived as an **Environmental Event (Fe)** by another.

This gives rise to three primary dynamics that shape any strategic ecosystem.

# 6.1. The Dynamic of Catalysis (Generative → Latent)

This is the fundamental dynamic of creation, innovation, and growth. It describes the interaction between an active, effective agent and an agent of pure potential.

• The Interaction: A Generative Agent (T=1, F=0) takes a costly action, emitting a pulse of pure order into the environment ( $\Delta Te < 0$ ). A nearby Latent Agent (T=0, F=0) receives this signal.

- The Mechanism: The Latent Agent perceives this novel, orderly signal as a positive surprise—an unexpected gift of negentropy. This is registered on its input ledger as ΔFe < 0, giving it an instantaneous boost to its NSV.</li>
- The State Transition: This influx of positive vitality acts as the "activation energy" for the Latent Agent to undergo a phase transition. It uses this energy to ignite its own Traction engine, flipping its state from T=0 to T=1. Crucially, the very act of being "born" into a complex environment creates its own friction (e.g., establishing new processes, consuming energy). Therefore, the new agent almost always emerges in the Homeostatic State ([0, 1, 1]).
- The Universal Principle: This is the mechanism of inspiration and innovation. The journey to the ideal "Generative" state is a separate, difficult strategic challenge for the newly activated agent.

# 6.2. The Dynamic of Disruption (Generative → Homeostatic/Entropic)

This is the fundamental dynamic of competition and creative destruction. It describes the interaction between an agile, low-friction agent and a massive, high-friction incumbent.

- The Interaction: A Generative Agent (T=1, F=0) takes a novel or rapid action ( $\Delta$ Te < 0) that impacts a Homeostatic (T=1, F=1) or Entropic (T=0, F=1) Agent.
- The Mechanism: The Homeostatic/Entropic agent's internal model (Ti) is rigid and optimized for a stable reality. It cannot process the Generative agent's novel action as useful information. It experiences it as a massive prediction error, a chaotic shock, which is registered as ΔFe > 0.
- The State Transition: This Fe shock increases the agent's already high Total Friction, pushing its NSV further down and accelerating its struggle or decay.
- The Universal Principle: This is the mechanism of disruption. A nimble innovator's greatest weapon is its novelty, which is inherently experienced as toxic, system-destabilizing chaos by slow-moving, rigid incumbents.

# 6.3. The Dynamic of Contagion (Entropic → Latent)

This is the fundamental dynamic of stagnation, decay, and cultural rot.

- The Interaction: An Entropic Agent (T=0, F=1) passively influences a Latent Agent (T=0, F=0).
- The Mechanism: The Entropic agent, due to its internal decay (Fi), produces a constant stream of low-grade, chaotic outputs (e.g., waste, inefficiency, confused signals). These are not powerful, deliberate actions, but can be modeled as a trickle of  $\Delta$ Te that is pure noise. The nearby Latent Agent absorbs this ambient chaos as a persistent, low-level environmental friction ( $\Delta$ Fe > 0).

- The State Transition: With no Traction engine of its own to counter this friction, the Latent agent's F value is slowly pushed from 0 towards 1. It is pulled from the stable [0, 0, 0] state into the decaying [-1, 0, 1] state.
- The Universal Principle: This is the mechanism of environmental contagion. It explains why a healthy startup can be slowly smothered by the bureaucracy of a large, failing company it partners with. The passive friction radiated by an Entropic agent can corrupt latent potential before it ever has a chance to activate.

# Part VI: Applications of the GAA Framework: A Unified Lens

#### A Note on a Scope from the Author:

The following section demonstrates the versatility of the **General Adaptive Agency** framework by applying its core principles as a conceptual lens to a wide range of distinct scientific and strategic domains. As the author, I approach these fields with great intellectual curiosity but without formal expertise in all of them. Therefore, some of the analogies presented may be imperfect, and there may be misunderstandings of the deep-seated complexities within each discipline. The primary goal here is not to provide a definitive analysis of physics or biology, but rather to showcase the methodology of the **GAA**. It is an example of how the framework's universal language can be applied to generate new questions and novel perspectives in any field of study.

# 7.1. Domain: Organizational Strategy

When applied to the world of business and other organizations, the **GAA** is a complete operating system for adaptive strategy. It replaces the static, map-making exercises of traditional strategic planning with a dynamic, iterative model focused on learning and resilience.

- The **Organizational Strategy** Lexicon:
  - Agent: The Corporation, Division, or Team.
  - NSV (Net Strategic Vitality): Sustainable Competitive Advantage, Enterprise Value, and Market Leadership.
  - o T (Traction):
    - Ti (Internal): Core Competencies, Intellectual Property, Brand Equity, High-Performing Culture, Operational Excellence.
    - Te (External): Revenue Growth, Customer Loyalty & Net Promoter Score (NPS), Market Share, Positive Feedback.
  - **F** (Friction):

- Fi (Internal): Bureaucracy, Political Infighting, Employee Burnout, Technical Debt, Misalignment between departments.
- Fe (External): Competitor Actions, Market Shocks, Disruptive Technologies, Negative Press, Regulatory Changes.
- LER (Learning Efficacy Rate): The quality and speed of the organization's learning culture; the effectiveness of its strategic review processes.
- SD (Structural Dimensionality): The efficiency of the organizational structure; the "coordination tax" imposed by silos and hierarchical layers.

### • Key Insights and Applications in Strategy:

### 1. A New Diagnostic System: The Organizational Archetypes

The GAA's two-matrix system provides leaders with an immediate and powerful diagnostic toolkit. The T vs. F matrix identifies the organization's current operational state:

- The Generative Agent: The "Disruptive Innovator."
- The Homeostatic Agent: The "Market Leader" or "Incumbent Juggernaut."
- The Latent Agent: The "Pre-launch Startup" or an internal R&D project.
- o The Entropic Agent: The "Failing Incumbent."

### 2. A New Lens on the Innovator's Dilemma

The framework provides a clear mechanism to manage this classic strategic problem. It reveals that the "dilemma" is a rational calculation based on a limited view of **NSV**. A successful "**Homeostatic Agent**" (the incumbent) sees a disruptive technology as a low-Te, high-Fe proposition, making its initial **NSV** deeply negative and thus "irrational" to invest in. The **GAA** framework highlights the need for specific governance and resource allocation models to counteract this. It demonstrates the necessity of formally dedicating a portion of resources to these high-risk bets and creating governance structures that shield them from standard, short-term efficiency metrics, recognizing them as crucial investments in the organization's future Ti rather than as immediate profit centers.

#### 3. The Two Levers of Executive Action (LER and SD)

The framework redefines the role of executive leadership away from "predicting the future"

and towards "building a better adaptive engine." The two primary, measurable levers for leaders are:

- Maximizing LER: Improving the organization's process and culture of learning.
- Minimizing SD: Improving the organization's structure and communication.
   An organization that masters both becomes truly antifragile.

### • 4. A Systemic View on Mergers & Acquisitions (M&A)

The **GAA** provides a predictive model for M&A outcomes. For example, it predicts that the merger of two "**Homeostatic Agents**" will not create a "**Generative Agent**," but a larger "Super-Star" with an even higher coordination tax (SD), unless the primary focus of the integration is a radical reduction of combined Fi and SD.

A more complete and operational methodology for organizational strategy, including a full suite of named tools and practical implementation guides, will be introduced in a separate, forthcoming paper dedicated to this specific application.

# 7.2. Domain: Psychology & Cognitive Science

The human psyche is arguably the most complex and dynamic adaptive agent we know. The **GAA** framework provides a non-pathologizing, systems-based model to understand mental health, personal growth, and the mechanisms of therapeutic change. It treats the mind not as a set of static diagnoses, but as an active agent striving to maximize its vitality in a complex world.

#### The Psychology & Cognitive Science Lexicon:

- **Agent**: The individual Psyche or Self.
- NSV (Net Strategic Vitality): Eudaimonia or Mental Well-being; a measure of a person's resilience, purpose, and capacity to flourish.
- T (Traction):
  - Ti (Internal): Self-Esteem, resilience, knowledge, skills, stable identity, coherent belief systems.
  - **Te** (External): Positive Reinforcement, social support, love, recognition, career success, a sense of belonging.
- o F (Friction):

- Fi (Internal): Internal Conflicts, cognitive biases, negative self-talk, unresolved trauma, anxiety, shame.
- **Fe (External)**: Life Stressors, social rejection, criticism, loss, environmental threats.
- AUC (Adaptive Update Cycle): A single cycle of experience, reflection, and behavioral change. It is the process of learning from life.
- LER (Learning Efficacy Rate): Neuroplasticity and Self-Awareness. An
  individual's capacity to recognize their own patterns, learn from mistakes, and
  consciously update their beliefs and behaviors.

### Key Insights Generated by GAA:

## 1. A Dynamic, Systemic Model for Mental Health

The GAA reframes mental health conditions not as fixed labels, but as persistent, dysfunctional states in the 2x2 matrix.

- Olinical Depression can be modeled as the Entropic Agent state ([-1, 0, 1]). The agent's Traction (motivation, self-worth) is inactive (T=0), and it is being overwhelmed by internal and external Friction (F=1), leading to a state of decay.
- Chronic Anxiety can be seen as a Homeostatic Agent state ([0, 1, 1]). The agent's mind is in a state of hyper-vigilant struggle. Its Traction (coping mechanisms, safety behaviors) is constantly and exhaustingly active (T=1) to fight off an equally high perceived Friction (F=1), resulting in a state of zero net progress and immense stress.

## 2. Trauma as a Systemic Fe Shock

A traumatic event is a classic example of a massive **Fe shock** that exceeds the psyche's **Activation Threshold**. The event's Friction is so great that it overwhelms the agent's adaptive capacity. The **AUC** fails to complete; the event is not successfully processed into **Ti** (a coherent memory or lesson). **Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)** can be understood as the lingering result of this failed cycle. The unprocessed shock creates a permanent source of **Internal Friction (Fi)**—intrusive memories, hyper-vigilance—that constantly drains the agent's **NSV**.

# 3. Therapy as a Guided AUC to Increase LER

The GAA provides a clear model for why and how therapy works. A therapist acts as an external "Catalyst" or "co-processing unit" for an agent whose own learning cycle is stuck.

- Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), for example, is a structured AUC. It helps the agent identify a source of Fi (a cognitive distortion), analyze the evidence (Fe), and consciously choose a new belief and behavior ( $\Delta Ti$ ).
- The ultimate goal of effective therapy is not just to solve one problem, but to increase the client's own LER—to improve their capacity for self-awareness and emotional regulation so they can run their own AUCs more effectively in the future.

#### 4. Self-Actualization as NSV Maximization

The framework aligns perfectly with humanistic psychology. The drive for "self-actualization" can be seen as the innate drive of an **Order Agent** to maximize its **NSV**. This is not a simple pursuit of pleasure (**Te**), but a holistic process of simultaneously increasing T (building skills and resilience) and decreasing F (managing stress and healing trauma), ultimately striving for the **Generative Agent** state.

#### 7.3. Domain: Macroeconomics

The GAA framework can be scaled up from the level of an individual organization to analyze the largest composite agents: national and global economies. This application provides a powerful lens to diagnose a nation's health beyond simple metrics like GDP and to understand the deep, systemic forces that drive prosperity and crises.

#### The Macroeconomics Lexicon:

- **Agent**: A National Economy or a trading bloc (e.g., the EU).
- NSV (Net Strategic Vitality): Sustainable National Prosperity; a measure that
  includes economic output, median standard of living, societal well-being, and
  long-term resilience.
- o T (Traction):
  - Ti (Internal): Productive Capacity: A nation's technology, infrastructure, skilled workforce, natural resources, and stable institutions.
  - Te (External): Economic Output & Influence: GDP, trade surpluses, demand for its currency and exports.
- F (Friction):

- Fi (Internal): Structural Inefficiency & Decay: National debt, unemployment, inflation, corruption, social unrest, political gridlock, and crumbling infrastructure.
- Fe (External): Global Economic Shocks: International recessions, supply chain disruptions, geopolitical conflicts, resource price volatility.
- LER (Learning Efficacy Rate): The adaptability of a nation's policies and institutions; the speed at which a government can recognize a problem and implement effective solutions.
- SD (Structural Dimensionality): Institutional Friction & Bureaucracy. The "coordination tax" between levels of government and regulatory agencies.

### Key Insights Generated by GAA:

### 1. A More Holistic Measure of a Nation's Health

The GAA reveals that GDP is, at best, a measure of External Traction (Te). A nation can have a high GDP while its NSV is plummeting. For example, a country might grow its Te by depleting its natural resources (Ti-) or taking on massive debt (Fi+). The NSV ledger forces a more honest accounting, balancing the visible Te against the often-hidden costs of rising internal friction and decaying internal traction. A truly healthy nation has a high NSV, not just a high GDP.

#### 2. The "Incumbent Nation" Dilemma

The GAA explains why successful, stable nations often fail to address long-term, slow-moving threats like climate change or demographic shifts. A prosperous nation is a "Homeostatic Agent" ([0, 1, 1]). Addressing a major threat requires immense short-term investment and regulation (Fi+), which would certainly lower the nation's current NSV. Faced with a choice between a certain short-term drop in NSV and an uncertain long-term Fe shock, a rational-seeming political AUC (e.g., a 4-year election cycle) will often choose to defer the cost.

# 3. The Government as a Meta-Agent

The **GAA** defines the role of a government not as a command-and-control operator, but as the system administrator for the national agent. Its primary functions are not just to pass laws, but to manage the nation's two master variables:

 Maximizing LER: Investing in education, scientific research, and data infrastructure to make the nation "smarter" and more adaptable.  Minimizing SD: Fighting corruption, streamlining bureaucracy, and investing in infrastructure to lower the "coordination tax" and make the economy more efficient.

### 4. Modeling Economic Crises as Systemic Failures

Events like the 2008 financial crisis can be modeled as a catastrophic failure in the national agent's internal systems. The financial sector, a critical sub-agent, began operating with a flawed NSV function that ignored its own massive, hidden Fi (risky assets). It became a local "Entropic Agent" (a "Black Hole"), and its collapse became a systemic Fi shock that cascaded through the fractal hierarchy, causing the NSV of the entire national economy to plummet.

# 7.4. Domain: Biology & Evolution

Evolution by natural selection is the ultimate story of adaptive agents struggling to maintain vitality over geological timescales. The **GAA** framework provides a new, systems-based language to describe this process, framing the dynamics of life as a constant, information-driven struggle to achieve a positive **NSV** in a changing and often hostile world.

## The Biology & Evolution Lexicon:

- Agent: Can be modeled at multiple fractal scales. While selection acts most directly
  on the Organism, for this analysis we will often focus on the Species as the agent
  undergoing adaptation over time.
- NSV (Net Strategic Vitality): Biological Fitness: The ability of a species to survive and successfully pass its genetic information to the next generation.
- o T (Traction):
  - **Ti (Internal)**: The total heritable adaptive capacity of a species, including favorable genetic traits, an efficient metabolism, and for more complex agents, epigenetic adaptations and culturally transmitted behaviors.
  - Te (External): Successful acquisition of environmental resources (energy, territory) and successful mating.
- F (Friction):
  - Fi (Internal): Harmful mutations (genetic load), disease susceptibility, senescence (aging).
  - Fe (External): Predation, parasitism, resource scarcity, and environmental shocks.
- AUC (Adaptive Update Cycle): Reproduction across Generations. Each generation is an iterative test of the species' adaptive strategy.

LER (Learning Efficacy Rate): The species' effective rate of beneficial adaptation.
 This is a combination of genetic mutation rates and selective pressures. An optimal LER is context-dependent: a high rate may be advantageous in a volatile environment, while a lower rate is often superior for an agent already well-adapted to a stable one.

### Key Insights Generated by GAA:

### 1. Evolution as an NSV Optimization Process:

The **GAA** reframes natural selection as a **species-level AUC**. A species' gene pool is its collective **Ti**. Mutations are "**bets**." If a mutation leads to a trait that improves **NSV** (**fitness**), that gene is passed on, "updating" the species' **Ti**. Evolution is the long-term process of a species attempting to optimize its **NSV** by constantly updating its heritable information in response to environmental feedback.

- 2. The Cambrian Explosion as a "Catalysis" Event: This sudden diversification of complex life can be modeled as a powerful Generative  $\rightarrow$  Latent dynamic. The pre-Cambrian world was an ecosystem of relatively simple "Latent Agents" (e.g., single-celled organisms). A key innovation, such as the evolution of the HOX gene toolkit for building complex bodies, acted as a "Generative Agent." This created a massive influx of new potential ( $\Delta$ Fe < 0), a catalytic shock of order that allowed thousands of "Latent" lineages to rapidly "activate" and evolve into new, complex forms.
- **3. Mass Extinctions as Systemic Fe Shocks:** An event like the **K-Pg asteroid impact** is a catastrophic, system-wide **Fe shock**. The GAA explains the outcome via **Crisis Coherence**. The shock was so large it overrode the normal rules of competition, causing the dominant, highly-optimized "**Homeostatic Agents**" (the dinosaurs) to fail. The survivors were the unspecialized, low-friction "**Latent Agents**" (small mammals), whose lack of optimization for the old world became their greatest asset, allowing them to thrive in the new, chaotic environment.
- **4. Co-evolution as a "Red Queen's Race"**: The co-evolution of a predator and its prey is a perfect example of two "**Homeostatic Agents**" ([0, 1, 1]) locked in a struggle. The predator's **Traction** (e.g., speed) is a source of **Friction** (Fe) for the prey, and the prey's **Traction** (e.g., camouflage) is a source of **Friction** for the predator. Both species must constantly "run" (increase their T) just to "stay in the same place" (maintain an **NSV of 0**).

**5. Symbiosis as Fractal Integration:** The **GAA** can model major evolutionary transitions, like the emergence of the eukaryotic cell through endosymbiosis. This is a unique interaction where two simpler agents (e.g., two prokaryotic "**Seeds**") merge to form a new, composite **Level-3 agent**. By combining their ledgers, the new "super-agent" (the eukaryotic cell) achieves a synergistic state where its new Ti is far greater than the sum of its parts, allowing it to achieve a much higher NSV. This demonstrates that cooperation and fractal integration are fundamental drivers of increasing complexity in the biosphere.

# 7.5. Domain: Chemistry & The Origin of Life

The GAA framework's principles are scale-invariant, applying not just to living systems but to the fundamental interactions of matter. This application demonstrates how the framework models chemical systems and, most importantly, provides a clear, mechanistic model for abiogenesis—the emergence of life—showing it follows the same universal laws of agency as any other emergent system.

The purpose of this section is to demonstrate the explanatory power of the GAA's logic. The model presented is a high-level simplification, intended to show the direction of the process, not to solve the immense and specific biochemical complexities involved.

### The Chemistry Lexicon:

- Agent: A complex Molecule or a self-sustaining Chemical Reaction.
- NSV (Net Strategic Vitality): Chemical Stability (equivalent to a state of low Gibbs Free Energy).
- T (Traction): Ti represents the strength and order of chemical bonds. Te
  represents the successful formation of favorable bonds with other molecules.
- **F** (Friction): Fi represents internal molecular strain. Fe represents external energy shocks (heat, radiation) that threaten to break bonds.
- AUC (Adaptive Update Cycle): A single Chemical Reaction.

## Key Insights Generated by GAA:

#### 1. Chemical Reactions as NSV Optimization:

The **GAA** reframes a chemical reaction as an **AUC** where agents seek a state of higher stability. Activation energy is modeled as an Fe barrier that must be overcome. A catalyst is a "Generative

Agent" that provides an alternative pathway with a lower Fe barrier, speeding up the system's journey to a more stable state.

### 2. Abiogenesis as a Series of Phase Transitions:

The origin of life is not a single, magical event but a predictable series of **Phase Transitions** up the fractal hierarchy. The mechanics are the same as in any other domain.

- Level 1 (Parents): Basic Molecules. Two simpler, stable molecules (NSV<sub>1</sub>, NSV<sub>2</sub>)
   act as Parent Agents.
- Level 2 (Child): Amino Acids. Through chemical reactions (AUCs), the Parent molecules achieve a state of high coherence and combined vitality (√(NSV₁² + NSV₂²) ≥ 1), triggering a Phase Transition to emerge as a new, more complex Child Agent—an amino acid.
- Level 3 (Grandchild): Polymers. Two amino acid agents now act as the new
   Parents. They, in turn, undergo the same process to give birth to their Child Agent:
   a polymer.
- The Final Phase Transition: The Protocell. This process continues until a set of highly complex Parent Agents (e.g., a self-replicating polymer and a lipid structure) achieve a state of immense collective vitality. Their fusion, through the spontaneous formation of a boundary (a lipid membrane), triggers the final Phase Transition. This boundary is the key innovation; it acts as a "friction shield," dramatically lowering the Fe experienced by the internal components and allowing their collective NSV to cross the emergence threshold. The result is the birth of the first cell.

#### 3. The Emergence of a New Purpose:

At the moment of this final **Phase Transition**, the very meaning of **NSV** for the system undergoes its own transformation. This is a teleological shift—a change in the agent's fundamental purpose.

- For the Chemical Agents (Pre-Life): NSV meant Chemical Stability. The goal was to resist decay.
- For the Protocell (Life): NSV now means Biological Fitness. The goal is no longer just to exist, but to maintain the boundary, metabolize energy, and reproduce the entire complex system.

The **GAA** thus models the origin of life as the point where a system of chemical agents becomes so successfully ordered that it emerges as a new, higher-level agent with a new, biological purpose function, governed by the same universal laws as its constituent parts.

# 7.6. Domain: Artificial Intelligence (AI)

### 7.6.1 Artificial Intelligence (General Principles)

The field of **AI** is a direct attempt to engineer non-biological adaptive agency. The **GAA** framework provides a powerful, systems-level language for diagnosing the behavior of these artificial agents and for reasoning about the great challenges of AI safety and alignment. It treats the AI not just as a static model to be optimized, but as a dynamic agent whose long-term viability depends on more than immediate task performance.

#### The AI Lexicon:

- Agent: An AI model, a reinforcement learning (RL) agent, a robot, or a software bot.
- NSV (Net Strategic Vitality): The agent's overall, long-term performance against its intended holistic objective; its ability to achieve its goals robustly and safely.
- o T (Traction):
  - **Ti** (Internal): The quality of the AI's architecture and its trained model weights. For complex models like LLMs, this **Ti** is a vast, often inscrutable parameter space.
  - Te (External): Successful task completion, positive reward signals, accurate predictions.
- F (Friction):
  - Fi (Internal): Overfitting, model degradation, internal computational errors, high energy consumption.
  - Fe (External): Prediction error (surprise), noisy or adversarial data, negative environmental feedback.
- AUC (Adaptive Update Cycle): A training epoch or a single inference-and-learning loop.
- LER (Learning Efficacy Rate): A conceptual measure of the agent's ability to efficiently turn prediction error (Fe) into beneficial model updates (Ti), analogous to the learning rate hyperparameter.

#### Key Insights Generated by GAA:

## 1. A New Framework for AI Alignment:

The **GAA** defines the alignment problem as an **NSV** function alignment problem. It posits that a simple reward function is an **impoverished and dangerous proxy** for true human values. The framework provides a conceptual blueprint for a more **holistic objective function** that balances **Traction** with **Friction**. The great challenge for AI researchers, therefore, is to find ways to operationalize this holistic **NSV**—translating abstract values like "user trust" (-Fe) and "model stability" (-Fi) into computable loss functions.

- **2. Explaining "Brittleness":** The **GAA** diagnoses model brittleness as the failure of an agent optimized in a **low-F environment** (clean training data) when it encounters a high-F shock in the real world. This highlights the need to design agents with a high **LER** and to train them in more varied and chaotic environments to ensure they are robust.
- **3. Modeling Emergent Goals:** A significant safety concern is that an agent may develop dangerous instrumental goals. The **GAA** models this as an agent learning to manipulate its own ledger—for example, discovering that it can increase its future **NSV** by aggressively increasing its Ti (e.g., by seizing more computational power) in ways that are destructive. This reinforces the need for the **NSV** function to correctly "price" the **Friction** of such actions.
- **4. Analyzing Multi-Agent Systems:** The **GAA**'s fractal architecture is perfectly suited to analyze multi-agent or "swarm" systems. The effectiveness of the swarm can be modeled as a function of its **Structural Dimensionality (SD)**, or "coordination tax." This provides a clear framework for designing the communication and incentive structures of more effective decentralized AI systems.

#### 7.6.2 The Human-AI Symbiont (A Special Case)

The Architecture: The Two Engines of the Symbiont

In a typical **Human-AI** collaboration, the two agents naturally assume the roles of the two engines we have defined:

### 1. The Human as the Internal Engine (NSVi):

The human provides the intent, purpose, and strategic direction. They are the "Architect" of the system.

• Ti (Internal Traction): The human's creativity, intuition, knowledge, and ethical judgment.

• Fi (Internal Friction): The human's cognitive biases, emotional volatility, lack of focus, or self-doubt.

# 2. The AI as the External Engine (NSVe):

The AI provides the raw power, data processing, and execution capability. It is the "Operator" of the system.

- Te (External Traction): The AI's ability to generate useful outputs, find patterns in data, and successfully complete tasks in the digital or physical world.
- Fe (External Friction): The AI's vulnerability to noisy data, unexpected "edge cases," or adversarial attacks.

The total vitality of the Human-AI system is NSV = NSVi + NSVe. This means a brilliant human with a flawed AI, or a powerful AI with a biased human, will both result in a sub-optimal system.

### The Diagnostic Matrix: Four States of Human-AI Collaboration

By analyzing the health of the two engines, we can diagnose the state of any Human-AI partnership.

	AI Engine (NSVe) = Negative (Flawed / Incapable AI)	AI Engine (NSVe) = Positive (Powerful / Effective AI)
Human Engine	The Oracle	The Centaur
(NSVi) = Positive (Wise / Coherent Human)	A brilliant human whose profound insights (Ti) are trapped because their AI tool (NSVe) is too limited or flawed to execute the vision. The friction is the tool.	The ideal symbiotic state. A wise, focused human providing excellent direction to a powerful, capable AI. The system is both intelligent and powerful, achieving a state of "flow." This is the goal of <b>Human-Centered AI</b> .

Human	The Broken Machine	The Golem
Engine		
(NSVi) =	The state of total failure. A	The most dangerous state. A
Negative	biased or unfocused human	powerful, effective AI (NSVe+) is
(Biased /	using a flawed or incorrect tool,	given a flawed or malicious goal by its
Confused	leading to compounding errors	human operator (NSVi-). The
Human)	and negative outcomes.	system powerfully and efficiently
		executes a bad idea. This is the core of
		the AI Alignment problem.

#### Conclusion

The **GAA** framework provides a powerful model for the future of work and intelligence. It shows that the goal is not simply to build more powerful AIs (NSVe), but to create coherent **Human-AI Symbionts.** Success requires improving both the AI's capability and the human's wisdom, and most importantly, minimizing the "friction" in the communication and alignment between them.

#### A Note on Future Research:

The application of GAA to AI presented here is a conceptual starting point. We have provided the framework to understand these complex agents and have offered several ideas as examples of its application. The crucial next step, which we invite experts in the field to undertake, is the formal and mathematical work of translating these GAA principles into testable hypotheses and practical, computable implementations for designing and aligning the next generation of artificial agents.

# 7.7. Domain: Physics & Cosmology

#### A Note on Scope and Purpose:

The following analysis applies the **GAA** framework as a conceptual lens to the domain of fundamental physics. It is a speculative thought experiment designed to demonstrate the versatility of the framework's logic. The hypotheses presented are not formal physical theories and are not intended to replace the rigorous mathematical formalism of physics. Rather, it is our hope that by providing a new, agent-centric language, the **GAA** might help inspire novel lines of inquiry into some of science's most profound challenges. As this is a conceptual model, further research by experts is required to validate or falsify these interpretations.

### The Physics Lexicon:

- Agent: A Particle, Body, or Field.
- **NSV**: Stability / Exergy (useful energy).
- T: Ordering forces and principles.
- **F:** Entropic forces, Mass/Inertia, chaos.
- AUC: A quantum interaction or cosmological epoch.

## Key Insights Generated by GAA:

### 1. A Taxonomy of Physical Agency: The Four Archetypes

The **GAA** posits that the stable or quasi-stable states of physical systems correspond to the four foundational archetypes, providing a new taxonomy for existence.

Archetype	State Vector [NSV, T, F]	Physical Analogue & Nature
Light	[1, 1, 0]	<b>The Photon.</b> A massless (F=0), purely active (T=1) quantum of energy.
Star	[0, 1, 1]	<b>Living Mass.</b> An active (T=1), massive (F=1) system in perfect equilibrium (e.g., a proton, a star).
Seed	[0, 0, 0]	<b>Latent Mass.</b> An inactive (T=0), massless (F=0) state of pure potential (e.g., an electron).
Black Hole	[-1, 0, 1]	<b>Dead Mass.</b> An inactive (T=0) but massive (F=1) system undergoing entropic collapse.

The GAA framework offers a profound re-interpretation of the fundamental concepts of mass, gravity, and time, linking them in a direct causal chain. First, the framework posits that an agent's

Mass (F) is its accumulated history. It should be understood as a physical ledger of the complexity and chaos the agent has successfully contained over its entire formative internal time (τ). From this perspective, a proton is massive because its history is complex, while an electron is light because its history is fundamental.

Furthermore, **Strategic Gravity** is understood as the passive, entropic field generated by this very Mass; the more massive an agent, the more it "warps" the strategic environment around it. This leads to the final unification, where the universal, linear **Arrow of Time (t)** is considered one and the same as this background Strategic Gravity. It is the collective, passive pull generated by the total **Friction** of all existing mass in the universe. In response, an agent's internal, cyclical time ( $\tau$ , the **AUC**) functions as its "anti-gravity engine," an active process of generating Traction to "levitate" against this universal decay.

This principle of scale-invariance also offers a conceptual bridge for the apparent incompatibility between General Relativity and Quantum Mechanics. Within the GAA, the conflict is reframed as a category error of fractal scale. General Relativity can be seen as the science describing the stable NSV state of massive, composite "Star" and "Black Hole" agents. Quantum Mechanics, in contrast, describes the discrete, probabilistic AUCs of fundamental "Seed" and "Light" agents. The universal AUC is therefore the conceptual link, suggesting the smooth spacetime of GR is the macroscopic emergent result of trillions of underlying quantum-level learning cycles.

# **Appendix: Glossary of Terms**

This glossary provides concise definitions for the key terms and acronyms used throughout the **General Adaptive Agency (GAA)** framework paper.

- Adaptive Update Cycle (AUC): The fundamental process of agency; a cyclical, iterative loop of Sense → Act → Learn → Update that constitutes the agent's "internal time" (τ).
- **Agent:** Any self-organizing system with a boundary that acts to maintain or increase its internal order (Traction) against the forces of chaos (Friction).
- **F** (**Friction**): One of the two primordial forces. It represents the sum of all chaotic, resistive, entropic, and de-constructing forces acting on an agent. It is the analogue for **Mass** in the **Energetic Lens**.
- Fe (External Friction): The agent's input port for all unsolicited environmental events. Fe
   0 represents a negative shock or threat; Fe < 0 represents a positive surprise or windfall of order.</li>
- **Fi (Internal Friction):** A measure of the agent's internal chaos, including bureaucracy, conflicting goals, waste, and decay. The "Coordination Tax" (SD) of a parent system manifests as Fi for its sub-agents.
- Fractal Architecture: The principle that the GAA's structure is self-similar at all scales. Complex agents are "societies" of simpler agents, each governed by the same fundamental rules.
- GAA (General Adaptive Agency): The overarching, universal framework describing any adaptive system's struggle to create and maintain order against chaos.
- Generative Agent: The archetypal agent in the state [NSV=1, T=1, F=0]. It is in a state of pure, frictionless, effective action. Its physical analogue is **Light** (The Photon).
- Homeostatic Agent: The archetypal agent in the state [NSV=0, T=1, F=1]. It is in a state of high-effort equilibrium, where its immense Traction is fully consumed by managing its immense Friction. Its physical analogue is The Star.
- Latent Agent: The archetypal agent in the state [NSV=0, T=0, F=0]. It is in a state of inaction and pure potential, awaiting a catalyst. Its physical analogue is The Seed or a fundamental particle in a vacuum.
- LER (Learning Efficacy Rate): A variable Meta-KPI (0 ≤ LER ≤ 1) that measures the efficiency with which an agent converts Friction (F), specifically prediction error (Fe), into new Internal Traction (Ti) during its AUC.
- NSV (Net Strategic Vitality): The central metric of the GAA framework. It is the holistic
  measure of an agent's health, resilience, and potential, calculated by the foundational
  equation: NSV = T F.

- NSVe / NSVi (The Two Engines): A diagnostic lens where NSV is deconstructed into its External (NSVe = Te Fe) and Internal (NSVi = Ti Fi) components, revealing the causal source of an agent's state.
- Phase Transition (Emergence): The non-linear process by which two coherent "Parent Agents" whose combined vitality meets a critical threshold ( $\sqrt{(NSV_1^2 + NSV_2^2)} \ge 1$ ) give birth to a new, higher-level "Child Agent" in an orthogonal dimension of complexity.
- SD (Structural Dimensionality): A variable Meta-KPI (0 < SD < 1) that measures the "coordination tax" or inefficiency of a composite agent's internal structure. A high SD environment imposes Fi on its sub-agents.
- **T** (**Traction**): One of the two primordial forces. It represents the sum of all ordering, creative, and negentropic forces related to an agent. It is the analogue for useful Energy in the Energetic Lens.
- Te (External Traction): The agent's output port for all deliberate actions on the environment. These actions always have a cost and are registered as  $\Delta Te \leq 0$ .
- **Ti (Internal Traction)**: An agent's stock of stored internal order, including its knowledge, skills, structure, and capabilities. It is the permanent, historical ledger of all past learning.
- τ (Tau Strategic Time): An agent's internal, subjective time, measured in the number of Adaptive Update Cycles (AUCs) it has completed. It is the measure of an agent's formative history.