BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



9500 GILMAN DRIVE LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Student IA Evaluation for Yuan Chai Department of Linguistics

LIGN 112 - Speech Sounds&Speech Disorders (Garellek, Marc) Winter 2018

> Number of Evaluations Submitted: 20 Number of Students Enrolled: 45

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

3 (15.0%): Strongly Agree

11 (55.0%): Agree

3 (15.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 3 (15.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

6 (31.6%): Strongly Agree

9 (47.4%): Agree

3 (15.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 1 (5.3%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

3 (15.8%): Strongly Agree

10 (52.6%): Agree

2 (10.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 4 (21.1%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response] 4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

2 (10.5%): Strongly Agree

4 (21.1%): Agree

8 (42.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 5 (26.3%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

4 (21.1%): Strongly Agree

7 (36.8%): Agree

5 (26.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 3 (15.8%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

2 (10.5%): Strongly Agree

11 (57.9%): Agree

3 (15.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 3 (15.8%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

2 (10.5%): Strongly Agree

10 (52.6%): Agree

4 (21.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 3 (15.8%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response] 8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

3 (15.8%): Strongly Agree

11 (57.9%): Agree

4 (21.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 1 (5.3%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

4 (21.1%): Strongly Agree

9 (47.4%): Agree

1 (5.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 5 (26.3%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

3 (15.8%): Strongly Agree

6 (31.6%): Agree

5 (26.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 5 (26.3%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

16 (84.2%): No issues 3 (15.8%): Too quiet 0 (0.0%): Too loud 0 (0.0%): Too fast 0 (0.0%): Too slow

0 (0.0%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills

0 (0.0%): Used filler words such as "um"

0 (0.0%): Other (please describe)

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

5 (26.3%): Strongly Agree

10 (52.6%): Agree

4 (21.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1: [No Response]

- 13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.

 Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community
- Added interesting insights to the course.
- attended all lecture and well educated in the subject
- easy to understand.
- I think the TA knew the subject very well!
- She answers the questions on the discussion board.
- She was super great! She was very helpful and cool when I came to her office hours. She stayed late to help me, and for that I thank her.
- She was very helpful as a TA and answered questions well. She graded assignments thoroughly.
- They're just a great person
- Willing to help.
- 14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant. Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community
- hardly participated in class.
- It's not exactly a weakness, but usually my likeliness of attending my TA's office hours is based on how they interact with the students and professor during class. She doesn't say much, so I never actually went to her office hour because she doesn't seem as approachable.
- Quiet during lectures, does not have a direct visible function aside from office hours.
- Slightly quiet I liked what she had to say, I wish she'd say things louder and more often.
- tends to be fairly timid
- They did seem a bit shy. They could've inserted themselves into the classroom discussion a bit more.

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?	
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of)f
Community	

• She seems really nice regardless of not talking to her.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Linguistics, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.