

Assignment 3

Problem 1

Given Facts:

1. If the unicorn is mythical, then it is immortal:
 $Mytical \supset \neg Mortal$
2. If the unicorn is not mythical, then it is both mortal and a mammal:
 $\neg Mytical \supset (Mortal \wedge Mammal)$
3. If the unicorn is either immortal or a mammal, then it is horned:
 $(\neg Mortal \vee Mammal) \supset Horned$
4. If the unicorn is horned, then it is magical:
 $Horned \supset Magical$

Proof by Resolution:

1. Assume: $\neg Magical$
2. From $Horned \supset Magical$, $\neg Horned \vee Magical$, $\neg Horned$
3. With $(\neg Mortal \vee Mammal) \supset Horned$, $\neg Horned$ implies $\neg(\neg Mortal \vee Mammal)$,
simplified to $Mortal \vee \neg Mammal$
4. From $\neg Mytical \supset (Mortal \wedge Mammal)$, $\neg Mytical$ implies $Mortal \wedge Mammal$,
leading to a contradiction
5. Hence, $Magical$ must be true

Conclusion:

The unicorn is both horned and magical, but we cannot deduce if it is mythical without more information.

Problem 2

Define Vocabulary:

- Student(x): x is a student
- Person(x): x is a person
- Vegetarian(x): x is vegetarian
- Smart(x): x is smart
- Take(x,y): student x taking course y
- Failed(x,y): student x failed course y

- Homework(x,y): student x do homework for student y
- Like(x,y): person x likes person y

First-order logic:

- Not all students take both History and Biology
 $\neg \forall x (Take(x, History) \wedge Take(x, Biology))$
- Only one student failed History
 $\exists x (Fail(x, History) \wedge \forall y (Fail(y, History) \rightarrow y = x))$
- Every person who dislikes all vegetarians is smart
 $\forall x (\forall y (Vegetarian(y) \supset \neg Like(x, y)) \supset Smart(x))$
- No person likes a smart vegetarian
 $\neg \exists x [\exists y (Like(x, y) \wedge Vegetarian(y) \wedge Smart(y))]$
- There is a student who does homework for those and only those who do not do homework for themselves
 $\exists x (Student(x) \wedge \forall y (Homework(x, y) \equiv \neg Homework(y, y)))$

Problem 3

By using GSCA Rule Learning,

Iteration 1:

- Choose attributes that separate positive from negative examples
- Derive rule $EXP \wedge GPA \supset HIRE$

Iteration 2:

- Remaining positive examples evaluated
- Derive rule $UST \wedge REC \supset HIRE$

Final Rules:

- $EXP \wedge GPA \supset HIRE$
- $UST \wedge REC \supset HIRE$

Problem 4

Let A=Alarm, B=Burglary, E=Earthquake, J=JohnCalls, M=MaryCalls

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(A) &= \sum_{B,E} P(A|B,E)P(B)P(E) \\
 &= 0.001(0.002)(0.95) + 0.001(0.998)(0.94) + 0.999(0.002)(0.29) \\
 &\quad + 0.999(0.998)(0.001) = 0.0025
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(M) &= P(M|A)P(A) + P(M|\neg A)P(\neg A) = 0.0117 \\
P(J, M) &= P(J, M, A) \times P(J, M, \neg A) \\
&= P(J, M|A) \times P(A) + P(J, M|\neg A)P(\neg A) = 0.002 \\
P(J|M) &= \frac{P(J, M)}{P(M)} = \frac{0.002}{0.0117} = 0.17
\end{aligned}$$

Problem 5

By using resolution refutation, in CNF form:

elephant(Sam)
elephant(Clyde)
elephant(Oscar)
pink(Sam)
gray(Clyde)
likes(Clyde, Oscar)
pink(Oscar) ∨ gray(Oscar)
likes(Oscar, Sam)

Refutation:

$$\neg \text{elephant}(x) \vee \neg \text{gray}(x) \vee \neg \text{elephant}(y) \vee \neg \text{pink}(y) \vee \neg \text{likes}(x, y)$$

Therefore:

$$\text{gray}(x) \wedge \text{pink}(y) \wedge \text{likes}(x, y)$$

Problem 6

Nash equilibrium is (Pol: expand, Fed: contract), for this matrix, it can be (3,3)

Problem 7

$$N = \{1, 2\}, A_1 = A_2 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

$$u_i(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 6 - x_i, & \text{if agent wins} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Nash Equilibria:

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	2.5, 2.5	0, 4	0, 3	0, 2	0, 1	0, 0
2	4, 0	2, 2	0, 3	0, 2	0, 1	0, 0
3	3, 0	3, 0	1.5, 1.5	0, 2	0, 1	0, 0
4	2, 0	2, 0	2, 0	1, 1	0, 1	0, 0
5	1, 0	1, 0	1, 0	1, 0	0.5, 0.5	0, 0

6	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Nash equilibria are (4,4), (5,5), (6,6)