

# Translations between bases in Base-extension Semantics

Yll Buzoku

Department of Computer Science University College London

December 11, 2024



#### Goals for this talk

- Introduce a more generalised framework of atomic rules and bases.
- Introduce two notions of atomic derivability.
- Show how one may relate these notions of atomic derivability.
- Recall support relations for IPL and ILL.
- Show how one may thus relate these notions of support.



# Presentation root directory

- 1 Bases and atomic rules
- 2 Atomic derivability
- 3 Comparing relations
- 4 BeS for IPL and ILL
- 5 Translating between the semantics



#### **Notation**

- A represents a fixed, countably infinite set of propositional atoms.
- Lower case latin letters represent propositional atoms.
- Upper case latin letters represent finite multisets of propositional atoms.
- The sum of two multisets P and Q is denoted P, Q.



## Atomic rules

Atomic rules take the form:

$$(P_1 \Rightarrow p_1), \ldots, (P_n \Rightarrow p_n) \Rightarrow p$$



## Atomic rules

Pictorially, we can represent this as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
[P_1] & & [P_n] \\
\underline{p_1} & \dots & \underline{p_n} \\
p & & \\
\end{array}$$



#### Atomic rules

Pictorially, we can represent this as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
[P_1] & & [P_n] \\
p_1 & \dots & p_n \\
\hline
p
\end{array}$$

or linearly, like this:

$$(P_1 \Rightarrow p_1), \ldots, (P_n \Rightarrow p_n) \Rightarrow p$$



#### Contextual atomic rules

Contextual atomic rules are rules which may have contextual brackets distributed across them.



#### Contextual atomic rules

Pictorially, we can represent such rules as:

$$\frac{\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} P_{1_1} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} P_{1_{l_n}} \end{bmatrix} \\ p_{1_1} & \dots & p_{1_{l_1}} \end{bmatrix}}{p} & \dots & \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} P_{n_1} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} P_{n_{l_n}} \end{bmatrix} \\ p_{n_1} & \dots & p_{n_{l_n}} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$



#### Contextual atomic rules

Pictorially, we can represent such rules as:

$$\frac{\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} P_{1_1} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} P_{1_{l_n}} \end{bmatrix} \\ p_{1_1} & \dots & p_{1_{l_1}} \end{bmatrix} \cdots \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} P_{n_1} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} P_{n_{l_n}} \end{bmatrix} \\ p_{n_1} & \dots & p_{n_{l_n}} \end{bmatrix} \right\}}{p}$$

or linearly, like this:

$$\{(P_{1_i} \Rightarrow p_{1_i})\}_{i=1}^{l_1}, \dots, \{(P_{n_i} \Rightarrow p_{n_i})\}_{i=1}^{l_n} \Rightarrow p_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{l_n}$$



# Examples of atomic rules

- $\blacksquare \{\Rightarrow a\} \Rightarrow c$
- $\blacksquare \{\Rightarrow a\}, \{(c, d \Rightarrow e), (f \Rightarrow g)\} \Rightarrow q$
- $\blacksquare \{a \Rightarrow b\}, \{\Rightarrow e\}, (f \Rightarrow g) \Rightarrow q$
- $\blacksquare$  ( $\Rightarrow$  a)  $\Rightarrow$  c
- $\blacksquare$  ( $\Rightarrow$  *b*)  $\Rightarrow$  *c*
- $\blacksquare$   $(\Rightarrow c)$ ,  $(a \Rightarrow p)$ ,  $(b \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow p$



#### Bases

## Definition (Base)

A base is a set of atomic rules.



#### Bases

#### Definition (Base)

A base is a set of atomic rules.

A base is said to be contextual if it contains contextual rules.

Else it is said to be context-free.

#### Bases

#### Definition (Base)

A base is a set of atomic rules.

A base is said to be contextual if it contains contextual rules.

Else it is said to be context-free.

$$\blacksquare \{((\Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow c), ((\Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow c), ((\Rightarrow c), (a \Rightarrow p), (b \Rightarrow p) \Rightarrow p)\}$$

$$\blacksquare \{(\{\Rightarrow a\} \Rightarrow c), (\{a \Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b), (\{\Rightarrow a\}, \{\Rightarrow a\} \Rightarrow a)\}$$

$$\blacksquare \{(\{\Rightarrow a\} \Rightarrow c), (\{a \Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b), (\{\Rightarrow d\}, \{\Rightarrow d\} \Rightarrow a), (\{\Rightarrow a\} \Rightarrow d)\}$$



# Presentation root directory

- 1 Bases and atomic rules
- 2 Atomic derivability
- 3 Comparing relations
- 4 BeS for IPL and ILL
- 5 Translating between the semantics



## Definition (Context-free atomic derivability $\triangleright_{\mathscr{B}}$ )

The relation of derivability in a context-free base  $\mathcal{B}$ , is defined as so:

Ref  $S \triangleright_{\mathscr{B}} p$  if  $p \in S$ .

App For  $((P_1 \Rightarrow p_1), \dots, (P_n \Rightarrow p_n) \Rightarrow q) \in \mathcal{B}$  and S,  $P_i \triangleright_{\mathcal{B}} p_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  then  $S \triangleright_{\mathcal{B}} q$ .

## Definition (Context-free atomic derivability $\triangleright_{\mathscr{B}}$ )

The relation of derivability in a context-free base  $\mathcal{B}$ , is defined as so:

Ref 
$$S \triangleright_{\mathscr{B}} p$$
 if  $p \in S$ .

App For 
$$((P_1 \Rightarrow p_1), \dots, (P_n \Rightarrow p_n) \Rightarrow q) \in \mathscr{B}$$
 and  $S_9 P_i \rhd_{\mathscr{B}} p_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  then  $S \rhd_{\mathscr{B}} q$ .

## Definition (Contextual atomic derivability ⊢<sub>∞</sub>)

The relation of derivability in a contextual base  $\mathcal{B}$ , is defined as so:

Ref 
$$p \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p$$
.

App For 
$$(\{(P_{1_i} \Rightarrow p_{1_i})\}_{i=1}^{l_1}, \ldots, \{(P_{n_i} \Rightarrow p_{n_i})\}_{i=1}^{l_n} \Rightarrow q) \in \mathscr{B}$$
 and  $C_i$ ,  $P_{i_j} \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p_{i_j}$  for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \ldots, l_i\}$  then  $C_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $C_n \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} q$ .



## Example

Let 
$$\mathscr{B} = \{(\Rightarrow a), ((\Rightarrow a), (\Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow c)\}$$

$$\frac{b \bowtie_{\mathscr{B}} b}{b \bowtie_{\mathscr{B}} c} \text{Ref} \quad \frac{b \bowtie_{\mathscr{B}} a}{b \bowtie_{\mathscr{B}} c} \Rightarrow a \\ (\Rightarrow a), (\Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow c$$



## Example

Let 
$$\mathscr{B} = \{(\Rightarrow a), (\{\Rightarrow a\}, \{\Rightarrow b\} \Rightarrow c)\}$$

$$\frac{\overline{b \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} b} \operatorname{Ref} \quad \overline{\vdash_{\mathscr{B}} a}}{b \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} c} \Rightarrow a$$

$$\{\Rightarrow a\}, \{\Rightarrow b\} \Rightarrow c$$



## Example

By the definition of  $\vdash_{\mathscr{B}}$ , deriving a from a, a in the empty base is not possible, i.e. a,  $a \vdash_{\varnothing} a$  is not possible. But a,  $a \vartriangleright_{\varnothing} a$  is possible.

## Example

By the definition of  $\vdash_{\mathscr{B}}$ , deriving a from a, a in the empty base is not possible, i.e. a,  $a \vdash_{\varnothing} a$  is not possible. But a,  $a \vartriangleright_{\varnothing} a$  is possible.

## Example

Let 
$$\mathscr{B} = \{(\{\Rightarrow a\} \Rightarrow c), (\{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b)\}$$

$$\frac{\cfrac{\times}{a, a \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} a}}{\cfrac{a, a \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} c}{a \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} b}} \{\Rightarrow a\} \Rightarrow c$$

We see that in this base, a is not derivable from  $a \circ a$ .



## Example (A possible fix)

Let 
$$(\mathscr{B})_{\star} = \{((\Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow c), ((a \Rightarrow c) \Rightarrow b)\}$$

$$\frac{\overline{a \cdot a \triangleright_{(\mathscr{B})_{\star}} a}}{\overline{a \cdot a \triangleright_{(\mathscr{B})_{\star}} c}} \underset{(\Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow c}{\operatorname{Ref}}$$

$$\frac{\overline{a \cdot a \triangleright_{(\mathscr{B})_{\star}} c}}{\overline{a \triangleright_{(\mathscr{B})_{\star}} b}} (a \Rightarrow c) \Rightarrow b$$



## Example (Another possible fix)

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Let}\,\mathscr{C} = & \{(\{\Rightarrow a\} \Rightarrow c), (\{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b), (\{\Rightarrow a\}, \{\Rightarrow a\} \Rightarrow a)\} \\ & \frac{ \overline{a \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} a} \ \mathsf{Ref} }{ \overline{a \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} a} \ \mathsf{Ref} } \underbrace{ \{\Rightarrow a\}, \{\Rightarrow a\} \Rightarrow a }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} c} \underbrace{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \Rightarrow c }_{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \Rightarrow b }_{ \{\Rightarrow a\} \vdash_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \ni_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \ni_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\} \flat_{\mathscr{C}} b} \underbrace{ \{a\Rightarrow c\}$$

## Example (A very interesting derivation)

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the following set of rules:

$$\{(\{\Rightarrow b\}\Rightarrow c), (\{\Rightarrow a\}, \{b\Rightarrow c\}\Rightarrow d), (\{\Rightarrow d, \Rightarrow a\}\Rightarrow e), (\{a\Rightarrow e\}\Rightarrow f)\}$$

$$\frac{\overline{a \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} a} \operatorname{Ref} \quad \frac{\overline{b \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} b}}{b \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} c} \operatorname{Ref}}{\frac{a \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} a}{b \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} c} \left\{ \Rightarrow b \right\} \Rightarrow c}{\left\{ \Rightarrow a \right\}, \left\{ b \Rightarrow c \right\} \Rightarrow d} \quad \overline{a \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} a} \operatorname{Ref}}{\frac{a \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} e}{\vdash_{\mathscr{B}} f} \left\{ a \Rightarrow e \right\} \Rightarrow f}$$



# Presentation root directory

- 1 Bases and atomic rules
- 2 Atomic derivability
- 3 Comparing relations
- 4 BeS for IPL and ILL
- 5 Translating between the semantics



# Comparing relations

#### Definition (Structural rules)

We define two rules:

- $\blacksquare \mathsf{Wk}_q^p = (\{ \Rightarrow p \}, \{ \Rightarrow q \} \Rightarrow q)$
- lacksquare Ctn $_q^p = (\{\Rightarrow p\}, \{p \ , \ p \Rightarrow q\} \Rightarrow q)$



# Mappings between bases



# Mappings between bases

#### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a context-free base. We define structural contextualisation of that base  $(\mathcal{B})^*$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} (\mathscr{B})^{\star} &= \{ \{P_{1} \Rightarrow p_{1}\}, \dots, \{P_{n} \Rightarrow p_{n}\} \Rightarrow q \,|\, ((P_{1} \Rightarrow p_{1}), \dots, (P_{n} \Rightarrow p_{n}) \Rightarrow q) \in \mathscr{B} \} \\ &\quad \cup \{ \mathsf{Wk}_{q}^{p}, \mathsf{Ctn}_{q}^{p} \,|\, \forall p, \, q \in \mathbb{A} \} \end{split}$$

# Mappings between bases

#### Definition

Let  $\mathscr{B}$  be a context-free base. We define structural contextualisation of that base  $(\mathscr{B})^*$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} (\mathscr{B})^{\star} &= \{ \{P_1 \Rightarrow p_1\}, \dots, \{P_n \Rightarrow p_n\} \Rightarrow q \,|\, ((P_1 \Rightarrow p_1), \dots, (P_n \Rightarrow p_n) \Rightarrow q) \in \mathscr{B} \} \\ &\quad \cup \{ \mathsf{Wk}_q^{p}, \mathsf{Ctn}_q^{p} \,|\, \forall p, q \in \mathbb{A} \} \end{split}$$

We define the decontextualisation of a contextual base as:

$$(\mathscr{B})_{\star} = \{ (P_{1_{1}} \Rightarrow p_{1_{1}}), \dots, (P_{n_{l_{n}}} \Rightarrow p_{n_{l_{n}}}) \Rightarrow q$$

$$| (\{P_{1_{i}} \Rightarrow p_{1_{i}}\}_{i=1}^{l_{i}}, \dots, \{P_{n_{i}} \Rightarrow p_{n_{i}}\}_{i=1}^{l_{n}} \Rightarrow q) \in \mathscr{B} \}$$



Let  $\mathscr{B}$  be a context-free base. Then the following hold:

$$\blacksquare ((\mathscr{B})^{\star})_{\star} \supseteq \mathscr{B}$$



Let  $\mathscr{B}$  be a context-free base. Then the following hold:

- $\blacksquare ((\mathscr{B})^*)_* \supseteq \mathscr{B}$
- $\quad \blacksquare \ (((\mathscr{B})^{\star})_{\star})^{\star} = (\mathscr{B})^{\star}$



Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a context-free base. Then the following hold:

- $\blacksquare ((\mathscr{B})^*)_* \supseteq \mathscr{B}$
- $(((\mathscr{B})^*)_*)^* = (\mathscr{B})^*$
- For all  $\mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}$  we have that  $(\mathscr{C})^* \supseteq (\mathscr{B})^*$

Let  $\mathscr{B}$  be a context-free base. Then the following hold:

- $\blacksquare ((\mathscr{B})^*)_* \supseteq \mathscr{B}$
- $(((\mathscr{B})^*)_*)^* = (\mathscr{B})^*$
- For all  $\mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}$  we have that  $(\mathscr{C})^* \supseteq (\mathscr{B})^*$
- For all  $\mathscr{C}\supseteq (\mathscr{B})^*$  there exists an extension  $\mathscr{X}\supseteq \mathscr{B}$  such that  $(\mathscr{X})^*=\mathscr{C}$

Let  $\mathscr{B}$  be a context-free base. Then the following hold:

- $\blacksquare ((\mathscr{B})^*)_* \supseteq \mathscr{B}$
- $(((\mathscr{B})^{\star})_{\star})^{\star} = (\mathscr{B})^{\star}$
- For all  $\mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}$  we have that  $(\mathscr{C})^* \supseteq (\mathscr{B})^*$
- For all  $\mathscr{C}\supseteq (\mathscr{B})^*$  there exists an extension  $\mathscr{X}\supseteq \mathscr{B}$  such that  $(\mathscr{X})^*=\mathscr{C}$
- For all  $\mathscr{C} \supseteq (\mathscr{B})^*$ , it follows immediately that  $\mathscr{C} = ((\mathscr{C})_*)^*$ .



# Structural admissibility in a structurally contextualised base

#### Lemma

Suppose  $L \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$  holds. Then R,  $L \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$  also holds for any atomic multiset R.



#### Proof.

Let  $R = \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$  for some n. Then we have that we can effectively weaken S away as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{1}{r_{n-1} \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^{\star}} r_{n-1}} \operatorname{Ref}}{\frac{r_{n-1} \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^{\star}} r_{n-1}}{\operatorname{Ref}}} \frac{\frac{\overline{r_{n} \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^{\star}} r_{n}} \operatorname{Ref}}{r_{n}, L \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^{\star}} p} \operatorname{Wk}_{p}^{r_{n-1}}}{\operatorname{Wk}_{p}^{r_{n-1}}} \operatorname{Wk}_{p}^{r_{n}}} \\ \vdots \\ R, L \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^{\star}} p$$



# Structural admissibility in a structurally contextualised base

#### Lemma

Suppose R,  $R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$  holds. Then  $R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$  also holds.



# Structural admissibility in a structurally contextualised base

#### Lemma

Suppose R,  $R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$  holds. Then  $R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$  also holds.

#### Corollary

For arbitrary  $m \geqslant 1$ , if  $R^m \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$  then  $R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$ .



#### Proof.

Let  $R = \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$  for some n. Then we have that we can effectively contract on R as follows:

$$\underbrace{\frac{r_{n-1} \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^{\star}} r_{n-1}}{r_{n-1} \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^{\star}} r_{n-1}}}_{\text{Ref}} \, \underbrace{\frac{r_{n} \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^{\star}} r_{n}}{r_{1} \mathrel{,} \cdots \mathrel{,} r_{n-1} \mathrel{,} R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^{\star}} p}}_{r_{1} \mathrel{,} \cdots \mathrel{,} r_{n-1} \mathrel{,} R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^{\star}} p}} \operatorname{Ctn}_{p}^{r_{n}} }_{\text{Ctn}_{p}^{r_{n-1}}} \\ \vdots \\ r_{1} \mathrel{,} R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^{\star}} p} \operatorname{Ctn}_{p}^{r_{1}}$$



■ If  $R \triangleright_{\mathscr{B}} p$  then  $R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$ .



- If  $R \triangleright_{\mathscr{B}} p$  then  $R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$ .
- $R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$  iff for all bases  $\mathscr{X} \supseteq (\mathscr{B})^*$  where for each  $r \in R$  we have  $\vdash_{\mathscr{X}} r$  then it follows that  $\vdash_{\mathscr{X}} p$ .



- If  $R \triangleright_{\mathscr{B}} p$  then  $R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$ .
- $R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$  iff for all bases  $\mathscr{X} \supseteq (\mathscr{B})^*$  where for each  $r \in R$  we have  $\vdash_{\mathscr{X}} r$  then it follows that  $\vdash_{\mathscr{X}} p$ .
- $\blacksquare R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p \text{ iff } !R \Vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*}^{\varnothing} p$



- If  $R \triangleright_{\mathscr{B}} p$  then  $R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$ .
- $R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p$  iff for all bases  $\mathscr{X} \supseteq (\mathscr{B})^*$  where for each  $r \in R$  we have  $\vdash_{\mathscr{X}} r$  then it follows that  $\vdash_{\mathscr{X}} p$ .
- $\blacksquare R \vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*} p \text{ iff } !R \Vdash_{(\mathscr{B})^*}^{\varnothing} p$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash^R_{(\mathcal{B})^{\star}} \rho \text{ iff } ! R \Vdash^{\varnothing}_{(\mathcal{B})^{\star}} \rho$



## Presentation root directory

- 1 Bases and atomic rules
- 2 Atomic derivability
- 3 Comparing relations
- 4 BeS for IPL and ILL
- 5 Translating between the semantics

#### BeS for IPL: Summary

- $\blacksquare$   $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p$  iff  $\rhd_{\mathscr{B}} p$ .
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \wedge \psi \text{ iff } \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \text{ and } \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \psi.$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \lor \psi \text{ iff for all } \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, p, \text{ if } \phi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p \text{ and } \psi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p \text{ then } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p.$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \to \psi \text{ iff } \phi \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \psi.$
- $\blacksquare$   $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \bot$  iff  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p$  for all p.
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \top \text{ iff always.}$
- $\blacksquare \Gamma \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \text{ iff for all } \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B} \text{ if } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} \gamma \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ then } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} \phi.$
- $\blacksquare \Gamma \Vdash \varphi \text{ iff } \Gamma \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi \text{ for all } \mathscr{B}.$



# BeS for ILL: Structure and the Exponential

- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} p \text{ iff } L \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p.$
- $\begin{array}{l} \blacksquare \ \Gamma \Vdash^{\!\!\! L}_{\mathscr{B}} \ \phi \ \text{iff} \\ \forall \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \ \textit{K}, \ \text{if} \ \Vdash^{\!\!\! \mathcal{S}}_{\mathscr{C}} \ \Delta \ \text{and} \ \Vdash^{\!\!\! K}_{\mathscr{C}} \ \Theta \ \text{where} \ ! \ \Delta \ , \ \Theta = \Gamma \ \text{then} \ \Vdash^{\!\!\! L,K}_{\mathscr{C}} \ \phi. \end{array}$
- $\blacksquare \ \Gamma \Vdash \varphi \ \text{iff} \ \Gamma \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{\varnothing} \varphi \ \text{for all} \ \mathscr{B}.$



# BeS for ILL: Multiplicatives

- $\blacksquare \ \Vdash^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \otimes \psi \text{ iff for all } \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \ K \text{ and } p, \text{ if } \phi \ , \psi \Vdash^{K}_{\mathscr{C}} p \text{ then } \Vdash^{\mathcal{L},K}_{\mathscr{C}} p.$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{\mathcal{L}} \phi \multimap \psi \text{ iff } \phi \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{\mathcal{L}} \psi.$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{\perp} 1 \text{ iff for all } \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, K \text{ and } p, \text{ if } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{K} p \text{ then } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{\perp,K} p.$



#### BeS for ILL: Additives

- $\blacksquare \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} \phi \oplus \psi \text{ iff for all } \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, K \text{ and } p, \text{ if } \phi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{K} p \text{ and } \psi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{K} p \text{ then } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{L_{\mathfrak{F}} K} p.$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} 0 \text{ iff } \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} p \text{ for all } p.$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{\perp} \top \text{ iff always.}$

## BeS for ILL: Summary

- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} p \text{ iff } L \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p.$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} \varphi \otimes \psi \text{ iff } \forall \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \ \textit{K}, \ \textit{p} (\varphi \ , \psi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{\textit{K}} \textit{p} \text{ implies } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{\textit{L},\textit{K}} \textit{p}).$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{\widehat{L}} \phi \multimap \psi \text{ iff } \phi \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} \psi.$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} \text{1 iff } \forall \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \ K, \ p \ (\Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{K} p \text{ implies } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{L,K} p).$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi \& \psi \text{ iff } \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi \text{ and } \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \psi.$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} \varphi \oplus \psi \text{ iff } \forall \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \ K, \ p (\varphi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{K} p \text{ and } \psi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{K} p \text{ implies } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{L,K} p).$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} 0 \text{ iff } \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p \text{ for all } p.$
- $\blacksquare \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{\perp} \top \text{ iff always.}$
- $\blacksquare \begin{tabular}{l} \Vdash^L_{\mathscr{B}} ! \ \phi \ \text{iff} \\ \forall \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \ K, \ p \ (\forall \mathscr{D} \supseteq \mathscr{C} (\Vdash^{\varnothing}_{\mathscr{D}} \ \phi \ \text{implies} \Vdash^K_{\mathscr{D}} \ p) \ \text{implies} \Vdash^{L,K}_{\mathscr{C}} \ p). \end{tabular}$
- $\Gamma \Vdash^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi$  iff  $\forall \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \ K, \Vdash^{\mathscr{D}}_{\mathscr{C}} \delta$  and  $\Vdash^{K}_{\mathscr{C}} \gamma$  for  $! \ \delta \in \Gamma$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  then  $\Vdash^{\mathcal{L},K}_{\mathscr{C}} \varphi$ .
- $\blacksquare \Gamma \Vdash \varphi \text{ iff } \Gamma \Vdash_{\mathscr{A}}^{\varnothing} \varphi \text{ for all } \mathscr{B}.$



## Presentation root directory

- 1 Bases and atomic rules
- 2 Atomic derivability
- 3 Comparing relations
- 4 BeS for IPL and ILL
- 5 Translating between the semantics





- (IPL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p \text{ iff } \rhd_{\mathscr{B}} p.$
- (ILL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} p$  iff  $L \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p$ .
- $(\mathsf{IPL}) \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \wedge \psi \text{ iff } \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \text{ and } \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \psi.$
- (ILL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi \& \psi$  iff  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi$  and  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \psi$ .



- (IPL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p$  iff  $\triangleright_{\mathscr{B}} p$ .
- (ILL)  $\Vdash^{\perp}_{\mathscr{B}} p$  iff  $L \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p$ .
- (IPL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \wedge \psi$  iff  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi$  and  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \psi$ .
- (IPL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \lor \psi$  iff for all  $\mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}$ , p, if  $\phi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p$  and  $\psi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p$  then  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p$ .
- $(\mathsf{ILL}) \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} \phi \oplus \psi \ \mathsf{iff for all} \ \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \ K, \ p, \ \mathsf{if} \ \phi \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{K} p \ \mathsf{and} \ \psi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{K} p \ \mathsf{then} \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{L,K} p.$



- (IPL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p$  iff  $\triangleright_{\mathscr{B}} p$ .
- (ILL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} p$  iff  $L \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p$ .
- (IPL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \wedge \psi$  iff  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi$  and  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \psi$ .
- $(\mathsf{IPL}) \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi \vee \psi \text{ iff for all } \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \, p, \text{ if } \varphi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p \text{ and } \psi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p \text{ then } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p.$
- $(\mathsf{ILL}) \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} \phi \oplus \psi \ \mathsf{iff for all} \ \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \ \mathsf{K}, \ \mathsf{p}, \ \mathsf{if} \ \phi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{\mathsf{K}} \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{and} \ \psi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{\mathsf{K}} \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{then} \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{L,\mathsf{K}} \mathsf{p}.$
- $(\mathsf{IPL}) \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \to \psi \text{ iff } \phi \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \psi.$
- (ILL)  $\Vdash^{L}_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi \multimap \psi$  iff  $\varphi \Vdash^{L}_{\mathscr{B}} \psi$ .

- (IPL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p \text{ iff } \rhd_{\mathscr{B}} p.$
- (ILL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} p$  iff  $L \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p$ .
- (IPL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \wedge \psi$  iff  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi$  and  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \psi$ .
- (ILL)  $\Vdash^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi \& \psi$  iff  $\Vdash^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi$  and  $\vdash^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathscr{B}} \psi$ .
- $(\mathsf{IPL}) \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \lor \psi \ \mathsf{iff for all} \ \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \ p, \ \mathsf{if} \ \phi \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p \ \mathsf{and} \ \psi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p \ \mathsf{then} \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p.$
- $(\mathsf{ILL}) \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} \phi \oplus \psi \ \mathsf{iff for all} \ \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \ \mathsf{K}, \ \mathsf{p}, \ \mathsf{if} \ \phi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{\mathsf{K}} \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{and} \ \psi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{\mathsf{K}} \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{then} \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{L,\mathsf{K}} \mathsf{p}.$
- $(\mathsf{IPL}) \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \to \psi \text{ iff } \phi \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \psi.$
- (IPL)  $\parallel \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \bot \text{ iff } \parallel \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p \text{ for all } p.$
- (ILL)  $\Vdash^{L}_{\mathscr{R}} 0$  iff  $\Vdash^{L}_{\mathscr{R}} p$  for all p.



We seem to have a corrspondence between the clauses for the following pairs of connectives:

- $\blacksquare$   $\land$  and &
- $\blacksquare$   $\lor$  and  $\oplus$
- $\blacksquare$   $\rightarrow$  and  $\multimap$
- $\blacksquare$   $\bot$  and 0



One possible translation of formulas between IPL and ILL is:

- $\blacksquare$   $\llbracket p \rrbracket \equiv p$ , where p is an atom.
- $\blacksquare \hspace{0.1cm} \llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket \equiv \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \, \& \, \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- $\blacksquare \hspace{0.1cm} \llbracket \phi \vee \psi \rrbracket \equiv ! \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \oplus ! \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- $\blacksquare \hspace{0.1cm} \llbracket \phi \to \psi \rrbracket \equiv ! \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \multimap \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- $\blacksquare \ \llbracket \bot \rrbracket \equiv 0$

- (IPL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p$  iff  $\rhd_{\mathscr{B}} p$ .
- (ILL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} p$  iff  $L \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p$ .
- (IPL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \wedge \psi$  iff  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi$  and  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \psi$ .
- (ILL)  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{\perp} \varphi \& \psi$  iff  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{\perp} \varphi$  and  $\Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{\perp} \psi$ .
- $(\mathsf{IPL}) \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi \vee \psi \text{ iff for all } \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \, p, \text{ if } \varphi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p \text{ and } \psi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p \text{ then } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} p.$
- $(\mathsf{ILL}) \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}}^{L} \phi \oplus \psi \ \mathsf{iff for all} \ \mathscr{C} \supseteq \mathscr{B}, \ \mathsf{K}, \ \mathsf{p}, \ \mathsf{if} \ \phi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{\mathsf{K}} \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{and} \ \psi \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{\mathsf{K}} \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{then} \ \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{L,\mathsf{K}} \mathsf{p}.$
- $(\mathsf{IPL}) \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \to \psi \text{ iff } \phi \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \psi.$
- (IPL)  $\parallel \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \bot \text{ iff } \parallel \vdash_{\mathscr{B}} p \text{ for all } p.$
- (ILL)  $\Vdash^{L}_{\mathscr{R}} 0$  iff  $\Vdash^{L}_{\mathscr{R}} p$  for all p.



One possible translation of formulas between IPL and ILL is:

- $\blacksquare$   $\llbracket p \rrbracket \equiv p$ , where p is an atom.
- $\blacksquare \hspace{0.1cm} \llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket \equiv \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \, \& \, \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- $\blacksquare \hspace{0.1cm} \llbracket \phi \vee \psi \rrbracket \equiv ! \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \oplus ! \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- $\blacksquare \hspace{0.1cm} \llbracket \phi \to \psi \rrbracket \equiv ! \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \multimap \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- $\blacksquare \ \llbracket \bot \rrbracket \equiv 0$

One possible translation of formulas between IPL and ILL is:

- $\blacksquare$   $\llbracket p \rrbracket \equiv p$ , where p is an atom.
- $\blacksquare \hspace{0.1cm} \llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket \equiv \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \hspace{0.1cm} \& \hspace{0.1cm} \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- $\blacksquare \hspace{0.1cm} \llbracket \phi \vee \psi \rrbracket \equiv ! \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \oplus ! \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- $\blacksquare \ \llbracket \phi \to \psi \rrbracket \equiv ! \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \multimap \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- **■** [⊥] = 0

Sequents are translated as follows:

 $\blacksquare \ \text{If} \ \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{IPL}} \phi \ \text{then} \ ! \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_{\mathsf{ILL}} \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ 

One possible translation of formulas between IPL and ILL is:

- $\blacksquare$   $\llbracket p \rrbracket \equiv p$ , where p is an atom.
- $\blacksquare \hspace{0.1cm} \llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket \equiv \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \hspace{0.1cm} \& \hspace{0.1cm} \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- $\blacksquare \ \llbracket \phi \lor \psi \rrbracket \equiv ! \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \oplus ! \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- $\blacksquare \hspace{0.1cm} \llbracket \phi \to \psi \rrbracket \equiv ! \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \multimap \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$
- $\blacksquare$   $\llbracket \bot \rrbracket \equiv 0$

Sequents are translated as follows:

 $\blacksquare \ \text{If} \ \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{IPL}} \phi \ \text{then} \ ! \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_{\mathsf{ILL}} \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ 

Semantically we should therefore have:

■ If  $\Gamma \Vdash \varphi$  then  $! \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$ 



## Immediate correspondence between bases

```
\begin{split} \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{IPL}} \phi & \text{iff } \Gamma \Vdash \phi & \text{iff for all } \mathscr{B} \,, \, p \,, \text{ if } \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \gamma \text{ then } \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \phi \\ !\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_{\mathsf{ILL}} \llbracket \phi \rrbracket & \text{iff } !\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash \llbracket \phi \rrbracket & \text{iff for all } \mathscr{C} \,, \, p \,, \text{ if } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{\mathscr{C}} \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \text{ then } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}}^{\mathscr{C}} \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \end{split}
```



## Immediate correspondence between bases

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{IPL}} \varphi \qquad \text{iff } \Gamma \Vdash_{\varphi} \qquad \text{iff for all } \mathscr{B}, p, \text{ if } \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \gamma \text{ then } \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi$$

$$! \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_{\mathsf{ILL}} \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \quad \text{iff } ! \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_{\mathbb{F}} \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \quad \text{iff for all } \mathscr{C}, p, \text{ if } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \text{ then } \Vdash_{\mathscr{C}} \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$$

Since we have that  $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{IPL}} \varphi$  implies  $!\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_{\mathsf{ILL}} \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$ , then we have a mapping between bases  $\mathscr{B}$  and bases  $\mathscr{C}$ .



But what about if we have  $\Gamma \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi$ ?



#### But what about if we have $\Gamma \Vdash_{\mathscr{B}} \varphi$ ?

#### Theorem



## Thank you!

Thank you for listening!

Comments? Observations? Please ask and/or feel free to email me at y.buzoku@ucl.ac.uk



#### References I

- G.M. Bierman, *On intuitionistic linear logic*, Tech. Report UCAM-CL-TR-346, University of Cambridge, Computer Laboratory, August 1994.
- Il Buzoku, A proof-theoretic semantics for intuitionistic linear logic, 2024.
- Alexander V. Gheorghiu, Tao Gu, and David J. Pym, *Proof-theoretic semantics for intuitionistic multiplicative linear logic*, Automated Reasoning with Analytic Tableaux and Related Methods (Cham) (Revantha Ramanayake and Josef Urban, eds.), Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 367–385.

#### References II

- J.-Y. Girard, Linear logic: its syntax and semantics, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, p. 1–42, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- Alexander V. Gheorghiu and David J. Pym, From proof-theoretic validity to base-extension semantics for intuitionistic propositional logic, 2022.
- Sara Negri, A normalizing system of natural deduction for intuitionistic linear logic, Archive for Mathematical Logic 41 (2002), no. 8, 789–810.
- Tor Sandqvist, *An inferentialist interpretation of classical logic*, Ph.D. thesis, Uppsala universitet, 2005.



#### References III



\_\_\_\_\_, Base-extension semantics for intuitionistic sentential logic, Log. J. IGPL **23** (2015), 719–731.