

# Assignment 6

Due Friday 10/25/19

## Reading:

- Required: Course Notes 3.6-3.7, 5.1-5.2, 6.3.2
- Required: LADL 6.A-6.B

## Problems:

1. (LA: 8.1.2) (5 pts) Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $F$ . Show that the sum of two inner products on  $V$  is an inner product on  $V$ . Is the difference of two inner products an inner product? Show that a positive multiple of an inner product is an inner product.

**Solution:** Let  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_a$  and  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_b$  be two inner products on  $V$ . Furthermore, for  $\underline{v}, \underline{u} \in V$ , define  $\langle \underline{u} | \underline{v} \rangle = \langle \underline{u} | \underline{v} \rangle_a + \langle \underline{u} | \underline{v} \rangle_b$ . Then, the following properties hold.

- (a) For any  $\underline{u}, \underline{v}, \underline{w} \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \underline{u} + \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle &= \langle \underline{u} + \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle_a + \langle \underline{u} + \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle_b \\ &= \langle \underline{u} | \underline{w} \rangle_a + \langle \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle_a + \langle \underline{u} | \underline{w} \rangle_b + \langle \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle_b \\ &= \langle \underline{u} | \underline{w} \rangle + \langle \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

- (b) For any  $\underline{v}, \underline{w} \in V$  and  $s \in F$ ,

$$\langle s\underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle = \langle s\underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle_a + \langle s\underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle_b = s\langle \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle_a + s\langle \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle_b = s\langle \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle.$$

- (c) For any  $\underline{v}, \underline{w} \in V$ ,

$$\langle \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle = \langle \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle_a + \langle \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle_b = \overline{\langle \underline{w} | \underline{v} \rangle_a} + \overline{\langle \underline{w} | \underline{v} \rangle_b} = \overline{\langle \underline{w} | \underline{v} \rangle}.$$

- (d) If  $\underline{v} \neq \underline{0}$  then  $\langle \underline{v} | \underline{v} \rangle_a > 0$  and  $\langle \underline{v} | \underline{v} \rangle_b > 0$ , which implies that

$$\langle \underline{v} | \underline{v} \rangle = \langle \underline{v} | \underline{v} \rangle_a + \langle \underline{v} | \underline{v} \rangle_b > 0.$$

That is, the sum of two inner products on  $V$  is itself an inner product on  $V$ .

The difference of two inner products is not necessarily an inner product. Suppose that  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_a$  is an inner product on  $V$ . Then  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_a - \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_a = 0$  is not an inner product, whereas  $2\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_a - \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_a = \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_a$  is obviously an inner product.

A positive multiple of an inner product is also an inner product. Let  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  be an inner product on  $V$  and let  $c$  be a positive number. Then, for all  $\underline{u}, \underline{v}, \underline{w} \in V$  and  $s \in F$ , we have

- (a)  $c\langle \underline{u} + \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle = c\langle \underline{u} | \underline{w} \rangle + c\langle \underline{v} | \underline{w} \rangle$

$$(b) \ c\langle sv|w\rangle = cs\langle v|w\rangle = sc\langle v|w\rangle$$

$$(c) \ c\langle v|w\rangle = \overline{c\langle v|w\rangle} = \overline{c\langle v|w\rangle}$$

$$(d) \ c\langle v|v\rangle > 0 \text{ if } v \neq \underline{0}.$$

2. (LA: 8.1.9) (5 pts) Let  $V$  be a real or complex vector space with an inner product. Show that the quadratic form determined by the inner product satisfies the *parallelogram law*

$$\|\alpha + \beta\|^2 + \|\alpha - \beta\|^2 = 2\|\alpha\|^2 + 2\|\beta\|^2.$$

**Solution:** The parallelogram law can be shown as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha + \beta\|^2 + \|\alpha - \beta\|^2 &= \langle \alpha + \beta | \alpha + \beta \rangle - \langle \alpha - \beta | \alpha - \beta \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle + \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle + \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle + \langle \beta | \beta \rangle + \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle - \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle - \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle + \langle \beta | \beta \rangle \\ &= 2\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle + 2\langle \beta | \beta \rangle = 2\|\alpha\|^2 + 2\|\beta\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

3. (EF: 3.6.3) (5 pts each) Assume  $\underline{v}_1 = (1, 0, 1)$ ,  $\underline{v}_2 = (0, 1, 1)$ , and  $\underline{v} = (1, 3, 3)$  forms a basis for the standard inner product space  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ .

- (a) Apply the Gram-Schmidt process to get an orthonormal basis  $\mathcal{B} = (\underline{u}_1, \underline{u}_2, \underline{u}_3)$  for  $V$ .  
Note: One can either normalize after each projection or normalize all vectors at the end.

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{u}_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 0, 1) \\ \underline{u}_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(-1, 2, 1) \\ \underline{u}_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1, 1, -1). \end{aligned}$$

- (b) For the vector  $\underline{v} = (1, 1, 2)$ , compute the coordinate vector  $[\underline{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

**Solution:** This gives  $[\underline{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = (3/\sqrt{2}, 3/\sqrt{6}, 0)$  because

$$\underline{v} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\underline{u}_1 + \frac{3}{\sqrt{6}}\underline{u}_2 = (3/2, 0, 3/2) + (-1/2, 1, 1/2) = (1, 1, 2).$$

4. (EF: 3.6.2) (5 pts each) Let  $V$  be the vector space of real polynomials on  $[-1, 1]$  with inner product

$$\langle f | h \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 f(t)h(t)dt.$$

Since polynomials have finite degree by definition, the ordered list  $\mathcal{B} = (1, x, x^2, \dots)$  forms a Hamel basis for  $V$ .

- (a) Let  $f, h \in V$  have the unique representations  $f(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j t^j$  and  $h(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i t^i$ . Find an expression for  $g_{ij}$  such that

$$\langle f|h \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_i g_{ij} f_j.$$

**Solution:** Using bilinearity, we see that

$$g_{ij} = \langle t^j | t^i \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 t^i t^j dt = \left. \frac{t^{i+j+1}}{i+j+1} \right|_{-1}^1 = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{i+j+1} & \text{if } i+j \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } i+j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

- (b) Apply the Gram-Schmidt process to the vector sequence  $\underline{v}_i = t^{i-1}$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .  
Note: This gives the first four unnormalized Legendre polynomials.

**Solution:**

$$\underline{u}_1 = 1$$

$$\underline{u}_2 = t - \frac{\langle t|1 \rangle}{\|1\|^2} 1 = t$$

$$\underline{u}_3 = t^2 - \frac{\langle t^2|1 \rangle}{\|1\|^2} 1 - \frac{\langle t^2|t \rangle}{\|t\|^2} t = t^2 - \frac{2/3}{2} = t^2 - \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\underline{u}_4 = t^3 - \frac{\langle t^3|1 \rangle}{\|1\|^2} 1 - \frac{\langle t^3|t \rangle}{\|t\|^2} t - \frac{\langle t^3|t^2 \rangle}{\|t^2\|^2} t = t^3 - \frac{2/5}{2/3} t = t^3 - \frac{3}{5} t.$$

- (c) Project  $f(t) = \max\{0, t\}$  onto the four orthogonal Gram-Schmidt vectors from part (b).

**Solution:** Let  $\underline{w}_i$  be the projection of  $f(t)$  onto  $\underline{u}_i$ . Then,

$$\underline{w}_1 = \left( \int_{-1}^1 f(t) 1 dt \right) \frac{1}{\|\underline{u}_1\|^2} \underline{u}_1 = \frac{1}{4} 1$$

$$\underline{w}_2 = \left( \int_{-1}^1 f(t) t dt \right) \frac{1}{\|\underline{u}_2\|^2} \underline{u}_2 = \frac{1/3}{2/3} t = \frac{1}{2} t$$

$$\underline{w}_3 = \left( \int_{-1}^1 f(t) \left( t^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) dt \right) \frac{1}{\|\underline{u}_3\|^2} \underline{u}_3 = \frac{1/12}{8/45} \left( t^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right)$$

$$\underline{w}_4 = \left( \int_{-1}^1 f(t) \left( t^3 - \frac{3}{5} t \right) dt \right) \frac{1}{\|\underline{u}_4\|^2} \underline{u}_4 = 0 \left( t^3 - \frac{3}{5} t \right).$$

- (d) Explain why the following inequality is true.

$$\left( \int_{-1}^1 f(t) h(t) dt \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_{-1}^1 |f(t)|^2 dt \right) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |h(t)|^2 dt \right)$$

**Solution:** This is given by squaring the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for this inner product space.

5. (LA: 8.2.13) (5 pts) Let  $S$  be a subset of an inner product space  $V$ . Show that  $(S^\perp)^\perp$  contains the subspace spanned by  $S$ . When  $V$  is finite-dimensional, show that  $(S^\perp)^\perp$  equals the subspace spanned by  $S$ .

**Solution:** The set  $S^\perp$  is defined by

$$S^\perp = \{\beta \in V \mid \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in S\}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(S^\perp)^\perp = \{\gamma \in V \mid \langle \beta | \gamma \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in S^\perp\}.$$

Since  $\langle \beta | \gamma \rangle = \overline{\langle \gamma | \beta \rangle} = 0$ , for all  $\gamma \in S$  and  $\beta \in S^\perp$  it follows that  $\gamma \in (S^\perp)^\perp$  and  $S \subseteq (S^\perp)^\perp$ .

Moreover, if  $\gamma \in \text{span}(S)$ , then there are  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in S$  such that

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \alpha_i.$$

Since the orthogonal complement is always a subspace and we have  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in (S^\perp)^\perp$ , it follows that  $\gamma \in (S^\perp)^\perp$ . Therefore,  $\text{span}(S) \subseteq (S^\perp)^\perp$ .

If  $V$  is finite-dimensional, then we can form an orthogonal basis  $\mathcal{B}_S$  for  $\text{span}(S)$  and extend this basis to an orthogonal basis for  $V$ . Since the set of added vectors form a basis for the subspace of all vectors orthogonal to  $\text{span}(S)$ , they form a basis for  $S^\perp$  and we call them  $\mathcal{B}_{S^\perp}$ . Starting with  $\mathcal{B}_{S^\perp}$ , we can also work in reverse and extend this basis to an orthogonal basis for  $V$ . Of course, the added vectors must be a basis for  $(S^\perp)^\perp$  (by orthogonality) and also a basis for  $\text{span}(S)$  (by comparison with  $\mathcal{B}_S$ ). Therefore, we find that  $\text{span}(S) = (S^\perp)^\perp$ .

6. (EF: 3.7.4) (5 pts each) Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  be the standard inner-product space and let  $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable real functional. The function  $\nabla f(\underline{v}) \triangleq \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\underline{v}), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\underline{v}) \right)$  maps  $V$  to  $V$  and is called the gradient of  $f$  at  $\underline{v} \in V$ . The gradient defines a 1st-order approximation  $f(\underline{v} + \underline{u}) \approx f(\underline{v}) + \langle \underline{u} | \nabla f(\underline{v}) \rangle$  that is accurate for small  $\underline{u}$  because

$$\lim_{\underline{u} \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(\underline{v} + \underline{u}) - f(\underline{v}) - \langle \underline{u} | \nabla f(\underline{v}) \rangle|}{\|\underline{u}\|} = 0,$$

where the norm is induced by the inner product.

- (a) Find the unit-norm vector  $\underline{u}$  that minimizes the value of the linear approximation  $f(\underline{v}) + \langle \underline{u} | \nabla f(\underline{v}) \rangle$ ? What does this imply about the direction of the vectors  $\underline{u}$  and  $\nabla f(\underline{v})$ ?

**Solution:** The value of the linear approximation is minimized by minimizing  $\langle \underline{u} | \nabla f(\underline{v}) \rangle$ . The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that  $|\langle \underline{u} | \nabla f(\underline{v}) \rangle| \leq \|\underline{u}\| \|\nabla f(\underline{v})\|$ , with equality iff  $\underline{u} = \alpha \nabla f(\underline{v})$  for some scalar  $\alpha$  or  $\nabla f(\underline{v}) = \underline{0}$ . The value of the linear approximation is minimized by choosing  $\underline{u} = -\nabla f(\underline{v}) / \|\nabla f(\underline{v})\|$  because the vector  $\nabla f(\underline{v})$  points in the direction that provides the maximum increase in  $f$  per unit length and moving the opposite direction gives the maximum decrease.

- (b) Under what condition is there a step-size  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(\underline{v} + \delta \underline{u}) < f(\underline{v})$ ? Prove it.

**Solution:** If the gradient  $\nabla f(\underline{v})$  exists and is non-zero, then such a  $\delta$  exists. In contrast, if  $\nabla f(\underline{v}) = \underline{0}$ , then such a  $\delta$  is not guaranteed. In the first case, the choice of  $\underline{u} = -\nabla f(\underline{v})/\|\nabla f(\underline{v})\|$  can be combined with the definition of the derivative to see that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that

$$f(\underline{v} + \delta \underline{u}) \leq f(\underline{v}) - \delta \frac{\langle \nabla f(\underline{v}) | \nabla f(\underline{v}) \rangle}{\|\nabla f(\underline{v})\|} + \epsilon \delta \|\nabla f(\underline{v})\| = f(\underline{v}) - \delta(1 - \epsilon)\|\nabla f(\underline{v})\|$$

for all  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ . Thus, one can choose  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  to obtain a  $\delta$  that will decrease the function value.

- (c) Consider an algorithm for minimizing  $f$  that constructs the sequence

$$\underline{v}_{i+1} = \underline{v}_i - \delta_i \nabla f(\underline{v}_i),$$

where  $\delta_i = \arg \min_{\delta \geq 0} f(\underline{v}_i - \delta \nabla f(\underline{v}_i))$  is the step size that minimizes  $f(\underline{v}_{i+1})$ . Show that  $f(\underline{v}_{i+1}) \leq f(\underline{v}_i)$  with equality iff  $\nabla f(\underline{v}_i) = \underline{0}$ .

**Solution:** This algorithm is called *gradient descent* with line search. Using the results of (a) and (b), we see that, if  $\nabla f(\underline{v}_i) \neq \underline{0}$ , then there exists a step-size  $\delta_i > 0$  that decreases the function value. It follows that the sequence  $\underline{v}_i$  satisfies  $f(\underline{v}_{i+1}) \leq f(\underline{v}_i)$  with equality iff  $\nabla f(\underline{v}_i) = \underline{0}$ .

- (d) Assume  $f(\underline{v}) \geq M$  for all  $\underline{v} \in V$  and that  $\nabla f$  satisfies  $\|\nabla f(\underline{u}) - \nabla f(\underline{v})\| \leq L\|\underline{u} - \underline{v}\|$  for all  $\underline{u}, \underline{v} \in V$  (i.e.,  $f$  has a Lipschitz gradient). For the update in (c) with  $\delta_i = \frac{1}{L}$ , show that  $\nabla f(\underline{v}_i) \rightarrow \underline{0}$ . Hint: First show  $f(\underline{v}_{i+1}) \leq f(\underline{v}_i) + \nabla f(\underline{v}_i) \cdot (\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i) + \frac{L}{2}\|\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i\|^2$ .

**Solution:** The hint follows from defining  $\phi(t) = f(\underline{v}_i + t(\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i))$  and writing

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underline{v}_{i+1}) - f(\underline{v}_i) - \nabla f(\underline{v}_i) \cdot (\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i) &= \int_0^1 (\phi'(t) - \phi'(0)) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 (\nabla f(\underline{v}_i + t(\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i)) - \nabla f(\underline{v}_i)) \cdot (\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \|\nabla f(\underline{v}_i + t(\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i)) - \nabla f(\underline{v}_i)\| \cdot \|\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i\| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 Lt\|\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i\| \cdot \|\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i\| dt \\ &= \frac{L}{2}\|\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining  $\nabla f(\underline{v}_i) \cdot (\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i) = -\delta_i \|\nabla f(\underline{v}_i)\|^2$  and  $\|\underline{v}_{i+1} - \underline{v}_i\|^2 = \delta_i^2 \|\nabla f(\underline{v}_i)\|^2$  with the hint, we find that

$$f(\underline{v}_{i+1}) - f(\underline{v}_i) \leq -\frac{1}{L}\|\nabla f(\underline{v}_i)\|^2 + \frac{L}{2L^2}\|\nabla f(\underline{v}_i)\|^2 = -\frac{1}{2L}\|\nabla f(\underline{v}_i)\|^2.$$

Multiplying by  $-1$  and summing both sides from  $i = 1$  to  $i = T$  shows that

$$f(\underline{v}_1) - f(\underline{v}_T) = \sum_{i=1}^T f(\underline{v}_i) - f(\underline{v}_{i+1}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^T \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(\underline{v}_i)\|^2.$$

Since  $f(\underline{v}_i)$  is decreasing and lower bounded, the LHS must converge to a limit as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the RHS is upper bounded by the LHS, it follows that  $\|\nabla f(\underline{v}_i)\| \rightarrow 0$ .

**Practice Problems (do not hand in):**

1. (MMA: 4.6.34) Show that if the linear operator  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  has an inverse, then the inverse is linear.

**Solution:** Suppose that the operator  $A$  is invertible and linear. Let  $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in Y$  and  $s \in F$ . Since  $A$  is invertible, there exists  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{y}_1 &= A\mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{y}_2 &= A\mathbf{x}_2.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by the linearity of  $A$  we have

$$A(s\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2) = sA\mathbf{x}_1 + A\mathbf{x}_2 = s\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_2.$$

It follows that  $A^{-1}(s\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_2) = s\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2$ . Using this fact, consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned}A^{-1}(s\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_2) &= A^{-1}(A(s\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2)) = s\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 \\ &= sA^{-1}A\mathbf{x}_1 + A^{-1}A\mathbf{x}_2 = sA^{-1}\mathbf{y}_1 + A^{-1}\mathbf{y}_2.\end{aligned}$$

The second and third equalities follow from the fact that  $A^{-1}A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in X$ . The last equality comes from applying the definition of  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$ . Since  $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2$  and  $s$  are arbitrary, we conclude that  $A^{-1}$  is linear.

2. (MMA: 4.6.35) Show that if  $A$  has both a left and a right inverse, they must be the same.

**Solution:** Suppose that  $L$  is the left inverse of  $A$ , and  $R$  is its right inverse. In other words,  $I = LA = AR$ . Then, we have

$$L = LI = L(AR) = (LA)R = IR = R.$$

That is, if  $A$  has both a left and a right inverse then they must be the same.

3. (LA: 8.2.10) Let  $V$  be the vector space of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $C$ , with the inner product  $\langle A|B \rangle = \text{tr}(AB^H)$ . Find the orthogonal complement of the subspace of diagonal matrices.

**Solution:** First, we observe that

$$[AB^H]_{i,k} = \sum_j A_{i,j} \overline{B_{k,j}}$$

and

$$\text{tr}(AB^H) = \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} \overline{B_{i,j}}.$$

If  $B$  is diagonal, then we find that

$$(A|B) = \sum_i A_{i,i} \overline{B_{i,i}}.$$

For any  $A$  with a non-zero diagonal, this quantity can be made positive by choosing  $B_{i,i} = A_{i,i}$ . Therefore, the only matrices that satisfy  $(A|B) = 0$  for all diagonal  $B$  are the matrices with zeros on the diagonal.

4. (LA: 8.2.9) Let  $V$  be the vector space of real polynomials with degree at most 3. Equip  $V$  with the inner product

$$\langle f|g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)g(t)dt.$$

- (a) Find the orthogonal complement of the subspace of constant polynomials.

**Solution:** If  $W = \text{span}\{1\}$ , then  $W^\perp$  is the set of all polynomials of degree at most 3 such that  $\langle f|1 \rangle = 0$ . That is,

$$\int_0^1 (f_3x^3 + f_2x^2 + f_1x + f_0) dx = \frac{f_3}{4} + \frac{f_2}{3} + \frac{f_1}{2} + f_0 = 0.$$

We can rewrite this set as

$$W^\perp = \left\{ f_3x^3 + f_2x^2 + f_1 - \frac{f_3}{4} - \frac{f_2}{3} - \frac{f_1}{2} \right\}.$$

- (b) Apply the Gram-Schmidt process to the basis  $\{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$ .

**Solution:**

$$p_1(x) = 1$$

$$p_2(x) = x - \frac{\int_0^1 x dx}{\int_0^1 1 dx} 1 = x - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_3(x) &= x^2 - \frac{\int_0^1 x^2 dx}{\int_0^1 1 dx} 1 - \frac{\int_0^1 (x^3 - x^2/2) dx}{\int_0^1 (x^2 - x + 1/4) dx} \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= x^2 - \frac{1}{3} - \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) = x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_4(x) &= x^3 - \frac{\int_0^1 x^3 dx}{\int_0^1 1 dx} 1 - \frac{\int_0^1 (x^4 - x^3/2) dx}{\int_0^1 (x^2 - x + 1/4) dx} \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\int_0^1 (x^5 - x^4 + x^3/6) dx}{\int_0^1 (x^4 - 2x^3 + 4x^2/3 - x/3 + 1/36) dx} \left( x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6} \right) \\ &= x^3 - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{9}{10} \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \left( x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6} \right) = x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{3}{5}x - \frac{1}{20} \end{aligned}$$

5. (LADR: 6.A.6) Suppose  $u, v \in V$ . Prove that  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$  if and only if

$$\|u\| \leq \|u + av\|$$

for all  $a \in \mathbf{F}$ .

**Solution:** To see this, we first expand

$$\|u + av\|^2 = \langle u + av, u + av \rangle = \|u\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(a\langle v, u \rangle) + |a|^2\|v\|^2.$$

First, we prove the "if". If  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ , then this implies that

$$\|u + av\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + |a|^2\|v\|^2 \geq \|u\|^2$$

for all  $a$ . To show the converse, we prove the contrapositive of the converse: if  $\langle v, u \rangle \neq 0$ , then there exists an  $a$  such that  $\|u + av\|^2 < \|u\|^2$ . To see this, we can choose  $a = -\overline{\langle v, u \rangle} / \|v\|^2$  in the expansion so that

$$\|u + av\|^2 - \|u\|^2 = 2\operatorname{Re}(a\langle v, u \rangle) + |a|^2\|v\|^2 = -2\frac{|\langle v, u \rangle|^2}{\|v\|^2} + \frac{|\langle v, u \rangle|^2}{\|v\|^4}\|v\|^2 = -\frac{|\langle v, u \rangle|^2}{\|v\|^2} < 0.$$

6. (MMA: 2.3.37) Let  $p$  be in the range  $0 < p < 1$ , and consider the space  $L_p[0, 1]$  of all functions with

$$\|x\| = \left[ \int_0^1 |x(t)|^p dt \right]^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Show that  $\|x\|$  is not a norm on  $L_p[0, 1]$ . Hint: for a real number  $\alpha$  such that  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , note that  $\alpha \leq \alpha^p \leq 1$ .

**Solution:** To show that this is not a norm on  $L_p[0, 1]$ , we find a counterexample to the triangle inequality. Consider the following two functions, which lie in  $L_p[0, 1]$ ,

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t \in [0, 1/2] \\ 0 & t \in (1/2, 1] \end{cases},$$

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \in [0, 1/2] \\ 1 & t \in (1/2, 1] \end{cases}.$$

Then,  $\|x\|$  is given by

$$\|x\| = \left[ \int_0^1 |x(t)|^p dt \right]^{1/p} = \left[ \int_0^{1/2} 1^p dt \right]^{1/p} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Similarly,  $\|y\|$  is equal to

$$\|y\| = \left[ \int_{1/2}^1 1^p dt \right]^{1/p} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{1/p}.$$



It is easy to see that  $\|x + y\| = 1$ . Note that, for  $0 < p < 1$ , we have  $(1/2)^{1/p} < 1/2$ . Putting these results together, we obtain

$$\|x + y\| = 1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} > \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/p} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/p} = \|x\| + \|y\|.$$

This shows that  $\|x\|$  is not a norm, as it does not fulfill the triangle inequality.