
Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels

Contents

1	Model, asymptotic property of LS and IV estimator	2
1.1	The models and Assumptions	2
1.1.1	Fixed effects model	2
1.1.2	Trend model	2
1.2	IV estimator	3
1.3	Asymptotic property of LS estimator	4
1.3.1	Mean group LS estimator	6
1.4	Asymptotic property of IV estimator	8
1.4.1	Mean group IV estimator	9
2	Estimation method on dynamic heterogeneous panel data model with multifactor error structure	10
2.1	Norkutes' (2019) IVMG estimator	10
3	Monte Carlo simulation design	11
3.1	dynamic heterogeneous panels data model without error factor structure	11
3.2	Dynamic heterogeneous panels data model with multi-factor error structure	11
4	Monte Carlo simulation results	13
4.1	Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels without multifactor error structure .	13
4.2	Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels with multifactor error structure . . .	14
5	Short summary	15
5.1	Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels without multifactor error structure .	15
5.2	Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels with multifactor error structure . . .	15
	Reference	16

1 Model, asymptotic property of LS and IV estimator

1.1 The models and Assumptions

1.1.1 Fixed effects model

Consider the dynamic heterogeneous panels data model with fixed effects:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{i,t} &= \alpha_i + \phi_i y_{i,t-1} + \mathbf{x}_{i,t}' \boldsymbol{\beta}_i + u_{i,t}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N; t = 1, \dots, T, \\ &= \mathbf{w}_{i,t}' \boldsymbol{\theta} + \alpha_i + u_{i,t}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{i,t}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_i$ are $k \times 1$ vectors and $\mathbf{w}_{i,t} = (\phi_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}_i')'$ is a $(1+k) \times 1$ vector. Stacking the T observations for each i , we have

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{W}_i \boldsymbol{\theta}_i + \alpha_i \boldsymbol{\iota}_T + \mathbf{u}_i, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{y}_i = (y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,T})'$, $\mathbf{W}_i = (\mathbf{w}_{i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{i,T})'$, $\boldsymbol{\iota}_T = (1, \dots, 1)'$ and $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,T})'$.

Due to the incidental parameters problem arise, we use forward filter to the model by Moon and Phillips (2000), Hayakawa (2009) and Hayakawa et al. (2019). We define the $(T-1) \times 1$ forward demeaning matrix as

$$\mathbf{F} = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{T-1}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-1}{T-1} & \dots & \dots & \frac{-1}{T-1} \\ \vdots & 1 & \frac{-1}{T-2} & \dots & \frac{-1}{T-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $c_t = \sqrt{(T-t)(T-t+1)}$. Multiply \mathbf{F} to model (2), the model can be expressed as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i \boldsymbol{\theta}_i + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i, \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{y}_i = (\tilde{y}_{i,1}, \dots, \tilde{y}_{i,T-1})'$, $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{W}_i = (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{i,1}, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{i,T-1})'$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{u}_i = (\tilde{u}_{i,1}, \dots, \tilde{u}_{i,T-1})'$ with $\tilde{y}_{i,t} = c_t [y_{i,t} - (y_{i,t+1} + \dots + y_{i,T}) / (T-t)]$, for $t = 1, \dots, T-1$.

1.1.2 Trend model

Consider the dynamic heterogeneous panels data model with fixed effects and heterogeneous time trends:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{i,t} &= \alpha_i + \eta_i t + \phi_i y_{i,t-1} + \mathbf{x}_{i,t}' \boldsymbol{\beta}_i + u_{i,t}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N; t = 1, \dots, T, \\ &= \mathbf{w}_{i,t}' \boldsymbol{\theta} + \alpha_i + \eta_i t + u_{i,t}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{i,t}$ and β_i are $k \times 1$ vectors and $\mathbf{w}_{i,t} = (\phi_i, \beta_i')'$ is a $(1+k) \times 1$ vector. Stacking the T observations for each i , we have

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{W}_i \boldsymbol{\theta}_i + \alpha_i \boldsymbol{\iota}_T + \eta_i \boldsymbol{\tau}_T + \mathbf{u}_i, \quad (6)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau}_T = (1, 2, \dots, T)'$. Again, we define the forward demeaning matrix as

$$\mathbf{F}^\tau = \text{diag}(c_1^\tau, c_2^\tau, \dots, c_{T-2}^\tau) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{2(-2(T-2))}{(T-1)(T-2)} & \frac{2(-2(T-2)+3)}{(T-1)(T-2)} & \dots & \frac{2(-2(T-2)+3(T-2))}{(T-1)(T-2)} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{2(-2(T-3))}{(T-2)(T-3)} & \dots & \frac{2(-2(T-3)+3(T-3))}{(T-3)(T-4)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \frac{2(-2+3)}{2 \cdot 1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where $c_t^\tau = \sqrt{((T-t)(T-t-1)/(T-t-1)(T-t+2))}$.

Multiply \mathbf{F} to model (6), the model can expressed as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i^\tau = \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i^\tau \boldsymbol{\theta} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i^\tau, \quad (8)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i^\tau = \mathbf{F}^\tau \mathbf{y}_i = (\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{i,1}^\tau, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{i,T-2}^\tau)'$, $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i^\tau = \mathbf{F}^\tau \mathbf{W}_i$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i^\tau = \mathbf{F}^\tau \mathbf{u}_i$.

Assumption 1 $x_{i,t}$ and $u_{i,t}$ are independently distributed for all t and s .

1.2 IV estimator

We use current and lagged values of \mathbf{x}_i as instruments, as

$$\mathbf{Z}_i^{(j)} = (\mathbf{x}_{i,\cdot}, \mathbf{x}_{i,-1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i,-j})', \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_i^{(j)}$ is $T \times (j+1)k$ matrix with $j \geq 1$.

Then, we can define IV estimator, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_i^b$, as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i}^b = \left(\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \mathbf{P}_i^{(j)} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i \right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \mathbf{P}_i^{(j)} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i, \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{P}_i^{(j)} = \mathbf{Z}_i^{(j)} \left(\mathbf{Z}_i^{(j)'} \mathbf{Z}_i^{(j)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_i^{(j)'}$ and $b = 1$ corresponds to the fixed effects model while $b = 2$ corresponds to the trend models.

If we can observe long lagged length from data, we have many instruments that can be used. In empirical study, researchers do not use all past variables as instruments because there are trade off between efficiency and bias. But we have not clearly know that the effect of using long lagged length IV estimator in heterogeneous dynamic panel data model. And how to select the instruments to balance the bias and efficiency.

Kuersteiner and Okui (2010) provided model averaging two stage least squares estimator to balance the bias and efficiency. We try to follow this method to construct the optimal instruments. We define a weighting vector $\mathbf{W} = (w_1, \dots, w_j)'$. Then, we can weight $\mathbf{P}_i^{(j)}$ as

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{W}) = \sum_{j=1}^J w_j \mathbf{P}_i^{(j)}, \quad (11)$$

where J is maximum number of lagged variables that we can observed. Our goal is choosing \mathbf{W} to minimize the approximate mean square error.

1.3 Asymptotic property of LS estimator

Based on heterogenous dynamic panel data model (1), we can obtain fixed effect estimator as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\phi}_i \\ \hat{\beta}_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{y}_{i,t-1}^2}{T} & \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{y}_{i,t-1} \tilde{x}_{i,t}}{T} \\ \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{x}_{i,t} \tilde{y}_{i,t-1}}{T} & \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{x}_{i,t}^2}{T} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{y}_{i,t-1} \tilde{y}_{i,t}}{T} \\ \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{x}_{i,t} \tilde{y}_{i,t}}{T} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where $\tilde{y}_{i,t} = y_{i,t} - \bar{y}_i$, $\tilde{y}_{i,t-1} = y_{i,t-1} - \bar{y}_{i,-1}$ and $\tilde{x}_{i,t} = x_{i,t} - \bar{x}_i$ with $\bar{y}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{i,t}$, $\bar{y}_{i,-1} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{i,t-1}$, $\bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_{i,t}$. Under equation (1), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\phi}_i - \phi_i \\ \hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{y}_{i,t-1}^2}{T} & \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{y}_{i,t-1} \tilde{x}_{i,t}}{T} \\ \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{x}_{i,t} \tilde{y}_{i,t-1}}{T} & \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{x}_{i,t}^2}{T} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{y}_{i,t-1} \tilde{u}_{i,t}}{T} \\ \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{x}_{i,t} \tilde{u}_{i,t}}{T} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

where $\tilde{u}_{i,t}$ is $u_{i,t} - \bar{u}_i$ with $\bar{u}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T u_{i,t}$.

Now, we can investigate asymptotic bias by taking the probability limit as

$$A_{\phi i}^{(1)} = \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{y}_{i,t-1} \tilde{u}_{i,t}}{T} \right). \quad (14)$$

Then $A_i^{(1)}$ can be taken expectations as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\phi i}^{(1)} &= E(y_{i,t-1} - \bar{y}_{i,-1})(u_{i,t} - \bar{u}_i) \\ &= E(y_{i,t-1} u_{i,t}) - E(y_{i,t-1} \bar{u}_i) - E(\bar{y}_{i,-1} u_{i,t}) + E(\bar{y}_{i,-1} \bar{u}_i), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $E(y_{i,t-1} u_{i,t}) = 0$.

And we assume $y_{i,t}$ has started from a long time period in the past, so we have

$$y_{i,t} = \frac{\alpha_i}{(1 - \phi_i)} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta_i \phi_i^s x_{i,t-s} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \phi_i^s u_{i,t-s}, \quad (16)$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\phi i}^{(1)} &= -E \left(\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \phi_i^s u_{i,t-s-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T u_{i,t} \right) \right) - E \left(\frac{u_{i,t}}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \phi_i^s u_{i,t-s-1} \right) + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \phi_i^s u_{i,t-s-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T u_{i,t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Hence, from above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{\phi_i}^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{T}E \left\{ (u_{i,t-1} + u_{i,t-2}\phi_i^1 + u_{i,t-3}\phi_i^2 + \dots) (u_{i,1} + \dots + u_{i,t-1} + u_{i,t} + \dots + u_{i,T}) \right\} - \\
&\quad \frac{1}{T}E \left\{ u_{i,t} \sum_{s=1}^T (u_{i,s-1}\phi_i^0 + u_{i,s-2}\phi_i^1 + \dots + u_{i,s-t-1}\phi_i^t + \dots + u_{i,s-T-1}\phi_i^T + \dots) \right\} + \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{T}E \left\{ \left(\sum_{s=1}^T u_{i,s-1}\phi_i^0 + \sum_{s=1}^T u_{i,s-2}\phi_i^1 + \dots + \sum_{s=1}^T u_{i,s-t-1}\phi_i^t + \dots + \sum_{s=1}^T u_{i,s-T-1}\phi_i^T + \dots \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{s=1}^T u_{i,s} \right) \right\} \\
&= -\frac{\sigma_u^2 (1 - \phi_i^{t-1})}{T (1 - \phi_i)} - \frac{\sigma_u^2 (1 - \phi_i^{T-t})}{T (1 - \phi_i)} + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{T} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \phi_i} - \frac{1}{T} \frac{(1 - \phi_i^T)}{(1 - \phi_i)^2} \right) \\
&= -\frac{\sigma_u^2}{T(1 - \phi_i)} \left(1 - \phi_i^{t-1} - \phi_i^{T-t} + \frac{1}{T} \frac{(1 - \phi_i^T)}{(1 - \phi_i)} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Therefore, we can see the bias of $\hat{\phi}_i$ is $O(T^{-1})$.

To be more compact, we can rewrite the model as,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i \boldsymbol{\theta}_i + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i, \tag{19}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i = (\tilde{y}_{i,1}, \dots, \tilde{y}_{i,T})'$ is $T \times 1$ vector, $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i = (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{i,1}, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{i,T})'$ is $T \times 2$ matrix and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i = (\tilde{u}_{i,1}, \dots, \tilde{u}_{i,T})$ is $T \times 1$ vector with $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{i,t} = (y_{i,t-1} - \bar{y}_{i,-1}, x_{i,t} - \bar{x}_i)'$, for $t = 1, \dots, T$ and $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Also, we define our interested parameter as

$$(\phi_i, \beta_i)' = \boldsymbol{\theta}_i = \boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i, \tag{20}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} (\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\lambda)$. The lest square estimator, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i}$, can be expressed as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} = \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i}{T} \right)^{-1} \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i}{T}. \tag{21}$$

From above discussion and assumptions, we have following theorem

Theorem 1

$$\sqrt{T} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}_i^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{LS,i} \mathbf{Q}_i^{-1}), \tag{22}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{LS,i} = \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i$ and $\mathbf{Q}_i = \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i$

1.3.1 Mean group LS estimator

Now, we define the mean group estimator of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSi}. \quad (23)$$

And we can show that the asymptotic property of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG}$, as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} &= N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i}{T} \right)^{-1} \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i}{T} \\ &= \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i}{T} \right)^{-1} \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i}{T}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{\theta}_i$. For fixed N and large T , we have

$$\text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} = \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i}{T} \right)^{-1} \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i}{T} \right) \quad (25)$$

Then, from section 1.1, we know that $\text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i}{T} \right) = O_p(1)$. Thus, we can obtain

$$\text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} = \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}. \quad (26)$$

When $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$ and by the law of large numbers, we can see that

$$\text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} = \boldsymbol{\theta}. \quad (27)$$

And the variance estimator of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG}$ is given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{LS,\lambda} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \right)'. \quad (28)$$

We show that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{LS,\lambda}$ is consistent when $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \right)' &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} - E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} - E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) \right)' \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} - E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} - E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) \right)' \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} - E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} - E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) \right)' \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} - E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} - E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) \right)'. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Taking expectation on equation (29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \right)' \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^N Var \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG}' + \\
&\sum_{i=1}^N E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right)' - \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i}' + \sum_{i=1}^N E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i}' - \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right)' - \\
&\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG}' + \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right)' - \sum_{i=1}^N E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right)' = \\
&\sum_{i=1}^N Var \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) - N E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG}' \right) + \sum_{i=1}^N E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right)'
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

and

$$E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG}' \right) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i}' \right). \tag{31}$$

And, we also have

$$E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG}' \right) = \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N Var \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i}' \right) \right). \tag{32}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \right)' \right) &= \\
\left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N Var \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^N E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i}' \right) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i}' \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

From above equation (33), we can observe the bias term as,

$$\aleph = \sum_{i=1}^N E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i}' \right) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i}' \right). \tag{34}$$

Taking expectation on equation (26), we have

$$E \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS,i} \right) = \boldsymbol{\theta} + E \left(b_i \right), \tag{35}$$

From equation (34), we know that

$$\aleph = \sum_{i=1}^N E \left(b_i \right) E \left(b_i' \right) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N E \left(b_i \right) E \left(b_j' \right). \tag{36}$$

When $T \rightarrow \infty$, $\aleph = 0$. Therefore, we have following theorem

Theorem 2 When $(T, N) \xrightarrow{j} \infty$ such that $N/T \rightarrow c$ with $0 < c < \infty$,

1.

$$\sqrt{N} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} - \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{LS, \lambda}). \quad (37)$$

2.

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{LS, \lambda} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{LS, \lambda} \quad (38)$$

where

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{LS, \lambda} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS, i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LS, i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{LSMG} \right)'. \quad (39)$$

1.4 Asymptotic property of IV estimator

We use current and lagged values of \mathbf{x}_i as instruments, as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{i,t} = (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i,-1})', \quad (40)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_i$ is $T \times 2$ vector.

Assumption 2 $\mathbf{A}_i = \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{i,T}$ has full column rank, $\mathbf{B}_i = \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{i,T}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i = \text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_i' \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i' \mathbf{Z}_i$ has positive definite, uniformly, where $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{i,T} = \frac{1}{T} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{i,T} = \frac{1}{T} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_i$

Then, the IV estimator can be expressed as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV, i} = \left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{i,T}' \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{i,T}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{i,T} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{i,T}' \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{i,T}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{i,T}, \quad (41)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{i,T} = \frac{1}{T} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_i, \quad (42)$$

and $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_i = (\tilde{w}_{i,1}', \dots, \tilde{w}_{i,T}')'$ is $T \times 2$ matrix

From above equation, we have

$$\sqrt{T} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV, i} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i \right) = \left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{i,T}' \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{i,T}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{i,T} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{i,T}' \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{i,T}^{-1} \left(T^{-1/2} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i \right) \quad (43)$$

Then, the property of $T^{-1/2} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i$ is given by following proposition.

proposition 1 Under above assumptions, as $(N, T) \xrightarrow{j} \infty$ such that $N/T \rightarrow c$ with $0 < c < \infty$, for each i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} N^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i &\xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{0}, \\ \text{and} \\ T^{-1/2} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i &\xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Thus, IV estimator, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{IV,i}$ is \sqrt{T} consistent to $\boldsymbol{\theta}_i$ and this estimator does not have Nickell's bias. Then, we have following theorem

Theorem 1

As $(N, T) \rightarrow \infty$ such that $N/T \rightarrow c$ with $0 < c < \infty$. for each i ,

$$\sqrt{T} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i \right) \xrightarrow{d} N \left(\mathbf{0}, \left(\mathbf{A}_i' \mathbf{B}_i^{-1} \mathbf{A}_i \right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}_i' \mathbf{B}_i^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i \mathbf{B}_i^{-1} \mathbf{A}_i \left(\mathbf{A}_i' \mathbf{B}_i^{-1} \mathbf{A}_i \right) \right). \quad (45)$$

1.4.1 Mean group IV estimator

Now, we define the mean group estimator of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IVMG} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i}. \quad (46)$$

And we can show that the asymptotic property of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IVMG}$, as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IVMG} - \boldsymbol{\theta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i \right) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i, \quad (47)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i \right) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{i,T}' \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{i,T}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{i,T} \right)^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{i,T}' \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{i,T}^{-1} \left(T^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_i' \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i \right) \\ &= O_p \left(\delta_{NT}^{-2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where $\delta_{NT} = \min\{\sqrt{N}, \sqrt{T}\}$. We note that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i = O_p(N^{-1/2})$, if $\delta_{NT}^{-(2+\varsigma)} \rightarrow 0$ for any $\varsigma > 0$, we have

$$\sqrt{N} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IVMG} - \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i + o_p(1). \quad (49)$$

And the variance estimator of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IVMG}$ is given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{IV,\lambda} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IVMG} \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IVMG} \right)'. \quad (50)$$

Follow [Norkute et al. \(2019\)](#), we can show that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{IV,\lambda}$ is consistent and it does not have small T bias. Firstly, we decompose (50) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IVMG} \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\theta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IVMG} \right)' = \\ &\sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i' + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i \right)' + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i \right) \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i + \sum_{i=1}^N \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IV,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i \right)' - \\ &N \left(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IVMG} \right)' \left(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{IVMG} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Then we can show consistent of $\hat{\Sigma}_{IV,\lambda}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Sigma}_{IV,\lambda} - \Sigma_{IV,\lambda} &= \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\lambda_i \lambda_i' - \Sigma_{IV,\lambda} \right) + \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\theta}_{IV,i} - \theta_i \right) \left(\hat{\theta}_{IV,i} - \theta_i \right)' \\ &+ \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\hat{\theta}_{IV,i} - \theta_i \right) \lambda_i + \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \left(\hat{\theta}_{IV,i} - \theta_i \right) - \\ &\frac{N}{N-1} \left(\theta - \hat{\theta}_{IVMG} \right)' \left(\theta - \hat{\theta}_{IVMG} \right) = o_p(1).\end{aligned}\tag{52}$$

Then, we can see that the asymptotic property of $\hat{\theta}_{IVMG}$ as,

$$\sqrt{N} \left(\hat{\theta}_{IVMG} - \theta \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma_{IV,\lambda}).\tag{53}$$

2 Estimation method on dynamic heterogeneous panel data model with multifactor error structure

For convenient, we assume the number of regressor is 1 and we express the model as

$$y_{i,t} = \phi_i y_{i,t-1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^k \beta_{\ell i} x_{\ell i,t} + u_{i,t}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N; t = 1, \dots, T, \ell = 1, \dots, k. \tag{54}$$

Consider the model (51), we drawn $x_{\ell i,t}$ as

$$x_{\ell i,t} = \gamma_{xi}^{0'} \mathbf{f}_{xt}^0 + \varepsilon_{xi,t} \tag{55}$$

and the idiosyncratic errors of the process for $y_{i,t}$ as

$$u_{i,t} = \gamma_{yi}^{0'} \mathbf{f}_{yt}^0 + \varepsilon_{yi,t}, \tag{56}$$

where γ_{yi}^0 and γ_{xi}^0 are $m_y \times 1$ and $m_x \times 1$ true factor loading respectively, \mathbf{f}_{yt}^0 and \mathbf{f}_{xt}^0 are $m_y \times 1$ and $m_x \times 1$ true vector of unobservable factors respectively.

2.1 Norkutes' (2019) IVMG estimator

We asymptotically eliminate the common factor in \mathbf{x}_i by projecting matrix, $\mathbf{M}_{F_x^0}$.

$$\mathbf{M}_{F_x^0} = \mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{F}_x^0 \left(\mathbf{F}_x^{0'} \mathbf{F}_x^0 \right)^{-1} \mathbf{F}_x^{0'}; \mathbf{M}_{F_{x,-1}^0} = \mathbf{I}_T - \mathbf{F}_{x,-1}^0 \left(\mathbf{F}_{x,-1}^{0'} \mathbf{F}_{x,-1}^0 \right)^{-1} \mathbf{F}_{x,-1}^{0'} \tag{57}$$

And using the defactored covariates as instruments, as

$$\mathbf{Z}_{IVi} = \left(\mathbf{M}_{F_x^0} \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{M}_{F_{x,-1}^0} \mathbf{x}_{i,-1} \right) \tag{58}$$

The first step IV estimator can be expressed as

$$\hat{\varphi}_{IVi} = \left(\left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}_i' \mathbf{M}_{F_X^0} \mathbf{W}_i}{T} \right)' \left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}_i' \mathbf{M}_{F_X^0} \mathbf{Z}_i}{T} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}_i' \mathbf{M}_{F_X^0} \mathbf{W}_i}{T} \right) \right)^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}_i' \mathbf{M}_{F_X^0} \mathbf{W}_i}{T} \right)' \left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}_i' \mathbf{M}_{F_X^0} \mathbf{Z}_i}{T} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}_i' \mathbf{M}_{F_X^0} \mathbf{y}_i}{T} \right) \right). \quad (59)$$

3 Monte Carlo simulation design

3.1 dynamic heterogeneous panels data model without error factor structure

The data generating process:

$$y_{i,t} = \phi_i y_{i,t-1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^k \beta_{\ell i} x_{\ell i,t} + u_{i,t}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N; t = -49, \dots, T, \quad (60)$$

$$x_{\ell i,t} = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \phi_{\ell i} x_{\ell i,t-1} + v_{\ell i,t},$$

where $u_{i,t} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, and $v_{\ell i,t} = \rho_{v,\ell} v_{\ell i,t-1} + (1 - \rho_{v,\ell}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varpi_{\ell i,t}$, $\varpi_{\ell i,t} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} U(0.5, 1.5)$, $\rho_{v,\ell} = 0.5$.

The slope coefficients are generated as

$$\phi_i = \phi + \eta_{\phi i}, \beta_{1,i} = \beta_1 + \eta_{\beta_1 i} \text{ and } \beta_{2,i} = \beta_2 + \eta_{\beta_2 i}. \quad (61)$$

Here we consider $\phi \in \{0.5\}$, $\beta_1 = 3$ and $\beta_2 = 1$. For the design of heterogenous slopes, $\eta_{\phi i} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} U(-c, c)$, and

$$\eta_{\beta_{\ell} i} = (1 - \rho_{\beta}^2)^{1/2} \eta_{\phi i}. \quad (62)$$

Here, we set $c = 0.2$, $\rho_{\beta} = 0.4$ for $\ell = 1, 2$.

3.2 Dynamic heterogeneous panels data model with multi-factor error structure

This Monte Carlo simulation design same as [Norkute et al. \(2019\)](#). For convenience, we rewrite the data generating process as bellow

$$y_{i,t} = \alpha_i + \phi_i y_{i,t-1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^k \beta_{\ell i} x_{\ell i,t} + u_{i,t}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N; t = -49, \dots, T. \quad (63)$$

$$u_{i,t} = \sum_{s=1}^{m_y} \gamma_{si}^0 f_{s,t}^0 + \varepsilon_{i,t}, \quad (64)$$

We allow error factor structure in the model as

$$u_{i,t} = \sum_{s=1}^{m_y} \gamma_{si}^0 f_{s,t}^0 + \varepsilon_{i,t}, \quad (65)$$

where

$$f_{s,t}^0 = \rho_{s,t}^0 f_{s,t-1}^0 + (1 - \rho_{s,t}^0)^{1/2} \zeta_{s,t}, \quad (66)$$

with $\zeta_{s,t} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1)$ for $s = 1, \dots, m_y$. We assume $k = 2$ and $m_y = 1 + k = 3$ and set $\rho_{s,t}^0 = 0.5$ for all s . The error term, $\varepsilon_{i,t}$, setting as

$$\varepsilon_{i,t} = \varsigma_\varepsilon \sigma_{it} (\epsilon_{it} - 1) / \sqrt{2}, \quad (67)$$

where $\epsilon_{it} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \chi_1^2$, $\sigma_{it}^2 = \eta_i \varphi_t$, $\eta_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \chi_2^2/2$, and $\varphi_t = t/T$ for $t = 0, \dots, T$. And we set

$$\varsigma_\varepsilon = \frac{\pi_\mu}{1 - \pi_\mu} m_y. \quad (68)$$

we set $\pi_\mu \in \{3/4\}$.

The process of regressors is

$$x_{lit} = \mu_{li} + \sum_{\ell=1}^k \phi_{li} x_{li,t-1} + \sum_{s=1}^{m_x} \gamma_{\ell si}^0 f_{s,t}^0 + v_{lit}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N; t = -49, \dots, T; \ell = 1, 2. \quad (69)$$

We set number of factor, m_x , is 2. Therefore, $\mathbf{f}_{y,t}^0 = (f_{1t}^0, f_{2t}^0, f_{3t}^0)'$ and $\mathbf{f}_{x,t}^0 = (f_{1t}^0, f_{2t}^0)'$. We set

$$v_{li,t} = \rho_{v,\ell} v_{li,t-1} + (1 - \rho_{v,\ell}^2)^{1/2} \varpi_{li,t}, \text{ for } \ell = 1, 2, \quad (70)$$

where $\rho_{v,\ell} = 0.5$ for all ℓ . The individual effect is

$$\alpha_i^* \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, (1 - \rho_i)^2), \mu_{\ell i}^* = \rho_{\mu,\ell} \alpha_i^* + (1 - \rho_{\mu,\ell}^2)^{1/2} \omega_{li}, \quad (71)$$

where $\omega \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, (1 - \rho_i)^2)$ and $\rho_{\mu,\ell} = 0.5$.

Now, we define the factor loading in $u_{i,t}$ are generated as $\gamma_{si}^{0*} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1)$, for $s = 1, \dots, m_y = 3$, and the factor loading in x_{1it} and x_{2it} are drawn as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{1si}^{0*} &= \rho_{\gamma,1s} \gamma_{3i}^{0*} + (1 - \rho_{\gamma,1s}^2)^{1/2} \xi_{1si}; \xi_{1si} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1); \\ \gamma_{2si}^{0*} &= \rho_{\gamma,2s} \gamma_{3i}^{0*} + (1 - \rho_{\gamma,2s}^2)^{1/2} \xi_{2si}; \xi_{2si} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1); \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

for $s = 1, \dots, m_x = 2$. We set $\rho_{\gamma,11} = \rho_{\gamma,12} \in \{0.5\}$ and $\rho_{\gamma,21} = \rho_{\gamma,22} = 0.5$. The factor loading are generated as

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^0 + \mathbf{\Gamma}_i^{0*} \quad (73)$$

where

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_i^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{1i}^0 & \gamma_{11i}^0 & \gamma_{21i}^0 \\ \gamma_{2i}^0 & \gamma_{12i}^0 & \gamma_{22i}^0 \\ \gamma_{3i}^0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (74)$$

and

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_i^{0*} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{1i}^{0*} & \gamma_{11i}^{0*} & \gamma_{21i}^{0*} \\ \gamma_{2i}^{0*} & \gamma_{12i}^{0*} & \gamma_{22i}^{0*} \\ \gamma_{3i}^{0*} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (75)$$

We set

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 1/4 & -1 \\ 1/2 & -1 & 1/4 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (76)$$

And

$$\alpha_i = \alpha + \alpha_i^*, \mu_{\ell i} = \mu_\ell + \mu_{\ell i}^*, \quad (77)$$

where $\alpha = 1/2$, $\mu_1 = 1$, $\mu_2 = -1/2$.

The slope coefficients are generated as

$$\phi_i = \phi + \eta_{\phi i}, \beta_{1,i} = \beta_1 + \eta_{\beta_1 i} \text{ and } \beta_{2,i} = \beta_2 + \eta_{\beta_2 i}. \quad (78)$$

Here we consider $\phi \in \{0.5\}$, $\beta_1 = 3$ and $\beta_2 = 1$. For the design of heterogenous slopes, $\eta_{\phi i} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} U(-c, c)$, and

$$\eta_{\beta_{\ell i}} = [(2c)^2/12] \rho_\beta \xi_{\beta_{\ell i}} + (1 - \rho_\beta^2)^{1/2} \eta_{\phi i}, \quad (79)$$

where

$$\xi_{\beta_{\ell i}} = \frac{\bar{v}_{\ell i}^2 - \bar{v}_\ell^2}{\left[N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (\bar{v}_{\ell i}^2 - \bar{v}_\ell^2)^2 \right]^{1/2}}, \quad (80)$$

with $\bar{v}_{\ell i}^2 = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T v_{\ell i t}^2$, $\bar{v}_\ell^2 = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{v}_{\ell i}^2$, for $\ell = 1, 2$. Here, we set $c = 0.2$, $\rho_\beta = 0.4$ for $\ell = 1, 2$. And

$$\varsigma_v^2 = \varsigma_\varepsilon^2 \left[SNR - \frac{\rho_v^2}{1 - \rho_v^2} \right] \left(\frac{\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2}{1 - \rho_v^2} \right)^{-1}, \quad (81)$$

where $SNR = 4$. For the (T, N) , we consider $T \in \{25, 50, 100, 200\}$ and $N \in \{25, 50, 100, 200\}$.

4 Monte Carlo simulation results

4.1 Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels without multifactor error structure

We consider ARDL(1,0) model.

$\phi \in \{0.5\}$.

$\beta_1 = 3$.

$\beta_2 = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{i,t} &\overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1). \\
\varpi_{\ell i,t} &\overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} U(0.5, 1.5). \\
\rho_{v,\ell} &= 0.5. \\
c &= 0.2. \\
\rho_\beta &= 0.4. \\
T &\in \{25, 50, 100, 200\}. \\
N &\in \{25, 50, 100, 200\}.
\end{aligned}$$

LSMG estimator is provided in sheet 1 of MC.xlsx file.
IVMG estimator is provided in sheet 2 of MC.xlsx file.

4.2 Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels with multifactor error structure

We consider ARDL(1,0) model.

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi &\in \{0.5\}. \\
\beta_1 &= 3. \\
\beta_2 &= 1. \\
k &= 2. \\
m_y &= 1 + k = 3. \\
m_x &= k = 2. \\
\zeta_{s,t} &\overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1) \\
\pi_\mu &\in \{3/4\}. \\
\rho_{s,t}^0 &= 0.5. \\
\rho_{v,\ell} &= 0.5. \\
\rho_{\mu,\ell} &= 0.5. \\
\gamma_{si}^{0*} &\overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1). \\
\xi_{1si} &\overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1). \\
\xi_{2si} &\overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1). \\
\rho_{\gamma,11} = \rho_{\gamma,12} &\in \{0.5\}. \\
\rho_{\gamma,21} = \rho_{\gamma,22} &= 0.5. \\
\mathbf{\Gamma}^0 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 1/4 & -1 \\ 1/2 & -1 & 1/4 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \\
\alpha &= 1/2. \\
\mu_1 &= 1. \\
\mu_2 &= -1/2. \\
c &= 0.2. \\
\rho_\beta &= 0.4. \\
SNR &= 4. \\
T &\in \{25, 50, 100, 200\}. \\
N &\in \{25, 50, 100, 200\}.
\end{aligned}$$

IVMG estimator is provided in sheet 3 of MC.xlsx file.

5 Short summary

5.1 Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels without multifactor error structure

1. The performance of IVMG estimator is better than LSMG estimator in bias and RMSE.

5.2 Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels with multifactor error structure

1. When N and T increase, the performance of IVMG estimator is good in bias and RMSE.

Related literature to dynamic Heterogeneous Panels with multifactor error structure: [Chudik and Pesaran \(2015\)](#) and [Norkute et al. \(2019\)](#).

Related literature to choosing number of instruments: [Donald and Newey \(2001\)](#), [Swanson \(2005\)](#), [Carrasco \(2012\)](#), [Bai and Ng \(2010\)](#) and [Kang \(2019\)](#).

References

- Bai, J. and S. Ng (2010). Instrumental variable estimation in a data rich environment. *Econometric Theory* 26, 1577–1606.
- Carrasco, M. (2012). A regularization approach to the many instruments problem. *Journal of Econometrics* 170, 383–398.
- Chudik, A. and M. H. Pesaran (2015). Common correlated effects estimation of heterogeneous dynamic panel data models with weakly exogenous regressors. *Journal of Econometrics* 188, 393–420.
- Donald, S. G. and W. K. Newey (2001). Choosing the number of instruments. *Econometrica* 69, 1161–1191.
- Hayakawa, K. (2009). A simple efficient instrumental variable estimator in panel ar(p) models when both n and t are large. *Econometric Theory* 25, 873–890.
- Hayakawa, K., M. Qi, and J. Breitung (2019). Double filter instrumental variable estimation of panel data models with weakly exogenous variables. *Econometric Reviews* 38, 1055–1088.
- Kang, B. (2019). Higher order approximation of iv estimators with invalid instruments.
- Kuersteiner, G. and R. Okui (2010). Constructing optimal instruments by first-stage prediction averaging. *Econometrica* 78, 697–718.
- Moon, H. R. and P. C. Phillips (2000). Estimation of autoregressive roots near unity using panel data. *Econometric Theory* 16, 927–997.
- Norkute, M., V. Sarafidis, T. Yamagata, and G. Cui (2019). Instrumental variable estimation of dynamic linear panel data models with defactored regressors and a multifactor error structure. ISEER Discussion Paper No. 1019.
- Swanson, J. C. C. N. R. (2005). Consistent estimation with a large number of weak instruments. *Econometrica* 73, 1673–1692.